AMERICAN Journal of Language, Literacy and Learning in STEM Education
Volume 4, Issue 10, 2026 ISSN (E): 2993-2769

The Functions of Discourse Markers in English Social Media Communication: A Study of Digital Pragmatics

Saidova Mukhayyo Umedilloevna,
Associate Professor of English Linguistics Departament,
Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Philological Sciences
Email: m.u.saidova@buxdu.uz

Sharipova Nozanin Khurshidovna,
2nd year Master's student in Linguistics (English Language)
Email: nozaninsharipova100613@gmail.com

Abstract. *Social media has transformed the ways people communicate in English, creating new opportunities for expressing opinions, emotions, agreement, disagreement, and interpersonal relationships. In these digital environments, communication depends not only on the words people use but also on how they organize their messages and signal their intentions. Discourse markers, including well, so, actually, anyway, however, and you know, play an important role in this process. Although these expressions are often considered minor elements of language, they contribute significantly to coherence, interaction management, politeness, and the interpretation of meaning. This article examines the functions of discourse markers in English social media communication from the perspective of digital pragmatics. Drawing on established theories of discourse markers and research into computer-mediated communication, the study discusses their textual, interpersonal, pragmatic, and interactional functions. Illustrative examples demonstrate how the same marker may communicate different meanings depending on the surrounding context. The article argues that discourse markers help users create more coherent, natural, and socially appropriate online interactions. Understanding their functions is therefore important for contemporary pragmatics, discourse analysis, and English language education.*

Keywords: *discourse markers, social media communication, digital pragmatics, computer-mediated communication, online interaction, English language.*

Introduction

The development of social media has significantly influenced the way people use language in everyday communication. Platforms such as Instagram, Facebook, Tik Tok, Reddit, and X allow users to share information, express emotions, discuss social issues, and maintain personal relationships through written messages, comments, captions, and replies. Unlike traditional written communication, social media language often combines characteristics of writing and conversation. Messages may be brief and informal, but they can still communicate complex meanings and emotional attitudes.[1]

In this context, discourse markers are particularly important. Expressions such as *well, so, actually, anyway,* and *by the way* help speakers and writers connect ideas, introduce new topics, clarify intentions, and manage interpersonal relationships. Their importance becomes especially noticeable

when communication takes place without physical presence, facial expressions, or immediate access to the other person's reactions.[2]

Fraser explains that discourse markers signal relationships between discourse segments and guide the interpretation of the message that follows. This perspective provides a useful starting point for understanding how such expressions operate in online environments. However, their functions cannot always be identified by examining individual words in isolation. The same expression may introduce an explanation in one context, express disagreement in another, or soften a potentially uncomfortable statement. [3]

Research on computer-mediated communication also demonstrates that online interaction has distinctive linguistic and social characteristics. Herring emphasizes the importance of analyzing language in relation to the technological and communicative environments in which it occurs. Consequently, the study of discourse markers in social media requires attention to linguistic meaning as well as context, communicative intention, and relationships between participants.[4]

The purpose of this article is to examine the major functions of discourse markers in English social media communication through the perspective of digital pragmatics. It focuses on how these expressions contribute to textual coherence, interpersonal communication, politeness, emotional expression, and interaction management.[5]

Discourse markers have attracted considerable attention in pragmatics and discourse analysis because of their role in connecting utterances and guiding interpretation. Although researchers have proposed different definitions, they generally recognize that these expressions contribute to the organization and interpretation of discourse.[6]

Schifrin provides an influential interactional approach to discourse markers, examining how linguistic expressions operate within discourse and contribute to the relationships between language, context, and interaction. Her approach demonstrates that discourse cannot be adequately understood through grammatical structure alone; researchers must also consider how speakers organize their contributions and establish connections with other participants.[7]

Fraser, meanwhile, treats discourse markers as a category of lexical expressions that signal relationships between a current discourse segment and preceding discourse. He distinguishes their procedural contribution from the conceptual meaning conveyed by the main content of an utterance. In other words, discourse markers help readers understand how a message should be related to what has already been said. For example, consider the following exchange:

User A: I wanted to attend the event, but I was working.

User B: *Well*, you can join us next time.[8]

In this example, *well* does more than introduce a sentence. It signals a response to the previous statement and helps present the suggestion in a conversationally appropriate way.

Discourse markers do not always have one fixed function. Their interpretation depends on their position, surrounding language, communicative purpose, and relationship with other participants. This contextual flexibility makes them particularly relevant to digital communication.[9]

Digital pragmatics examines how people communicate intentions, interpret meanings, and manage relationships through digital technologies. Social media provides a valuable environment for this field because users must often communicate complex attitudes through relatively short messages.

Landon, in her study of discourse markers and politeness in a Spanish-language digital forum, demonstrates that interpersonal markers can help regulate relationships and politeness in online discussion. Although the study concerns Spanish rather than English, it provides relevant comparative evidence that discourse markers can maintain interpersonal functions when conversation moves into digital environments.

Similarly, Palacio and Gustilo examine discourse particles in Filipino computer-mediated communication on Facebook. Their research illustrates how particles can perform several discourse-pragmatic functions in online interactions. These findings support the broader argument that digital

communication does not eliminate the pragmatic importance of small linguistic expressions. Nevertheless, discourse markers should not be treated as interchangeable with emojis, hashtags, or punctuation. These features may work together to communicate attitudes, but they belong to different linguistic or semiotic categories. A discourse marker is primarily a linguistic expression that helps organize discourse or guide interpretation, whereas an emoji or punctuation mark may provide additional contextual cues. For instance, *actually* may introduce a correction, while a smiley emoji may signal friendliness or playfulness. When they occur together, their combined effect can influence how a message is interpreted. [10]

Materials and Methods

This article employs a qualitative, descriptive-analytical approach to examine the functions of discourse markers in English social media communication. The analysis is informed by established theoretical works on discourse markers and research on computer-mediated discourse and online politeness

The discussion focuses on commonly used English expressions, including *well, so, actually, anyway, however, by the way*, and *I mean*. These expressions are examined in illustrative social media contexts, such as comment threads, informal conversations, replies, and online discussions.

The analysis follows three main principles. First, each marker is examined in relation to its immediate linguistic context. Second, attention is paid to its possible communicative function, including connecting ideas, introducing a topic, expressing disagreement, or clarifying an intention. Third, the analysis considers how the digital setting may influence interpretation, particularly when participants cannot rely on face-to-face cues. The examples presented below are constructed for analytical purposes. They illustrate plausible patterns of English online communication but are not presented as authentic posts collected from a social media corpus. Therefore, the article offers a theory-informed qualitative discussion rather than statistical evidence about the frequency of particular markers.[11]

Result and Discussion

One of the most important functions of discourse markers is to establish relationships between ideas. In social media communication, users frequently produce short messages that may appear disconnected unless the reader understands how they relate to previous comments. Expressions such as *so, however, anyway,* and *by the way* help establish these relationships. They can signal consequences, contrasts, returns to earlier topics, or transitions to new subjects.
User A: I have three assignments to finish tonight.[12]

User B: *So,* are you going to miss the movie?

Here, *so* connects the previous information with a question about its possible consequence. It guides the reader toward interpreting the second message as a follow-up rather than an unrelated question. Another example demonstrates a topic shift:

User A: The presentation went really well today.

User B: *By the way,* have you checked the new course schedule?[13]

The expression *by the way* signals that the writer is introducing a different topic. It helps the reader recognize a change in conversational direction. Fraser provides a theoretical basis for this function by explaining how discourse markers signal relationships between discourse segments. In social media, where messages may be separated by time, displayed in threads, or written by several participants, such signals can help maintain interpretive continuity. However, not every occurrence of *so* or *anyway* functions as a discourse marker. These words may also contribute to the propositional meaning of a sentence. Their classification depends on how they are used in a particular context.[14]

Social media also provides opportunities for further research. Different platforms have different communication practices: a public comment thread may encourage more explicit argumentation, while a private messaging conversation may contain more informal markers and abbreviated expressions. These differences should be investigated rather than assumed. A future empirical study could collect a clearly defined corpus of publicly accessible English-language posts and comments, subject to relevant ethical and privacy requirements. Researchers could classify discourse markers by

function, compare their use across platforms, and examine how interpretations vary according to conversational context. Quantitative counts could then complement qualitative analysis. Such research would help establish which functions are most frequent in particular settings and whether certain markers are associated with specific interactional practices.[15]

Conclusion

Discourse markers are an important part of English social media communication because they help users organize messages, express attitudes, clarify intentions, manage disagreement, and maintain interpersonal relationships. Expressions such as *well*, *so*, *actually*, *anyway*, and *I mean* may appear small or insignificant, but their interpretation can substantially influence how an online message is understood. The discussion has shown that discourse markers perform textual, interpersonal, and interactional functions. Their meanings are context-dependent, and their contribution often extends beyond the literal content of a sentence. Research on discourse markers, politeness, and computer-mediated communication provides a valuable theoretical foundation for understanding these patterns. From the perspective of digital pragmatics, understanding discourse markers means examining not only what people say but also how they guide interpretation and negotiate social relationships through language. Further corpus-based research could develop this analysis by investigating authentic social media interactions across different platforms and communicative contexts. Ultimately, the study of discourse markers offers a useful way to understand the relationship between language, technology, and human interaction in contemporary digital society.

References

[1] B. Fraser, "An approach to discourse markers," Journal of Pragmatics, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 383–398, 1990.

[2] B. Fraser, "What are discourse markers?" Journal of Pragmatics, vol. 31, no. 7, pp. 931–952, 1999.

[3] S. C. Herring, "Computer-mediated discourse analysis: An approach to researching online behavior," in Designing for Virtual Communities in the Service of Learning, S. A. Barab, R. Kling, and J. H. Gray, Eds. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2004, pp. 338–376.

[4] E. Landone, "Discourse markers and politeness in a digital forum in Spanish," Journal of Pragmatics, vol. 44, no. 13, pp. 1799–1820, 2012.

[5] M. A. Palacio and L. A. Gustilo, "A pragmatic analysis of discourse particles in Filipino computer-mediated communication," GEMA Online® Journal of Language Studies, vol. 16, no. 3, 2016.

[6] D. Schiffrin, Discourse Markers. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 1987.

[7] I. Vandergriff, "Emotive communication online: A contextual analysis of computer-mediated communication (CMC) cues," Journal of Pragmatics, vol. 51, pp. 1–12, 2013.

[8] S. C. Herring, "Discourse in Web 2.0: Familiar, reconfigured, and emergent," in The Handbook of Language and Social Interaction, D. Tannen and A. M. Trester, Eds. Washington, DC, USA: Georgetown University Press, 2013, pp. 1–25.

[9] S. C. Herring, "Computer-mediated communication on the Internet," Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 109–168, 2002.

[10] J. A. Walther, "Interpersonal effects in computer-mediated interaction: A relational perspective," Communication Research, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 52–90, 1992.

[11] J. A. Walther, "Computer-mediated communication: Impersonal, interpersonal, and hyperpersonal interaction," Communication Research, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 3–43, 1996.

[12] S. C. Herring, "A faceted classification scheme for computer-mediated discourse," Language@Internet, vol. 4, Art. no. 1, 2007.

[13] D. Crystal, Language and the Internet, 2nd ed. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2006.

[14] N. B. Baron, Always On: Language in an Online and Mobile World. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press, 2008.

[15] J. G. H. S. V. B. Zappavigna, Discourse of Twitter and Social Media: How We Use Language to Create Affiliation on the Web. London, U.K.: Continuum, 2012.