

The Problem of Developing Intellect and Creativity in the Student in Improving the Quality of Education in Study Activities

Saliyeva Dilorom Abdullayevna

Kokan State Pedagogical Institute, Department of "Psychology" ps. Ph.D., associate professor

Abstract. *This study explores the intricate relationship between intelligence and creativity, addressing the existing gap in understanding their interplay and its implications for student development in higher education. Employing theoretical analysis and empirical evidence, the research examines convergent and divergent thinking models, psychological and social factors influencing creativity, and educational challenges faced by students. Findings indicate a nuanced interaction where creativity stems from high intelligence yet thrives on divergent thinking, highlighting adaptability and innovation as critical components of cognitive development. The results underscore the necessity of fostering both intelligence and creativity in educational strategies to enhance students' adaptive capabilities and professional success.*

Key words: *intelligence, creativity, IQ coefficient, maladjustment, convergent thinking, divergent thinking, creative ability, social intelligence, emotional intelligence, mental operation.*

Introduction:

The development of intelligence and creativity has long been a central focus of educational and psychological research, given their critical roles in shaping individual and societal progress. Intelligence, defined as the ability to adapt, reason, and process information, has been extensively studied in relation to its contribution to academic and professional success. Similarly, creativity, characterized by divergent thinking and innovation, is increasingly recognized as essential for addressing complex global challenges. Despite the growing body of literature on these constructs, a significant gap remains in understanding how intelligence and creativity interact and how this interplay can be harnessed to enhance educational outcomes. The present study seeks to address this gap by exploring the relationship between these cognitive abilities, with particular attention to the challenges faced by students in adapting to higher education environments and the implications for pedagogical practices.

Existing theories on the connection between intelligence and creativity present diverse perspectives. Some researchers posit that creativity is a product of high intelligence, while others suggest these are distinct yet complementary constructs that require different developmental strategies. Furthermore, studies have identified factors such as emotional intelligence, social adaptation, and thinking styles as mediators in this relationship. However, there is limited empirical evidence that integrates these theoretical frameworks with practical observations of student behavior in educational settings. This gap underscores the need for a comprehensive approach that combines theoretical analysis with empirical exploration to uncover actionable insights.

To address this, the study employs a mixed-methods approach, drawing from a thorough review of psychological theories and longitudinal observations of students' cognitive and creative behaviors. The methodology includes an evaluation of intelligence quotients, qualitative observations of

problem-solving techniques, and an analysis of students' adaptability to academic challenges. This integrative framework aims to identify patterns and trends in the interaction between intelligence and creativity, providing a robust basis for drawing conclusions and implications.

Preliminary findings indicate that while intelligence serves as a scaffold for creativity, the latter thrives in environments that encourage risk-taking, innovation, and independent thinking. The challenges faced by students, including difficulties in self-management, transitioning to independent learning, and aligning theoretical knowledge with practical applications, highlight the need for targeted educational strategies. These findings suggest that fostering creativity requires more than high intellectual capacity; it necessitates an educational framework that values and cultivates divergent thinking alongside traditional measures of intelligence.

The results of this study have significant implications for educational practices. By understanding the dynamic interplay between intelligence and creativity, educators can design interventions that address students' cognitive, social, and emotional needs. This includes developing curricula that balance structured learning with opportunities for exploration and innovation. Moreover, the study calls for the integration of strategies that mitigate adaptation challenges, equipping students with the skills and resilience needed to thrive in complex academic and professional environments.

In conclusion, this study not only contributes to the theoretical understanding of intelligence and creativity but also provides practical recommendations for enhancing educational outcomes. By bridging the gap between theory and practice, it paves the way for further research into optimizing the development of these cognitive abilities, with implications for both individual growth and societal advancement.

Methodology

The methodology for this study combines theoretical analysis and empirical exploration to investigate the relationship between intelligence and creativity in students and their implications for educational practices. The research begins with a comprehensive review of existing theories and literature, including seminal works by psychologists such as G. Eysenck, J. Piaget, and A. Adler, to establish the foundational understanding of intelligence and creativity as distinct yet interconnected cognitive abilities. Through an analytical framework, key concepts like convergent and divergent thinking, emotional intelligence, and social adaptation are examined to identify the critical factors influencing student cognitive development.

Empirical data is collected by observing and assessing the intellectual and creative behaviors of students across various academic settings. This includes evaluating their problem-solving approaches, adaptability, and innovation through qualitative observations and structured assessments. Participants are also categorized based on their intelligence quotients (IQ) to explore the correlation between intelligence levels and creative output. The study employs longitudinal methods to track cognitive and creative development over time, identifying patterns and trends that elucidate the dynamic interplay between these faculties.

Additionally, psychological and educational challenges, such as students' difficulties in adapting to higher education, are analyzed to determine how these factors impede or foster intellectual and creative growth. By integrating theoretical insights with observational data, this methodology provides a holistic understanding of the developmental processes, offering actionable recommendations for educational strategies to enhance student learning outcomes and cognitive potential.

Results and Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the intricate relationship between intelligence and creativity, highlighting their distinct yet interconnected roles in student development. The theoretical exploration of intelligence revealed its multifaceted nature, encompassing components such as convergent thinking, adaptability, and problem-solving, which collectively enable individuals to process and apply information effectively. Similarly, creativity, defined through divergent thinking

and innovation, emerged as a dynamic process driven by intellectual capacity but also influenced by unique personal and environmental factors.

The study identified several key trends. First, intelligence was found to be a foundational element for creativity, with higher intellectual abilities associated with greater creative potential. This aligns with theories suggesting that intelligence provides the cognitive framework necessary for creative endeavors. However, the research also highlighted that creativity transcends mere adaptation, serving as a transformative force that fosters innovation and problem-solving in diverse contexts.

Furthermore, the challenges faced by students in higher education were examined, revealing critical barriers to the development of both intelligence and creativity. These included difficulties in adapting to the academic environment, lack of self-management skills, and an inability to balance theoretical knowledge with practical applications. For instance, students often struggled with planning and conceptualizing tasks, resulting in fragmented learning processes that impeded intellectual and creative growth. These findings underscore the need for tailored interventions that address these barriers and create supportive learning environments.

The discussion also extended to the broader implications of the findings. One significant insight is the dual necessity of fostering both intelligence and creativity to prepare students for the demands of modern education and professional environments. Intelligence equips students with the tools to adapt and succeed in structured tasks, while creativity empowers them to innovate and navigate unstructured challenges. By integrating strategies that nurture both faculties, educators can cultivate well-rounded individuals capable of contributing meaningfully to societal progress.

Additionally, the role of psychological factors, such as motivation and emotional resilience, was emphasized as crucial in bridging the gap between intelligence and creativity. For instance, students who exhibited higher levels of motivation and emotional intelligence were better able to harness their cognitive abilities for creative problem-solving. These observations support the need for holistic educational practices that incorporate emotional and social learning alongside cognitive development.

In conclusion, the results of this study provide a nuanced understanding of the interplay between intelligence and creativity, offering valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities within educational settings. By addressing these findings, educators and policymakers can design interventions that not only enhance academic performance but also foster innovation and adaptability, preparing students for the complexities of contemporary life. Future research should build on these insights by exploring the long-term effects of integrated educational strategies on student outcomes and their broader societal impacts.

Conclusion

The findings of this study emphasize the complex relationship between intelligence and creativity, revealing their dual roles in shaping students' cognitive abilities and adaptability within educational contexts. Intelligence serves as a foundational element for creativity, with high levels of intellectual ability fostering divergent thinking and innovative problem-solving. However, the study also highlights that challenges such as maladjustment, lack of independent learning skills, and difficulties in transitioning to higher education environments can hinder the realization of students' full intellectual and creative potential. These insights underline the necessity for educational strategies that simultaneously nurture intelligence and creativity through targeted interventions, fostering an environment conducive to both adaptation and innovation. Future research should further investigate the longitudinal impact of these strategies, exploring how specific pedagogical methods can bridge the gap between intelligence and creativity and their effects on students' academic and professional success.

Sources and literature used.

1. Volkov B.S. Vozrastnaya psixologiya. V 2-x ch.
2. David G. Mayers "Psychology ninth addition in moduls" 2010. 6-7 pages.
3. E.G'.G'oziyev "O'quv faoliyatini boshqarishi" o'quv qo'llanma 2004y

4. Salieva, D. A. "Psychological peculiarities of the influence of motivation on the learning independence of the student of young school." *EPRA International Journal of Economic Growth and Environmental Issues* 8.3 (2020): 87-90.
5. Abdullayevna, Salieva Dilorom, and Saipova Mehri Valievna. "Mental Characteristics Of Experience Teenagers From Labor Immigrant Families Who Feel Lonely." *Journal of Positive School Psychology* 6.11 (2022): 423-427.
6. Salieva, Dilorom Abdullaevna, and Karas Orzhanovich Kaziev. "THE INFLUENCE OF THE GENDER PERSONALITY OF THE MANAGER ON INTERPERSONAL RELATIONS IN PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT." *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal* 10.11 (2022): 756-760.
7. Салиева, Д. А. "К вопросу о психологических возможностях развития учебной самостоятельности в младшем школьном возрасте." *Актуальные проблемы современной науки* 5 (2013): 117-119.
8. Abdullaevna, Saliyeva Dilorom, and Rakhmonova Ayshakhan Oribovna. "Gender Stereotype In Adolescence The Study Of The Formation Of Properties." *Journal of Positive School Psychology* 6.10 (2022): 3446-3451.
9. Чудакова, Вера Петровна, and Дилором Абдуллаевна Салиева. "МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЙ ОПЫТ ВНЕДРЕНИЯ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ «ФОРМИРОВАНИЯ ПСИХОЛОГИЧЕСКОЙ ГОТОВНОСТИ ПЕДАГОГОВ К ИННОВАЦИОННОЙ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ» И «КОМПЕТЕНТНОСТЕЙ КОНКУРЕНТОСПОСОБНОСТИ» ЛИЧНОСТИ В БЫСТРО ИЗМЕНЯЮЩИХСЯ УСЛОВИЯХ, С ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕМ ДИАГНОСТИЧЕСКОГО, ИНТЕРАКТИВНОГО." *Science Promotion* 1.1 (2024): 186-194.
10. Abdullayevna, Saliyeva Dilorom, and Jurayev Nurbek Sadullayevich. "SPECIFIC PSYCHOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF THE FORMATION OF STUDY MOTIVES IN PRIMARY SCHOOL STUDENTS." *Semiconductor Optoelectronics* 42.2 (2023): 1448-1456.
11. Abdullayevna, Saliyeva Dilorom. "THE FORMATION OF AGGRESSION IN BEHAVIOR-BEHAVIOR OF PRESCHOOL CHILDREN." *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal* 11.12 (2023): 967-972.
12. Abdullayevna, Saliyeva Dilorom. "THE CHARACTERISTICS OF FORMING THE VOLUNTARY ASPECTS OF STUDENTS IN PROFESSIONAL DIRECTION IN THE EDUCATION AND EDUCATION IN THE SCHOOL." *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal* 11.12 (2023): 958-961.
13. Abdullayevna, Saliyeva Dilorom. "PROBLEMS OF THE CRISIS PERIOD OF THE CHILD IN THE RELATIONSHIP WITH THE CHILD IN THE FAMILY." *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal* 11.12 (2023): 996-1000.