

## **The Search for Freedom, Identity and Human Dignity in Solomon Northup’s “12 Years a Slave”**

**Parmanova Shakhlo Sadatovna**

*Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Innovations of the Republic of Uzbekistan*

*Kimyo International University in Tashkent*

**Abstract.** *This article argues that 12 Years a Slave (1853) frames freedom, identity, and human dignity not as abstract ideals but as fragile social relations continually produced—and violently interrupted—through law, language, labor regimes, and embodied vulnerability. Beginning as a free citizen and becoming enslaved through kidnapping, Northup narrates a “catastrophic fall” that unsettles the genre’s familiar teleology from bondage to self-making freedom, and reorients reader attention toward the institutional mechanisms that convert persons into “property.” Close readings of the text’s key scenes—forced renaming (“Platt”), the slave-pen beside national symbols of liberty, the near-lynching episode, and the violin as both survival technology and threatened selfhood—show how dignity persists as practiced moral perception: refusing the lie of enslavement, remembering kinship, and sustaining humane judgment amid terror. The article situates Northup within antebellum slave-narrative conventions (authenticating paratexts, abolitionist readership, and documentary claims), while emphasizing the narrative’s distinctive realism, ironic address, and evidentiary apparatus (editor’s preface and appended legal materials). Finally, it outlines ethical implications for reading and teaching violence testimony: how to honor witness without turning suffering into spectacle.*

**Key words:** *slave narrative; freedom; identity; human dignity; social death; naming; witnessing; nineteenth-century American literature.*

### **Introduction**

Although often mislabeled a “novel,” *12 Years a Slave* is explicitly framed as a memoir/slave narrative grounded in eyewitness experience and supported by an editor’s claim to faithful transcription. The narrative opens with a striking participial formulation— “Having been born a freeman”—that immediately differentiates Northup from many classic slave narratives that begin “I was born,” thereby positioning the text within the genre while exposing a distinct historical vulnerability: the precariousness of free Black life under kidnapping economies and legal opportunism [1].

This article argues that Northup’s narrative constructs freedom, identity, and human dignity as mutually entangled practices of personhood under conditions designed to dissolve personhood. Freedom is shown to depend less on interior will than on contested legal recognition and social networks; identity is repeatedly threatened by forced renaming, surveillance, and the routinized reduction of the self to “property”; and dignity persists not as sentimental uplift but as a disciplined refusal—refusal to accept the lie of enslavement, refusal to forget kinship, and refusal to abandon moral judgment, even when speech itself becomes punishable. These themes emerge through Northup’s distinctive narrative techniques: documentary realism, irony, strategic direct address, and an evidentiary architecture (editorial preface, appended legal materials) that stages the struggle for credibility as part of the struggle for freedom [2].

The significance of focusing on freedom/identity/dignity in this text is not merely thematic. Because the narrative is set across spaces where slavery's legality and illegality collide—most famously in a slave pen situated “within plain sight” of the nation's civic institutions—Northup dramatizes what later theorists describe as slavery's production of “social death” through natal alienation, dishonor, and permanent vulnerability to violence. The text therefore invites a reading that attends simultaneously to (a) genre convention, (b) institutional history, and (c) ethical reception: how readers can engage testimony of terror without consuming it as spectacle or reducing it to a single moral allegory [3].

## Executive Summary

This manuscript investigates how *12 Years a Slave* theorizes freedom, identity, and human dignity through the lived experience of legal contradiction: a man who “had been born a freeman” becomes enslaved by kidnapping and bureaucratic violence, then re-emerges into freedom through a fragile network of testimony, paperwork, and cross-regional advocacy. Rather than reading freedom as an endpoint, the article treats it as an unstable status whose practical meaning depends on institutions that can be captured by racial capitalism and upheld by physical terror. Northup's narrative repeatedly juxtaposes national ideals with local realities—most memorably in the image of a slave pen within sight of political speech “boasting of freedom and equality,” indicting the nation's civic mythology through spatial irony and documentary precision [4].

Three core claims organize the analysis. First, freedom appears as a contested legal identity: Northup's insistence on his free status is met with beating, threats, and forced silence, showing how the law's promise can be suspended at the point of racialized detention and sale. Second, identity is depicted as a site of coercion and counter-practice, dramatized by forced renaming (“Your name is Platt”), which exemplifies slavery's power to sever natal ties and reassign the person into a regime of social death. Third, dignity endures as a form of moral agency—the capacity to raise one's head “once more among men,” speak truth “with upraised eyes,” and recall community obligations even when survival requires strategic concealment.

Methodologically, the article combines genre-situated literary criticism (slave-narrative conventions, authenticity paratexts, print-culture pressures) with close reading of Northup's key scenes and rhetorical strategies. Scholarship on slave narratives stresses both their broad conventions and their influential role in public debate on freedom and American identity; it also warns that many narratives use a “black message inside a white envelope,” foregrounding authentication and mediation as structural facts of the tradition [5].

The article concludes with original emphases and research gaps: (1) Northup's violin functions as a portable “identity technology” that grants limited mobility and recognition yet remains captured within the logic of commodity entertainment; (2) the narrative's ethical force arises from its refusal to reduce slavery to either providential explanation or the triumphalist self-made script; and (3) future research should more fully map the narrative's editorial mediation, its documentary appendices as legal literacy performance, and comparative dignity frameworks across kidnapping narratives of free Black citizens.

## Literature Review

Slave narratives are an unusually hybrid archive: autobiography, antislavery propaganda, spiritual testimony, documentary evidence, and public performance of literacy. Scholarship emphasizes their historical value as first-hand accounts of slavery's lived realities and their literary importance as one of the most influential traditions in African American writing, shaping later forms of autobiography and fiction [6].

At the same time, genre study underscores a paradox: because many narratives were circulated to persuade skeptical white audiences, they often developed recognizable conventions and external “authentication” structures (prefaces, letters, appended documents), producing what Andrews describes as a narrative architecture in which Black testimony is frequently framed by white endorsement. This is not a marginal feature of the tradition but “implicit in the very structure” of many antebellum narratives: a Black narrative voice surrounded by reputational and evidentiary scaffolding [7].

Classic genre criticism also warns against reading slave narratives as uniformly “unique” autobiographies, noting instead that repetitive conventions served both credibility and abolitionist pedagogy. Olney’s influential account explains why readers often experience “overwhelming sameness,” then demonstrates how conventions (including opening formulas, depictions of whipping, and proof-forward paratexts) worked as a cultural technology for making Black personhood legible to hostile publics. Crucially for Northup, Olney points out a meaningful deviation: because Northup was born free, his narrative’s opening—“Having been born a freeman”—produces a special poignancy and difference within the tradition.

More recent scholarship shifts the frame from a homogeneous “genre” to heterogeneous print cultures and reception histories. Roy argues—through a book-historical comparison of key antebellum narratives—those broad generalizations (“slave narratives as bestsellers,” “a stable genre recognizable by all”) distort a complex tradition. In that view, Northup’s 1853 narrative participates in abolitionist discourse but also capitalizes on contemporary literary trends (including the post-1852 “Uncle Tom mania”), occupying a distinct space in the market and cultural imagination.

Situated within this scholarship, Northup’s narrative can be read as simultaneously conforming to and resisting the genre’s dominant moral plots. The National Humanities Center’s guidance for reading slave narratives explicitly calls Northup’s text “ghostwritten,” drawing attention to editorial mediation and the “black message inside a white envelope” structure that frames testimony to secure belief. This framing aligns with Northup’s own editor’s preface, where the editor claims both corroboration by “abundant evidence” and a commitment to “a faithful history...as he received it from his lips,” acknowledging stylistic limits while insisting on truthfulness [8].

For a thematic study of human dignity in particular, two conceptual tools recur across slavery studies: Patterson’s definition of slavery as “permanent, violent domination” of the natively alienated and “generally dishonored,” and Hartman’s analysis of how power operates not only through overt terror but also through performances of enjoyment, protection, and purported benevolence. These frameworks help interpret why Northup’s scenes of forced dancing, coerced laughter, and “kind” masters are not narrative relief but part of slavery’s deeper moral injury: the systematic demand that the enslaved perform contentment and accept dishonor as a natural order [9].

## Results

The analysis of Solomon Northup’s *12 Years a Slave* reveals several key findings regarding the interrelation of freedom, identity, and human dignity within the system of slavery.

First, freedom is represented not as a stable or inherent condition, but as a fragile legal and social status that can be abruptly destroyed. The narrative demonstrates that legal recognition of freedom is insufficient without institutional protection. Northup’s kidnapping and subsequent enslavement illustrate how easily freedom can be transformed into its opposite under conditions of racialized violence and weak legal enforcement [10].

Second, identity in the narrative emerges as a contested and imposed construct. The forced renaming of Northup to “Platt” functions as a mechanism of dehumanization and social control. The results show that identity under slavery is shaped by coercion, surveillance, and the suppression of personal

history. At the same time, identity is preserved through memory, skills, and relational ties, especially through references to family and past life [11].

Third, human dignity is portrayed as a resilient but vulnerable moral capacity. Despite physical violence and psychological pressure, Northup maintains a sense of self-worth and moral judgment. The findings indicate that dignity persists through resistance, even when such resistance is internal or expressed through silence and endurance.

In addition, the analysis highlights the importance of narrative techniques in conveying these themes. Documentary realism, irony, and detailed descriptions of everyday life under slavery strengthen the credibility of the narrative and enhance its ethical impact. The inclusion of legal documents and testimonies further reinforces the evidentiary nature of the text [12].

Overall, the results confirm that *12 Years a Slave* presents freedom, identity, and dignity as dynamic and socially constructed phenomena that are continuously negotiated within oppressive institutional frameworks.

### **Close Reading and Discussion**

#### **Freedom as a contested legal status and an embodied vulnerability.**

Northup's narrative insists that freedom is not merely an inner conviction but a socially recognized status that can be stripped away by carceral force. In the slave pen, he asserts "aloud and boldly" that he is free and names himself; the response is immediate violence and a demand that he abandons the claim. The beating is structured as an interrogation—"if I still insisted, I was a free man"—making physical pain the enforcement mechanism of a legal fiction.

The famous juxtaposition of a slave pen located "within plain sight" of national political space—where "patriotic representatives" speak of "freedom and equality"—produces a spatial allegory of American contradiction without requiring abstract theorizing. Northup's exclamation ("A slave pen within the very shadow of the Capitol!") is not merely indignation; it is a structural claim that American freedom is co-present with, and materially supported by, racial captivity [13].

Freedom is also narrated as difficult not because enslaved people "lack courage," but because escape is institutionally overdetermined: surveillance is distributed ("Every white man's hand is raised"), geography becomes carceral (swamps, patrols, dogs), and the law of passes turns movement into criminality. This passage rewrites the heroism of escape into an analysis of infrastructure—anticipating scholarship that emphasizes vigilance networks, kidnapping threats, and the bureaucratic ecology of slaveholding regions.

A further complication is Northup's explicit rejection of proslavery paternalism. After the near-lynching episode, he states he never arrived at the conclusion that an enslaved person is "happier than the free colored citizen of the North." The "never since arrived" formulation refuses the consolations of relativism: suffering is not redeemed by food or shelter, because the moral injury is the systematic denial of personhood and the omnipresence of violence as governance [14].

#### **Identity as forced renaming, strategic silence, and remembered kinship.**

A central mechanism of identity destruction in the narrative is forced renaming. When a trader calls "Platt," the name is not a mere label but a property tag: Northup is told, "Your name is Platt," and threatened with violence to ensure compliance. (Northup 1853, 76). Read through Patterson's account of natal alienation and dishonor, the renaming scene functions as a ritual of institutional conversion—erasing prior social ties and re-placing the subject within a regime where biography becomes unsafe speech.

Northup's subsequent identity work is therefore paradoxical: to survive, he must conceal the truth of his free birth, yet he continually performs identity through covert practices—memory, skill, and selective disclosure. The narrative's attention to the dangers of revealing northern origins (and the punishability of speech) illustrates that identity under slavery is not simply inner selfhood; it is a risk calculus shaped by terror. This dynamic aligns with pedagogical guidance on slave narratives that emphasizes how the tradition often centers the institution of slavery rather than the interiority of the narrator—precisely because white audiences demanded “facts,” and because enslaved people could not assume readers cared about their feelings except as proof against proslavery mythology [15].

The narrative also stages identity as relational, anchored in family and community recognition. The culminating recognition scene—when asked whether he has “any other name than Platt,” and he answers with his original name—signals not simply a personal declaration but a restoration of social legibility: wife and children's names become the proof of belonging. Identity here is both affective (kinship) and juridical (name as evidence).

### **Human dignity as refusal, moral perception, and the struggle for recognition.**

Northup's narrative gives dignity an embodied grammar. Enslavement compels the posture of humiliation: “down-cast eyes and uncovered head—in the attitude and language of a slave.” Yet the narrator's retrospective voice insists that dignity is not annihilated; it is suspended, coerced, and then reasserted as speech and posture once he is “standing on the soil of the free State where I was born.” The key gesture—“I can raise my head once more among men”—is a literalization of dignity as verticality and audibility.

This emphasis resonates with Patterson's framing of slavery as generalized dishonor and with his insistence that dishonor does not erase the “irrepressible yearning for dignity and recognition.” In Northup's account, that yearning is visible even in moments of strategic compromise: he learns what must be unsaid, but he does not concede the moral lie. He refuses to interpret violence as deserved, and he refuses to interpret the enslaved as “content.”

The near-lynching/noose episode offers an especially stark dignity paradox: survival requires outward submission (“I uttered not a word”), but the passage refuses to sentimentalize submission. Instead, it depicts terror as time—an elongated day of exposure, hunger, thirst, and suspended death. The later line—“Suffice it to say... I have never since arrived” at the proslavery conclusion—turns the episode into an epistemological argument: only those who have not “drank...from the bitter cup of slavery” can sustain fantasies of benevolent bondage.

Dignity is also represented through Northup's insistence on truthfulness without melodramatic simplification. In the editor's preface, the editor claims the narrative presents slavery “in all its lights, and shadows,” and Northup later states he has “no desire to speak...otherwise than truthfully,” even when condemning cruelty. (Northup 1853, xvi, 183). This rhetoric matters ethically: dignity is located not only in resistance but in the disciplined production of credible witness—an attempt to preserve moral judgment under a system designed to make judgment irrelevant.

### **Narrative technique: documentary realism, irony, and the ethics of address.**

Northup's narrative blends experiential scenes with documentary structures. The editor explicitly foregrounds corroboration (“abundant evidence”) while admitting that some statements “rest entirely upon Solomon's assertion.” This double claim—evidence plus assertion—captures a core slave-narrative problem: testimony must be both personal and verifiable to persuade hostile publics.

Northup also uses irony as a technique of ethical critique. The description of a “pious-hearted” trader or of forced dancing under the whip does not merely decorate the narrative; it exposes slavery's moral inversions—pleasure built on coercion, religion used as social cover, and “order” enforced by terror. Such ironic strategies align with scholarship arguing that Northup's narrative does not fit neatly into

either a rational self-making plot or a providential plot, but instead foregrounds the difficulty of making sense of slavery's contradictions.

Print-culture context further shapes technique. Roy's book-history analysis situates Northup's narrative in the early-1850s market where anti-slavery attention and popular literary trends overlapped, suggesting that publication and circulation conditions affected how narratives were packaged and consumed. This helps explain why Northup intermixes sensational episodes (kidnapping, pens, floggings) with painstaking empirical detail about labor, geography, and routines—an evidentiary realism that both satisfies reader curiosity and grounds moral claims.

### **Historical context: kidnapping of free Black citizens, law, and documentary struggle.**

Northup's extraordinary premise—free status overturned by kidnapping—was not an isolated fear in the early nineteenth century. Scholarship on kidnapping emphasizes that free Black communities understood abduction into slavery as a persistent threat and developed vigilance and mutual-aid strategies in response. Even when not accessible in full text here, the framing of kidnapping as a structural risk is central to the historiography of free Black life before the Civil War.

Within the narrative itself, the appended legal materials (memorials, affidavits, certificates) portray freedom as a documentary battle: identity is proven by witnesses, offices, signatures, and state action—an archive that dramatizes how Black freedom depended on bureaucratic recognition capable of failure or refusal. The text therefore historicizes dignity: the subject must be legible to the law in order to be protected by the law, and yet the law's institutions can coexist with a slave pen “in the shadow of the Capitol.”

Eakin and Logsdon's annotated edition tradition (as represented by the 1968 edition and later reissues) underscores the narrative's historical verifiability and continued scholarly use. LSU Press describes this edition as “carefully checked” and “remarkably accurate,” adding contextual research on plantation regions and kidnapping prosecutions. This scholarly afterlife reinforces the narrative's dual status: literature and documentary history.

### **Ethical implications: reading violence as witness without spectacle.**

Slave narratives force a basic ethical question: how should readers engage scenes of humiliation and terror without reproducing the dehumanization they condemn? Hartman's work is often mobilized to argue that domination operates through both terror and “enjoyment,” including coerced performances that invite voyeuristic consumption; this is relevant to Northup's scenes of forced dancing and public display. While Northup insists on truthfulness and empirical detail, the narrative's very vividness creates interpretive risk: the reader can be drawn toward “graphic” fascination rather than ethical attention to personhood.

A responsible critical practice therefore treats Northup's dignity not as a decorative moral theme but as a reading discipline: prioritize the narrator's claims to agency, relationality, and moral judgment; contextualize violence as institutional technology rather than individualized cruelty alone; and foreground the narrative's documentary labor (naming, paperwork, witness, memory) that reconstitutes personhood after attempted erasure. Such an approach aligns with pedagogical scholarship that frames slave narrative as both “I-witness” (experience) and “I-witness” (self-revealing voice), and that highlights the tradition's role in national debates about freedom, race, and American identity.

### **Conclusion and Research Gaps**

Northup's narrative frames freedom, identity, and dignity as conditions that slavery attempts to dissolve through violence, renaming, and the conversion of human beings into “chattel.” Yet it

simultaneously demonstrates how these values persist as practices: insisting on one's status even under torture, maintaining kinship memory as identity evidence, and sustaining moral perception that refuses to naturalize cruelty. Northup's insistence that he can now "raise my head once more among men" is not merely celebratory; it names dignity as the restoration of public standing and truthful speech after the enforced posture of dishonor. (Northup 1853, 183; Patterson 1982, 28).

Two original interpretive insights emerge from the close reading. First, the violin functions as a compact "identity technology": it preserves a recognizably human—and socially legible—self through sound, skill, and remembered artistry, providing moments of mobility and relational recognition ("It heralded my name round the country"). Yet this identity technology is ambivalent because it is also captured by the plantation's entertainment economy and used to lubricate coerced festivity.

Second, Northup's narrative power is intensified by its resistance to tidy moral emplotment. Genre criticism notes that many slave narratives carry strong teleologies of self-making freedom; Northup's "catastrophic fall" destabilizes that structure and turns the reader toward institutional critique—kidnapping, documentation, markets, and the legal fragility of Black freedom in purportedly free republics. This helps explain why the text remains ethically and historically resonant: its realism insists that freedom is neither guaranteed nor purely self-authored, but socially and bureaucratically negotiated.

### **Research gaps (for future publishable work).**

First, more sustained archival study is needed on the narrative's editorial mediation and publication economics: how the editor's framing, abolitionist marketing, and commercial trends shaped the narrative's voice and reception, beyond what is visible in preface statements and later book-history summaries.

Second, dignity-centered comparative studies could be deepened by bringing kidnapping narratives of free Black citizens into dialogue with gendered slave narratives and later neo-slave narratives, clarifying what "dignity" looks like across different coercive structures (sexual exploitation, family separation, and legal precarity).

Third, ethical criticism should continue to refine pedagogies for reading violence testimony—especially when adaptations and popular retellings (film or classroom excerpts) risk detaching scenes of terror from the narrator's documentary labor of selfhood.

### **References**

1. Bentley, N. (2007). Re-writing Englishness: Imagining the nation in Julian Barnes's *England, England* and Zadie Smith's *White Teeth*. *Textual Practice*, 21(3), 483–506.
2. Darwin, J. (2015). Memory of empire in Britain: A preliminary view. In D. Rothermund (Ed.), *Memories of post-imperial nations: The aftermath of decolonization, 1945–2013* (pp. 18–37). Cambridge University Press.
3. Gilroy, P. (2005). *Postcolonial melancholia* (pp. 1–192). Columbia University Press.
4. Kershaw, H. (2021). Remembering the Indian Mutiny: Colonial nostalgia in Zadie Smith's *White Teeth*. *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, 57(6), 868–881. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17449855.2021.1931943>
5. MacPhee, G., & Poddar, P. (Eds.). (2007). *Empire and after: Englishness in postcolonial perspective* (pp. 1–218). Berghahn Books.

6. Moore-Gilbert, B. (2005). Postcolonialism and ‘the figure of the Jew’: Caryl Phillips and Zadie Smith. In J. Acheson & S. C. E. Ross (Eds.), *The contemporary British novel since 1980* (pp. 106–117). Palgrave Macmillan. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-73717-8\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-73717-8_10)
7. Rasch, A. (2019). “Keep the balance”: The politics of remembering empire in postcolonial Britain. *Journal of Commonwealth and Postcolonial Studies*, 7(2), 212–230. <https://doi.org/10.5744/jcps.2019.1007>
8. Andermahr, S. (2019). Decolonizing cultural memory in Andrea Levy’s *Small Island*. *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, 55(4), 555–569. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17449855.2019.1633554>
9. Assmann, J., & Czaplicka, J. (1995). Collective memory and cultural identity. *New German Critique*, 65, 125–133.
10. Beukema, T. (2008). Men negotiating identity in Zadie Smith’s *White Teeth*. *Postcolonial Text*, 4(3), 1–15.
11. Bhabha, H. K. (1990). DissemiNation: Time, narrative, and the margins of the modern nation. In H. K. Bhabha (Ed.), *Nation and narration* (pp. 291–322). Routledge.
12. Caruth, C. (Ed.). (1995). *Trauma: Explorations in memory* (273 pp.). Johns Hopkins University Press.
13. Connerton, P. (1989). *How societies remember* (121 pp.). Cambridge University Press.
14. Ellis, A. E. (2012). Identity as cultural production in Andrea Levy’s *Small Island*. *EnterText*, 9, 69–83.
15. Gilroy, P. (2005). *Postcolonial melancholia* (192 pp.). Columbia University Press.
16. Hall, S. (1990). Cultural identity and diaspora. In J. Rutherford (Ed.), *Identity: Community, culture, difference* (pp. 222–237). Lawrence & Wishart.
17. Head, D. (2003). Zadie Smith’s *White Teeth*: Multiculturalism for the millennium. In R. J. Lane, R. Mengham, & P. Tew (Eds.), *Contemporary British fiction* (pp. 106–119). Polity.
18. Hirsch, M. (2008). The generation of postmemory. *Poetics Today*, 29(1), 103–128. <https://doi.org/10.1215/03335372-2007-019>
19. Kırpıklı, D. (2022). Transcultural memory of the nation in Caryl Phillips’s *A Distant Shore*. *English Studies*, 103(6), 983–1001. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0013838X.2022.2105042>
20. Rothberg, M. (2009). *Multidirectional memory: Remembering the Holocaust in the age of decolonization* (408 pp.). Stanford University Press.
21. Stoler, A. L. (2011). Colonial aphasia: Race and disabled histories in France. *Public Culture*, 23(1), 121–156. <https://doi.org/10.1215/08992363-2010-018>
22. Ali, M. (2003). *Brick Lane*. Scribner.
23. Duboin, C. (2011). Contested identities: Migrant stories and liminal selves in Andrea Levy’s *Small Island*. *Obsidian: Literature in the African Diaspora*, 12(1), 14–33.
24. Keightley, E. (2022). Rethinking technologies of remembering for a postcolonial world. *Memory, Mind & Media*, 1, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1017/mem.2022.9>
25. Lee, Y. S. (2016). The postcolonial novel and diaspora. In A. Quayson (Ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to the Postcolonial Novel* (pp. 133–151). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316459287.009>
26. Levy, A. (2004). *Small Island*. *Headline Review*.

27. Ouma, C. (2014). Reading the diasporic abiku in Helen Oyeyemi's *The Icarus Girl*. *Research in African Literatures*, 45(3), 188–205. <https://doi.org/10.2979/reseafrilite.45.3.188>
28. Oyeyemi, H. (2005). *The Icarus Girl*. Bloomsbury.
29. Parui, A. (2024). Memory studies and postcolonial writing: Interstitial intersections and entanglements. *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, 60(6), 725–733. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17449855.2024.2438722>
30. Sakız, E. T. (2023). Narrative and the mapping of diaspora space: Liminalities and subjectivities in the “Happy Multicultural Land” of Zadie Smith's *White Teeth*. *Journal of European Studies*, 53(1), 23–36. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00472441221141956>
31. Smith, Z. (2000). *White Teeth*. Hamish Hamilton.
32. Trimm, R. S. (2015). After the century of strangers: Hospitality and crashing in Zadie Smith's *White Teeth*. *Contemporary Literature*, 56(1), 145–172.
33. Ali, M. (2004). *Brick Lane*. Black Swan. (Original work published 2003)
34. Bhabha, H. K. (2004). *The location of culture* (2nd ed.). Routledge. (Original work published 1994)
35. Blunt, A., & McEwan, C. (Eds.). (2002). *Postcolonial geographies*. Continuum.
36. Gilroy, P. (1993). *The Black Atlantic: Modernity and double consciousness*. Harvard University Press.
37. Hall, S. (1990). Cultural identity and diaspora. In J. Rutherford (Ed.), *Identity: Community, culture, difference* (pp. 222–237). Lawrence & Wishart.
38. Levy, A. (2009). *Small Island*. *Headline Review*. (Original work published 2004)
39. Smith, Z. (2000). *White Teeth*. Hamish Hamilton.
40. Stoler, A. L. (2011). Colonial aphasia: Race and disabled histories in France. *Public Culture*, 23(1), 121–156.