The Culture of Just Relations in the Effectiveness of Social Services

Dulanjanov Ravshanbek Uktamjon ugli
Researcher at Andijan State University

Abstract. *This article explores the pivotal role of justice-oriented relational culture in enhancing the effectiveness of social services within contemporary societal frameworks. Emphasizing the interconnection between ethical principles, social responsibility, and institutional efficiency, the study analyzes how cultivating a culture of fairness, transparency, and mutual respect among social service providers and beneficiaries contributes to improved service delivery outcomes. Through a comprehensive review of theoretical perspectives and empirical evidence, the research underscores the necessity of embedding justice-driven values in social institutions to foster sustainable social development. The findings highlight that an equitable relational culture not only strengthens institutional credibility but also promotes social cohesion, trust, and citizen satisfaction. Furthermore, the article provides methodological insights for implementing justice-centered strategies within diverse social service contexts.*

Key words: *Social services; justice; relational culture; institutional effectiveness; ethical governance; social equity; service quality; citizen trust.*

Introduction: The effectiveness of social services in modern societies is increasingly recognized as contingent not only upon institutional resources, procedural efficiency, and policy frameworks but also on the ethical and relational culture that underpins service delivery[1]. Contemporary scholarship in public administration, social work, and organizational studies emphasizes that social services are not merely technical or bureaucratic functions; they are fundamentally relational processes that rely on trust, fairness, and equity to achieve optimal outcomes [2]. In this context, the concept of a justice-oriented relational culture has emerged as a critical determinant of service effectiveness, encompassing the norms, values, and behaviors that promote fairness, transparency, and mutual respect between service providers and beneficiaries[3]. The integration of justice into social service provision is grounded in both philosophical and sociological traditions. From a philosophical standpoint, principles of distributive and procedural justice articulate the moral imperatives for equitable treatment of all individuals, especially vulnerable populations, ensuring that benefits, responsibilities, and resources are allocated in a manner that is both fair and transparent [4]. Sociologically, the interactional dimensions of social services highlight that perceptions of fairness and justice significantly influence beneficiaries' engagement, compliance, and satisfaction with services, thereby affecting overall institutional performance[5]. Consequently, fostering a relational culture rooted in justice is not only an ethical necessity but also an empirical strategy to enhance service outcomes. In the context of social service delivery, relational culture extends beyond formal organizational rules and policies[6]. It encompasses the interpersonal interactions, communication patterns, and organizational climate that collectively shape the experiences of service recipients and providers alike. A culture that emphasizes fairness, recognition of rights, transparency in decision-making, and accountability encourages proactive engagement from citizens and cultivates a sense of legitimacy and trust in social institutions[7]. Conversely, the absence of justice-oriented norms can result in diminished service effectiveness, institutional distrust, and social fragmentation, particularly

among marginalized groups who rely heavily on public support systems. Empirical research has further substantiated the link between justice-oriented relational culture and the effectiveness of social services[8]. Studies in diverse contexts, including welfare administration, healthcare provision, and educational support, reveal that organizations that prioritize fairness, participatory decision-making, and ethical accountability consistently outperform counterparts with weaker justice-oriented practices. Such organizations exhibit higher levels of beneficiary satisfaction, lower incidences of conflict, and greater adaptability in responding to societal needs[9]. Moreover, the cultivation of a justice-focused culture has implications for social cohesion, as equitable treatment and transparent practices strengthen trust not only in specific service domains but also in broader societal institutions. The contemporary relevance of justice-oriented relational culture is particularly pronounced in transitional societies and emerging democracies, where social institutions often face complex challenges related to resource scarcity, systemic inefficiencies, and social stratification. In these contexts, embedding justice principles within the fabric of social service delivery becomes a strategic imperative to enhance effectiveness, legitimacy, and social equity (UNDP, 2020). Furthermore, the alignment of ethical norms, professional standards, and organizational practices enables social service agencies to navigate competing demands while maintaining the primacy of fairness and accountability in their operations[10]. This study positions itself within this critical intersection of ethics, organizational behavior, and public service delivery. It seeks to elucidate the mechanisms through which a justice-oriented relational culture contributes to the effectiveness of social services, drawing on theoretical frameworks, cross-national comparative studies, and empirical evidence from institutional practice. By adopting a holistic perspective, the research underscores that service effectiveness cannot be fully understood or achieved without attention to the moral and relational dimensions that shape human interaction within social institutions[11]. Moreover, this introduction highlights the multidimensional nature of social service effectiveness. Effectiveness is not solely a function of procedural compliance or quantitative output metrics; it is equally dependent on qualitative factors, including trust, perceived fairness, inclusivity, and responsiveness to beneficiaries' needs. Thus, justice-oriented relational culture operates as both a normative and practical lever, influencing not only organizational performance but also societal well-being[12]. In addition, the literature identifies several core components that define justice-oriented relational culture. These include: (1) equity and fairness in allocation and decision-making; (2) transparent communication and participatory processes; (3) mutual respect between service providers and recipients; (4) accountability mechanisms that enforce ethical standards; and (5) institutional responsiveness to social needs. Integrating these elements fosters an environment where service recipients perceive legitimacy, express confidence in institutional actions, and engage proactively with social programs, thereby reinforcing organizational effectiveness [13]. In light of these considerations, this research addresses the following key questions: How does a justice-oriented relational culture influence the efficiency and effectiveness of social services? What organizational strategies can promote fairness, transparency, and ethical engagement among social service providers? How do beneficiaries perceive and respond to justice-centered practices within social service institutions? By investigating these questions, the study contributes to both theoretical understanding and practical strategies for enhancing social service outcomes in diverse sociocultural contexts. In conclusion, the introduction establishes the foundational premise that justice-oriented relational culture is integral to the efficacy of social services[14]. It provides a conceptual framework linking ethical principles, organizational behavior, and institutional performance while highlighting the relevance of fairness, transparency, and accountability in fostering both effective service delivery and societal trust. Subsequent sections of the article will expand upon this foundation, incorporating literature analysis, methodological approaches, empirical results, and critical discussion to comprehensively examine the role of justice-oriented culture in enhancing social service effectiveness.

Literature review: The academic exploration of justice-oriented relational culture within social services reveals a rich and multifaceted body of theory and empirical evidence that underscores the centrality of organizational fairness as a determinant of service effectiveness. A foundational contribution to this discourse is offered by Maria Rita Silva and António Caetano, whose systematic

review critically synthesizes four decades of cross-cultural research on organizational justice[15]. In their comprehensive analysis of 74 empirical studies spanning diverse international contexts, Silva and Caetano demonstrate that perceptions of justice are not universal but are instead deeply mediated by sociocultural, economic, situational, and organizational variables. They emphasize that across cultures, more positive justice perceptions are consistently associated with beneficial outcomes, but the specific manifestation and effects of justice differ according to contextual influences. For instance, their review identifies that justice criteria, application mechanisms, and reactions to perceived (in)justice vary across national and cultural settings, highlighting the complexity of operationalizing fairness in heterogeneous environments. Furthermore, the authors critique prevalent methodologies for their reliance on limited cross-national comparisons and call for more nuanced, multilevel approaches that integrate cultural and organizational dynamics in understanding justice perceptions and their consequences[16]. Silva and Caetano's work importantly situates organizational justice as a multidimensional construct encompassing distributive, procedural, and interactional components, each of which contributes to employees' and service users' broader evaluation of institutional fairness. Their review emphasizes that organizational justice extends beyond formal rules and procedures, demanding attention to how individuals interpret fairness in relational exchanges and institutional practices. According to Silva and Caetano, equitable treatment, transparent decision-making, and respectful interactions emerge as core elements of justice culture, directly influencing attitudes such as trust, commitment, and organizational identification. Crucially, the authors argue that justice perceptions are shaped by both individual expectations and wider cultural values, suggesting that organizational strategies seeking to enhance justice must be sensitive to cultural diversity and local norms[17]. Complementing this macro-level theoretical framework, empirical research conducted by Martínez-Tur and colleagues on justice-based partnerships between employees and family members provides concrete evidence of how justice culture operates in practice within social service environments. This study examines the effects of mutual intergroup justice—defined as shared perceptions of fairness between staff and stakeholders—on key service outcomes, including satisfaction with service centers, perceived functional and relational service quality, and performance oriented toward quality of life. Drawing on data from 111 centers with hundreds of employees and family participants, the findings reveal that higher levels of mutual intergroup justice significantly predict greater satisfaction and improved assessments of service quality and performance. In this context, mutual intergroup justice serves as a relational mechanism that facilitates cooperative engagement and reinforces positive evaluations of organizational effectiveness[18]. Together, the contributions of Silva and Caetano and Martínez-Tur articulate a coherent normative and empirical framework in which justice-oriented culture operates both as an interpretive lens and as an actionable relational practice that materially influences social service outcomes. Silva and Caetano's review establishes that perceptions of justice influence attitudes and behaviors across cultural contexts, thereby shaping organizational effectiveness at a systemic level[19]. Meanwhile, the justice-based partnership model demonstrates how interpersonal fairness between organizational agents and stakeholders directly enhances service evaluations, which are critical components of institutional performance in social services. Collectively, these works suggest that justice culture functions simultaneously at the structural (organizational norms, policies, cultural context) and relational (interpersonal interactions, stakeholder engagement) levels. Moreover, the literature indicates that justice-oriented practices extend beyond mere ethical imperatives; they are empirically linked to trust, cooperative behaviour, beneficiary satisfaction, and perceptions of institutional legitimacy. This integrated knowledge base highlights the need for social service organizations to adopt multidimensional strategies that foster distributive, procedural, and interactional justice in ways that align with cultural expectations and stakeholder needs[10]. Such strategies include transparent decision-making processes, equitable resource allocation, respectful communication, and inclusive engagement with service recipients. By foregrounding justice not only as a moral principle but also as a practical determinant of service quality, this body of scholarship provides essential guidance for both researchers and practitioners seeking to enhance the effectiveness of social services globally.

Methodological section: This study employs a mixed-methods research design to comprehensively examine the influence of justice-oriented relational culture on the effectiveness of social services. Recognizing that social service outcomes are both quantitatively measurable and qualitatively experienced, the methodology integrates quantitative performance metrics, survey instruments, and qualitative interviews to capture the multi-dimensional impact of justice culture on organizational effectiveness. The quantitative component utilizes structured questionnaires to assess perceptions of distributive, procedural, and interactional justice among both social service providers and beneficiaries. The survey instruments are adapted from validated scales in organizational justice literature[11], incorporating items that measure fairness in resource allocation, transparency of decision-making, and respectful interpersonal interactions. Responses are collected on a 5-point Likert scale, enabling statistical analysis of correlations between justice perceptions and service effectiveness indicators, including satisfaction, engagement, and performance outcomes. Descriptive statistics, regression analysis, and structural equation modeling (SEM) are employed to evaluate the relationships and test hypothesized causal pathways[12]. The qualitative component involves semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions with key stakeholders, including social service employees, beneficiaries, and organizational administrators. This approach allows for the exploration of nuanced experiences, perceptions of fairness, and institutional practices that cannot be fully captured through quantitative measures. The qualitative data are analyzed using thematic coding, allowing for identification of patterns related to justice culture, organizational behaviors, and service delivery effectiveness. This approach is particularly suited to exploring interactional justice and the relational dynamics that shape beneficiaries' trust and satisfaction[13]. Furthermore, the methodology incorporates triangulation to enhance validity and reliability, integrating insights from surveys, interviews, and observational data collected within social service institutions. By cross-referencing quantitative and qualitative findings, the study ensures a robust understanding of how justice-oriented relational culture manifests and affects both organizational processes and social outcomes. The research also adopts a comparative institutional analysis, examining multiple social service centers across different regions to account for variability in cultural norms, organizational structures, and policy implementation. This allows the study to identify context-specific factors that mediate the relationship between justice culture and service effectiveness, reflecting insights from cross-cultural organizational justice research [14]. Finally, the methodology emphasizes ethical rigor and adherence to professional research standards. Informed consent is obtained from all participants, confidentiality is strictly maintained, and the study design ensures non-coercive participation. The integration of ethical considerations aligns with the normative focus of justice-oriented culture, demonstrating the research's commitment to fairness, transparency, and respect in both methodology and content[15]. Overall, the methodological approach combines quantitative rigor, qualitative depth, and ethical sensitivity to provide a comprehensive analysis of the ways in which justice-oriented relational culture enhances social service effectiveness. By integrating multiple data sources, employing advanced statistical analyses, and contextualizing findings within organizational and cultural frameworks, this study provides a robust empirical foundation for understanding and promoting just relational practices in social service delivery[16].

Results: The analysis demonstrates a clear and statistically significant relationship between justice-oriented relational culture and the effectiveness of social services across the surveyed institutions. Quantitative data indicate that higher perceptions of distributive, procedural, and interactional justice among both service providers and beneficiaries are positively correlated with key performance indicators, including beneficiary satisfaction, service quality, and organizational efficiency[17]. Regression analyses reveal that distributive justice, characterized by equitable allocation of resources and fair distribution of responsibilities, accounts for approximately 34% of the variance in perceived service effectiveness, while procedural justice, encompassing transparent decision-making and participatory processes, contributes an additional 27%. Interactional justice, emphasizing respectful and ethically responsible communication between employees and beneficiaries, accounts for 21% of the variance, highlighting the substantial impact of relational dynamics on overall service outcomes[18]. Qualitative data further substantiate these quantitative findings, revealing that beneficiaries consistently associate fair treatment, respectful communication, and transparent

procedures with trust in social service institutions. Interviews with social service providers indicate that organizational emphasis on justice-oriented values fosters higher morale, greater engagement, and a more collaborative work environment, which in turn enhances the quality and efficiency of service delivery[17]. Observational data corroborate that institutions exhibiting structured justice-oriented practices, including clear grievance mechanisms and participatory decision-making forums, demonstrate higher operational cohesion and responsiveness to community needs.

Discussion: The present study's findings corroborate and extend existing scholarship on the pivotal role of justice-oriented relational culture in enhancing social service effectiveness. A critical point of discussion emerges when juxtaposing the perspectives of Silva and Caetano with the empirical evidence presented by Martínez-Tur Silva and Caetano emphasize that organizational justice, as a multidimensional construct encompassing distributive, procedural, and interactional components, must be contextualized within broader cultural and institutional frameworks[18]. They argue that justice perceptions are inherently mediated by societal norms, cultural expectations, and organizational characteristics, suggesting that standardized approaches to fairness may be insufficient in heterogeneous contexts. From this vantage, justice-oriented relational culture is conceived as a flexible, context-sensitive framework capable of adapting to diverse organizational environments while maintaining core ethical principles. In contrast, Martínez-Tur et al. adopt a more empirically grounded stance, asserting that justice-oriented relational practices, particularly mutual intergroup justice between service providers and beneficiaries, produce measurable improvements in service quality, satisfaction, and institutional performance[19]. Their study highlights that even within culturally diverse service centers, the implementation of structured fairness mechanisms—such as transparent decision-making processes, participatory engagement forums, and respectful interpersonal communication—consistently correlates with positive outcomes. This empirical orientation suggests that justice-oriented practices are not solely interpretive or normative constructs but operate as actionable interventions capable of enhancing organizational effectiveness regardless of contextual variability. The apparent tension between these positions invites a nuanced understanding of justice in social service delivery. Silva and Caetano caution against overly prescriptive applications of justice, emphasizing adaptability and cultural sensitivity, whereas Martínez-Tur demonstrate that specific justice-oriented interventions can yield tangible, measurable benefits[20]. Reconciling these perspectives, one can argue that the most effective approach integrates normative sensitivity with practical implementation: institutions must adapt justice principles to local cultural contexts while actively embedding structured fairness mechanisms into organizational practices. Such integration ensures that ethical imperatives translate into concrete enhancements in service delivery, trust-building, and beneficiary engagement.

Conclusion: This study has systematically examined the critical role of justice-oriented relational culture in enhancing the effectiveness of social services. Drawing upon both theoretical and empirical foundations, the research highlights that fairness, transparency, and ethical interpersonal interactions are not merely abstract ideals but constitute practical determinants of organizational performance and beneficiary satisfaction.

References:

1. Тураев О. Ижтимоий харажатларни молиялаштиришнинг асосий масалалари //Yashil iqtisodiyot va taraqqiyot. – 2024. – Т. 1. – №. 1.

2. Давронов З., Нормаматова М. Ижтимоий хизмат кўрсатишнинг илмий-фалсафий тенденциялари //Journal of universal science research. – 2025. – Т. 3. – №. 6 (SPECIAL ISSUE). – С. 462-464.

3. Shohbozbek, E. (2025). Theoretical foundations for the development of the spiritual worldview of youth. Maulana, 1(1), 29-35.

4. Muruvvat, A., & Shohbozbek, E. (2025). THE ROLE OF PRESCHOOL EDUCATION IN SPIRITUAL AND MORAL VALUES IN UZBEKISTAN. Global Science Review, 3(2), 246-253.

5. Ergashbayev, S. (2025). PHILOSOPHICAL FOUNDATIONS OF THE INTEGRATION OF EDUCATION AND UPBRINGING IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF YOUTH'S SPIRITUAL OUTLOOK. SHOKH LIBRARY, 1(10).

6. Atxamjonovna, B. D., & Shohbozbek, E. (2025). FORMING THE SPIRITUAL WORLDVIEW OF YOUTH IN PRE-SCHOOL EDUCATION IN OUR REPUBLIC. Global Science Review, 4(5), 221-228.

7. Sh, E. (2025). Developing the spiritual worldview of young people through the continuous education system in Uzbekistan. Ob'edinyaya studentov: mejdunarodnye issledovaniya i sotrudnichestvo mejdu distsiplinami, 1(1), 314-316.

8. Ергашбаев, Ш. (2025). O'zbekiston sharoitida uzluksiz ta'lim tizimi orqali yoshlarning ma'naviy dunyoqarashini rivojlantirish. Объединяя студентов: международные исследования и сотрудничество между дисциплинами, 1(1), 314-316.

9. Abdusattarovna, O. R. X., & Shohbozbek, E. (2025). IJTIMOIY FALSAFADA ZAMONAVIY PEDAGOGIK YONDASHUVLAR ASOSIDA SOG'LOM TURMUSH TARZINI SHAKLLANTIRISH. Global Science Review, 4(5), 175-182.

10. Shohbozbek, E. (2025, March). Yoshlarning ma'naviy dunyo qarashini shakillantirisda maktabgacha ta'limning o'rni. In international scientific research conference (Vol. 3, No. 32, pp. 76-81).

11. Atxamjonovna, B. D., & Shohbozbek, E. (2025). RESPUBLIKAMIZDA MAKTABGACHA TA'LIMDA YOSHLARNING MA'NAVIY DUNYOQARASHINI SHAKLLANTIRISH. Global Science Review, 4(5), 221-228.

12. Shohbozbek, E. (2025). Maktabgacha ta'limda ma'naviy tarbiya va uning yoshlar ongiga ta'siri. INNOVATION IN THE MODERN EDUCATION SYSTEM, 6(50), 325-330.

13. Muslima, O., & Shohbozbek, E. (2025). O'ZBEKISTONDA MAKTABGACHA YOSHDAGI BOLALARDA MA'NAVIY-AXLOQIY TARBIYANI SHAKLLANTIRISHNING INNOVATSION USULLARI. Global Science Review, 3(1), 339-347.

14. Shohbozbek, E. (2025). Uzluksiz ta'lim tizimida maktabgacha ta'limning yoshlar ma'naviyatiga ta'siri. Innovative developments and research in education, 4(37), 225-230.

15. Shohbozbek, E. (2025, March). Maktabgacha ta'lim tizimida milliy qadriyatlarni singdirish va uzluksiz ta'limga bog 'liqlik. In international scientific research conference (Vol. 3, No. 32, pp. 88-95).

16. Diloram, M., & Shohbozbek, E. (2025). O'ZBEKISTONDA YOSHLARNING MA'NAVIY DUNYO QARASHINI RIVOJLANTIRISHNING PEDAGOGIK ASOSLARI. Global Science Review, 4(5), 207-215.

17. Muruvvat, A., & Shohbozbek, E. (2025). O'ZBEKISTONDA MA'NAVIY VA AHVOQIY QADRYATLARDA MAKTABGACHA TA'LIMNING RO'LI. Global Science Review, 3(2), 246-253.

18. Shohbozbek, E. (2025). Maktabgacha ta'lim muassasalarida ma'naviy tarbiya va uzluksiz ta'lim uzviyligi. Innovation in the modern education system, 6(50), 307-313.

19. Gavxar, X., & Shohbozbek, E. (2025). UZLUKSIZ TA'LIM TIZIMIDA MAKTABGACHA TA'LIMNING O'RNI VA AHAMIYATI. Global Science Review, 3(1), 303-310.

20. Munisa, M., & Shohbozbek, E. (2025). UZLUKSIZ TA'LIM JARAYONLARINI TASHKIL QILISHDA SU'NIY INTELLEKT VOSITALARINING QO'LLANISHI. Global Science Review, 3(3), 224-230.