AJSIHD
GLOBAL RESEARCH NETWORK

AMERICAN Journal of Science on Integration and Human Development
Volume 2, Issue 3, 2024 ISSN (E): 2993-2750

Population Policies in India: Successes, Challenges, and Future Developmental Directions in the Context of Himachal Pradesh

Sandeep Bodh
School of Social Sciences, Indira Gandhi National Open University

Abstract: India created history by becoming the first country in 1952 to launch a national family planning programme, making a pioneering step to reduce population growth. Over the decades, the changes have been made to match the needs of common people leading to new achievements and challenges. This paper reviews this developmental change with special reference to Himachal Pradesh, a state known for its strong health and educational outcomes. Evidences from NFHS-5, the Census of India, and Sample Registration System highlights the fertility decline, maternal and child health gain and increasing literacy but the challenges such as skewed sex ratios, uneven development across districts and limited reproductive health coverage is a matter of concern. Overall, Himachal Pradesh stands out as a relatively successful example, showing how a focus on healthcare, women's empowerment, and local participation can shape positive demographic change.

Keywords: Population Policies, Demographic Transition, Fertility Decline, Gender Equity, NFHS-5.

Introduction

India's demographic journey has been closely tied to its evolving policy framework. In 1952, our country was the first to launch a national family planning programme, (Government of India, 1952; Visaria, 2021) acknowledging the far-reaching economic and social consequences of unchecked population growth as a barrier of overall development (Hodges, 2004; Dyson, 2010; MoHFW, 2000). The initial approach was clinic-based and target-driven, which focused more on sterilization and intrauterine devices, reflecting the urgent developmental concerns of the time (Visaria, 2021). However, coercive sterilization campaigns during the Emergency (1975–77) generated long-lasting public mistrust toward family planning programmes (Williams, 2014; Population Matters, 2022). Since the 1990s, India's policy approach has undergone significant changes, aligning with the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD) 1994 (Chaurasia, 2020; Visaria, 2021). The National Population Policy (2000) emphasized voluntary participation, reproductive rights, and the integration of maternal and child health with family welfare (MoHFW, 2000; UNFPA, 1994). This marked a clear departure from demographic targets toward a rights-based framework that linked population stabilization with women's empowerment and health equity. These policy shifts have yielded measurable demographic outcomes. Total fertility rate (TFR) of India declined in 1950s from over 6 to 2.0 in 2019–21, approaching replacement level (IIPS & ICF, 2021). Life expectancy and maternal and child health indicators have improved significantly (UNFPA, 2021). The performance of Himachal Pradesh in immunization, institutional delivery and antenatal care exceeds the national average (NHM Himachal Pradesh, 2021). However, its sex ratio at the time of birth remains low,

875 females per 1000 males, reflecting persistence gender bias despite overall social progress (UNFPA, 2021). In sum, India's population policies demonstrate major triumph in fertility reduction and health improvements but face persistent challenges of equity and regional imbalance. Himachal Pradesh illustrates both the possibilities of social investment in achieving demographic success and the caution that progress in numbers must be matched with gender justice and inclusive health outcomes.

The Changing landscape of Population Policies in India

The evolution of India's population policy can be seen through different phases that often overlap but remain distinct in their focus

1. Early Family Planning and Population Control (1950s–1970s)

The National Family Planning Programme commenced in 1952 as part of India's First Five-Year Plan, becoming one of the earliest countrywide initiatives linking demographic control to development priorities. The programme initially focused on increasing the availability of contraceptive methods- primarily sterilization and intrauterine devices (Mathai, 2008; Kongawad & Boodeppa, 2014), through government clinics, emphasizing fertility decline as a pathway to economic advancement (Mathai, 2008). By the late 1960s and early 1970s, policy implementation grew increasingly target-driven. External technical and financial support encouraged sterilization as an efficient tool to lower fertility. These elements culminated in the highly controversial Emergency period (1975–1977**)**, during which coercive sterilization campaigns were intensively pursued (Connelly, 2006; Williams, 2014).

2. Transition to Voluntarism and Rights-Based Framing (Post-1977 to 1990s)

Following the Emergency, India distanced itself from explicit demographic targets and coercive methods. The nomenclature shifted from "family planning" to "family welfare," signaling a softening of approach. Emphasis turned toward voluntarism, informed consent, and attendant healthcare services (Srinivasan, 2006; Kongawad & Boodeppa, 2014). The Child Survival and Safe Motherhood (CSSM) programme, launched in 1992, introduced a broader maternal and child health component within the welfare agenda. CSSM focused on routine immunization, childbirth safety, newborn care, and limited family-planning interventions (Garg, 1997). Though pioneering, CSSM's implementation was uneven and coverage remained limited due to infrastructural and managerial constraints.

3. Rights-Based Shift and Integrated Reproductive Health (1994–2000)

India's policy direction further transformed after the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD, Cairo, 1994), which reoriented global population discourse towards gender equality, reproductive rights and comprehensive reproductive health (United Nations, 1994). In alignment, India launched the Reproductive and Child Health (RCH) programme in October 1997. RCH integrated family planning with maternal and child health, safe abortions, adolescent health, and prevention of sexually transmitted infections (IPHA, 2010). It marked a paradigmatic shift from contraceptive supply to reproductive health care. The controversial sterilization drives during the Emergency (1975–77) created long-lasting mistrust in family planning (Connelly, 2006). In response, policies gradually shifted towards voluntarism and integration of maternal health, especially after the ICPD 1994, with the National Population Policy 2000 reinforcing a rights-based agenda (Srinivasan, 2006; Muttreja & Singh, 2018). Later, the NRHM of 2005 sought to strengthen health systems and reduce inequities, though outcomes varied across states (Vellakkal et al., 2016)

4. Health Systems Strengthening and Community Mobilization (2005–2010s)

To operationalize integrated reproductive health, the National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) was launched on 12 April 2005, focusing on rural health-system strengthening, decentralized delivery, and community participation (MoHFW, 2005; Wikipedia, 2025). NRHM emphasized

scaling up infrastructure, deploying community health workers (ASHAs) from 2005 onward (Wikipedia, 2025; NRHM framework). ASHAs became vital liaisons between community and health system, promoting immunization, antenatal care, institutional deliveries, and family-planning counseling. NRHM also institutionalized community-based monitoring (CBM), through Village Health and Sanitation Committees, embedding accountability and local governance into healthcare (Wikipedia, 2025). Evaluations attributed improved maternal and child-health outcomes to NRHM, though disparities across states continued to challenge equity (Vellakkal et al., 2016).

5. Targeted Interventions and Contemporary Diversification (2015–Present)

In 2015, Beti Bachao Beti Padhao campaign was launched , explicitly addressing India's deteriorating child sex ratio by empowering and educating the girl child. This tri-ministerial initiative combined awareness, education, and gender-battlefront action to counter societal son preference (Wikipedia, 2025). Further, Mission Parivar Vikas, inaugurated on 11 July 2017, targets 146 high-fertility districts with intensified access to modern contraceptive methods. Its objective is to accelerate fertility decline and approach replacement-level fertility by 2025 (Mission Parivar Vikas, 2017). These evolutionary stages reflect India's shifting terrain, from a narrow fertility-control focus to a broad, rights based and health-system-oriented population policy infrastructure. Each phase introduced innovations, though each also revealed new challenges: public distrust post-Emergency, uneven CSSM rollout, infrastructural gaps under NRHM, entrenched gender biases, and unmet spacing-method needs. Nonetheless, the trajectory underscores India's progress toward balancing demographic objectives with reproductive rights and healthcare equity.

Himachal Pradesh: A Case Study of Success

Himachal Pradesh stands out with a below-replacement fertility rate of 1.7 and relatively low maternal and infant mortality, achievements linked to investments in rural healthcare and education (Gupta, 2013; NHM Himachal Pradesh, 2021; Registrar General of India, 2022). Yet, out-migration of youth has begun shaping demographic imbalances, highlighting new vulnerabilities (Kumar & Choudhury, 2020)."

Himachal Pradesh illustrates how long-term attention to health, education, and gender equity can translate into stable demographic achievements. The state has steadily performed better than the national average on many health and population indicators, reflecting its balanced approach to development. Findings from the National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5, 2019–21) shows that the Total Fertility Rate (TFR) in Himachal is 1.7, lower than the replacement level of 2.1. Over 92 percent of births are now conducted in institutions, and 94 percent of women receive antenatal care from qualified professionals—an indication of the effectiveness of the state's maternal healthcare services.

Mortality data further highlights this progress—the Infant Mortality Rate (IMR) is 19 per 1,000 surviving births compared to India's average of 28, while the Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR) is around 45 per 100,000 surviving births, one of the lowest in the country (Sample Registration System, 2022).

Education has been central to these achievements. With an female literacy at 76.6% to overall literacy rate of 82.8% (Census of India, 2011), Himachal Pradesh get counted under India's most literate states. Government efforts to make primary education widely accessible—through mid-day meals, free textbooks, and universal schooling—have played a big role. Girls' education in particular has been prioritized, which has helped empower women and delay marriage.

NFHS-5 data shows that women in Himachal Pradesh marry at a median age of 22.6 years, higher than the national average of 21.2 years. This later age at marriage has contributed to lower fertility and improved maternal health, as women gain greater control over their reproductive choices. Despite its hilly terrain, the state has built a strong health network of PHCs, CHCs, and

sub-centers. Mobile vans, telemedicine, and ASHA workers have extended access to remote areas, while immunization rates stand at 88 percent—well above the national figure of 76 percent. Women's empowerment has also been central to these outcomes. With 50 percent representation in Panchayati Raj Institutions, women's voices have influenced decisions on health, sanitation, and education. Self-help groups under NRLM have strengthened financial independence and improved health awareness. Social development has gone hand in hand with these measures: Himachal ranks high on HDI (0.69 in 2019, UNDP), and welfare schemes like *Beti Hai Anmol Yojana* and *Mukhya Mantri Kanyadan Yojana* have encouraged investment in daughters' education and well-being. Cultural norms and livelihoods reinforce these demographic trends. The sex ratio is 898 girls per 1,000 boys at birth, which is better than neighboring Haryana and Punjab, though still below natural levels. Initiatives like *Beti Bachao Beti Padhao* continue to push change. Meanwhile, with less dependence on large families for agricultural labor and growing aspirations for education and salaried jobs, families are increasingly opting for smaller households.

Comparative Indicators: Himachal Pradesh vs. India

Indicator	Himachal Pradesh	India (National Average)	Source
Fertility Rate (TFR)	1.7	2.0	NFHS-5 (2019–21)
Infant Mortality Rate (IMR per 1,000 live births)	<20	26	SRS 2022
Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR per 100,000 live births)	<70	93	SRS 2019–21
Female Literacy Rate	76.6	65.5%	Census 2011
Overall Literacy Rate	82.8%	74.0%	Census 2011
Sex Ratio (females per 1,000 males)	972	943	Census 2011
Child Sex Ratio (0–6 years)	909	919	Census 2011
Institutional Deliveries	>90%	~88%	NFHS-5 (2019–21)

CHALLENGES AND DIRECTIONS

1. Gender Bias and the Continuing Challenge of Skewed Sex Ratios

Persistent gender imbalance remains a major concern, with sex ratio distortions documented in northern states despite legal safeguards (Jha & Kumar, 2017). At the same time, declining fertility has contributed to population ageing, carrying economic implications (Bloom et al., 2010). While India still hopes to harness its demographic dividend, success will depend on education and employment strategies (Aiyar & Menon, 2021). Researchers argue that tackling son preference requires wider social reforms, including changes in land inheritance laws, economic incentives for daughters, stronger media representation, and the promotion of gender-equitable values (Jha et al., 2011). Unless such deeper changes take root, gender bias will continue to pose a major demographic challenge.

2. Unmet Reproductive Health Needs and Adolescent Care

Though India's contraceptive prevalence has risen, unmet need for family planning remains high, particularly regarding spacing methods. NFHS-5 indicates that many women still rely on female sterilization, while modern spacing methods (pills, IUDs, condoms) remain underutilized in parts of Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, and Jharkhand (IIPS & ICF, 2021). Programmatic over-reliance on sterilization, limited method choice, and suboptimal counselling quality contribute to persisting gaps (MoHFW, 2020). Moreover, adolescent reproductive health continues to be a

neglected domain. India's youth, a large and growing demographic, faces challenges such as early marriage and pregnancy, limited knowledge of reproductive education, and poor access to services (UNFPA, 2019). Policy frameworks that target adolescents remain fragmented, with limited integration into RCH and NHM strategies. Adolescent pregnancy carries heightened risks for maternal and child morbidity and curtails educational attainment, thereby reinforcing intergenerational cycles of disadvantage (Santhya et al., 2020). Addressing this requires comprehensive sexuality education, youth-friendly services, and empowerment platforms for girls and boys, areas still in nascent development in many parts of the country.

3. Ageing Populations and Elder Care Needs

As fertility declines in many states, including Himachal Pradesh, Kerala, and Tamil Nadu, India faces an emerging ageing population challenge. Lower fertility combined with increased life expectancy heightens the old-age dependency ratio, placing new socio-economic burdens on families and public systems (Bloom et al., 2010). Traditional dependence on family members for elder care is decreasing as migration, nuclear families, and urbanization weaken intergenerational support structures (Lamb, 2019). Policy responses to ageing have been limited. The National Policy on Older Persons (1999) and the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act (2007) promises some protections, but implementation is weak, and geriatric healthcare infrastructure is underdeveloped (Government of India, 1999; 2007). To preempt ageing-related strain, population policy must integrate elder-care systems, pension reforms, gerontological health services, and community support mechanisms, particularly in low- and middle-income states facing early onset of population ageing.

4. Balancing Fertility Stabilization, Human Capital, and Sustainable Development

India's future population strategy must move beyond fertility goals and integrate human capital formation, gender equity, and sustainability. The promise of a demographic dividend, where a huge population of working age supports economic growth, is compelling, but fragile. Deriving benefit requires investments in education, especially female education and skills training; universal health coverage; and job creation (Bloom et al., 2003). States that have moved toward sub-replacement fertility without parallel human capacity investments may face demographic stagnation rather than growth. In this integrative framing, fertility stabilization is not an endpoint but a means to quality development. For example, strengthening adolescent education improves fertility decisions, delays marriage, and enhances labour participation, thereby reinforcing multiple development domains.

5. Strategic Policy Directions Ahead

To address these interlinked challenges, India's population policy must evolve in several key directions:

1. **Deepen gender-transformative programmes**, coupling legal enforcement (e.g., PCPNDT) with education, economic incentives, and normative change, especially in skew-prone regions (Jha et al., 2011).
2. **Expand contraceptive method mix and counselling quality**, supporting spacing, temporary, and male methods, with informed-choice frameworks and improved supply chains (MoHFW, 2020).
3. **Prioritize adolescent reproductive health** through sexuality education, youth-friendly primary health services, and empowerment curricula, particularly in high-fertility, low-literacy zones (Santhya et al., 2020).
4. **Link population policy to demographic-dividend policies**, integrating population strategies with education, skill-building, and women's employment creation—especially in states exhibiting below-replacement TFR (Bloom et al., 2003).

5. **Strengthen health-system resilience** through digital health, epidemic preparedness, and decentralized service delivery, all of which ensure continuity of reproductive and family planning services amid disruptions (Das et al., 2021).

India's demographic journey is one of notable progress interwoven with persistent and emerging challenges. Son preference, inequitable reproductive health access, adolescent vulnerabilities, and ageing populations demand fresh policy thinking. Future directions must recognize that stabilization is not enough; population policies must holistically empower individuals, build equitable systems, and ensure demographic transitions drive inclusive and sustainable development.

CONCLUSION

India's demographic transition has been remarkable, yet it remains incomplete and uneven. One of the most pressing concerns is the entrenched son preference, which contributes to distorted sex ratios across several states, including Himachal Pradesh, Haryana, and Punjab. The 2011 Census reported a child sex ratio of females of total 919 per 1,000 males, with some districts recording even lower figures, indicating the continued prevalence of sex-selective practices (Census of India, 2011). More recent civil registration and sample registration data suggest improvements, but the pace remains slow and uneven, particularly in northern India (NITI Aayog, 2021). Son preference not only reflects deep-rooted gender inequalities but also has long-term implications for marriage patterns, social stability, and women's empowerment (Das Gupta et al., 2019). Another challenge lies in making people aware about the importance of family planning, especially among the marginalized populations. According to NFHS-5, about 9.4% of present married women aged 15 to 49 reported unfulfilled goal for contraception, with figures higher among adolescents, rural women, and lower socio-economic groups (IIPS & ICF, 2021). The persistence of myths, dependence on sterilization, and limited contraceptive choices highlight structural weaknesses in the family planning program (Singh et al., 2022). The demographic shift also brings emerging challenges of ageing. States such as Tamil Nadu, Kerala, and Himachal Pradesh are already experiencing below-replacement fertility, resulting in a rising share of elderly populations. According to UN Projections, by 2050, near about 20% of India's population will be aged 60 years or above (United Nations, 2022).

India's demographic transition has brought progress but also new pressures that call for carefully designed, inclusive policies. As the population ages, there is a growing need for geriatric healthcare, financial security, and community-based support systems (Bloom et al., 2020). Meanwhile, states like Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Bihar are facing a surge of young people entering the workforce. Without greater investment in education, skills, and employment, the potential of this demographic dividend will be difficult to realize (Aiyar & Menon, 2021). Migration complicates these patterns further. The experience of COVID-19 starkly exposed the precarious situation of migrant workers (Kumar & Choudhury, 2020). In Himachal Pradesh, the outward migration of youth has left many rural areas struggling with population imbalances.

The climate change and environmental stress place added pressure on states like Himachal, where fragile mountain ecosystems are prone to floods, droughts, and other risks (Roy & Haque, 2019). This makes it necessary to link demographic planning with sustainability. Going ahead, the focus must rest on strengthening human capital, promoting gender equality, and ensuring development that is both inclusive and ecologically balanced (World Bank, 2022; Srinivasan & Mohanty, 2021; UNFPA, 2022). While falling fertility and better health represent important successes, persistent inequalities, ageing, migration, and climate challenges cannot be ignored. Himachal shows how integrated approaches work, but India's diversity demands flexible, state-specific solutions. With the right balance of population stabilization, gender justice, and ecological planning, demographic challenges can be turned into opportunities for a sustainable future.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Aiyar, S. (2019). Walking the line between demographic dividend and disaster [Commentary]. *Brookings Institution*. Retrieved from https://www.brookings.edu/articles/walking-the-line-between-demographic-dividend-and-disaster/
2. Arunodaya Foundation. (1997). *Reproductive and Child Health (RCH) Programme in India*. Retrieved from https://www.arunodaya.org/reproductivechild.html
3. Bloom, D. E., Canning, D., & Fink, G. (2010). Implications of population aging for economic growth. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 26*(4), 583–612. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grq038
4. Connelly, M. (2006). Population control in India: Prologue to the Emergency period. *Population and Development Review, 32*(4), 629–667. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4457.2006.00145.x Wiley Online LibraryIDEAS/RePEcInternet Archive
5. Das Gupta, M., Zhenghua, J., Bohua, L., Zhenming, X., Chung, W., & Bae, H.-O. (2003). Why is son preference so persistent in East and South Asia? A cross-country study of China, India and the Republic of Korea. *Journal of Development Studies, 40*(2), 153–187. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220380412331293807
6. Das, S., et al. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on reproductive, maternal, newborn, and child health services in India. *Lancet Global Health, 9*(8), e1065–e1074. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00239-0
7. Devaki, G. (2006). *An Economic Analysis of Public Investment in Reproductive Health of Women - with Special Reference to Tamil Nadu* (Order No. 27732376). Available from ProQuest Dissertations & Theses A&I. (2342639890). https://www.proquest.com/dissertations-theses/economic-analysis-public-investment-reproductive/docview/2342639890/se-2
8. Drilling, M., Suero, P., Al-Shoubaki, H., & Neuhaus, F. (Eds.). (2025). Ageing and Urban Planning (1st ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003144441
9. Dyson, T. (2010). *Population and development: The demographic transition*. London: Zed Books/Bloomsbury. Bloomsbury
10. Garg, B. S. (1997). Launching of CSSM programme in India: Towards RCH approach. *Indian Journal of Maternal and Child Health, 4*(2), 38–41. Retrieved from https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12348459/
11. Government of Himachal Pradesh, Department of Health/NHM. (2022). *Adolescent Health Fact Sheet—Himachal Pradesh* (NFHS-4 vs NFHS-5 comparisons). National Health Mission
12. Government of Himachal Pradesh. (2012). *Himachal Pradesh—State Strategy & Action Plan on Human Development: Demographic transition and implications* (monograph/strategy doc). Shyam Institute
13. Government of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. (2000). *National Population Policy 2000*. Retrieved from https://india.unfpa.org/sites/default/files/pub-pdf/NationalPopulation-Policy2000.pdf
14. Government of India, Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner. (2021). *Sample Registration System Statistical Report, SRS – 2020*. Retrieved from https://censusindia.gov.in/
15. Government of India. (1952). *First Five-Year Plan: Population Policy*. Planning Commission, Government of India.

16. Government of India. (1999). *National Policy on Older Persons*. Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India.

17. Government of India. (2007). *Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007*. Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India.

18. Gupta, S. N. (2013). Slowly rising hilly young population of Himachal. *Indian Journal of Community Medicine, 38*(4), 243–246. https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-0218.118806

19. Hodges, S. (2004). Governmentality, population and reproductive family in modern India. *Economic & Political Weekly, 39*(11), 1157–1163. (Stable URL listed via Warwick/JSTOR record.) wrap.warwick.ac.uk

20. IIPS & ICF. (2021). *NFHS-5 (2019–21): India and Himachal Pradesh fact sheets*. https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR375/FR375.pdf

21. International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) & ICF. (2021). *National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5), 2019–21: India report (FR375)*. https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR375/FR375.pdf

22. Jacobsen, K.A. (Ed.). (2023). Routledge Handbook of Contemporary India (2nd ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003278436

23. Jha, P., & Kumar, R. (2017). Sex ratio at birth: Missing girls in India. *The Lancet Global Health, 5*(2), e124–e125. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30033-0

24. Jha, P., & Kumar, R. (2017). Skewed sex ratios at birth and future population decline in India. *Lancet Global Health, 5*(2), e126–e127. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30021-5

25. Jha, P., et al. (2011). Responsible sex ratio at birth: Why prevention efforts should focus on girl children. *Economic & Political Weekly, 46*(15), 54–58. Retrieved from https://www.epw.in/

26. Kumar, A., & Choudhury, R. (2020). Migration and vulnerability in India during COVID-19. *Social Change, 50*(4), 514–527. https://doi.org/10.1177/0049085720948606

27. Kumar, N., & Rani, R. (2019). Quality of maternal and child health: Fresh evidence from India. *International Journal of Human Rights in Healthcare, 12*(4), 299–314. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJHRH-01-2019-0010

28. Mahapatro, S.R., Mishra, U.S., & Swain, S. (Eds.). (2023). Health and Nutrition of Women and Children in Empowered Action Group States of India: Status and Progress (1st ed.). Routledge India. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003430636

29. Mathai, M. (2008). The global family-planning revolution. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 86*(6), 525–526. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.08.030608

30. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare (MoHFW). (2005, April 12). *National Rural Health Mission launched*. https://nhm.gov.in/index1.php?lang=1&level=1&lid=49

31. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India. (2020). *Family Planning 2020: India National Commitment*. Retrieved from [MoHFW or FP2030 portal]

32. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India. (2021). *Mission Parivar Vikas (MPV)*—Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No. 2101 (with 2016 launch & 2021 expansion details). Digital Sansad

33. Muttreja, P., & Singh, S. (2018). *Family planning in India: The way forward*. Indian Journal of Medical Research, 148(Suppl 1), S1–S9. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_2067_17

34. Nair, J.K., Mishra, P. Demand side financing for promoting institutional delivery: experiences of Janani Suraksha Yojana in Indian states. *Int J Health Econ Manag.* **25**, 245–270 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10754-025-09391-w

35. National Health Mission, Himachal Pradesh. (2021). *NFHS-5: Himachal Pradesh factsheet*. Retrieved from https://nhm.hp.gov.in/storage/app/media/uploaded-files/NFHS-5_%20Himachal_Pradesh.pdf

36. NITI Aayog. (2022). *SDG India index & dashboard 2021–22*. https://www.niti.gov.in/

37. Population Matters. (2022, November). A job or a child: India's coercive population policies. Retrieved from https://populationmatters.org/news/2022/11/indias-coercive-population-policies

38. Registrar General of India. (2021). *Sample Registration System (SRS) Statistical Report 2021*. New Delhi: Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner. (Official PDF.) dhsprogram.com

39. Registrar General of India. (2022). *Sample Registration System (SRS) Statistical Report 2021*. Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner.

40. Roy, A., & Haque, M. A. (2019). Climate change, population pressure, and sustainable development in India. *Environment, Development and Sustainability, 21*(5), 2261–2278. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-018-0147-0

41. Santhya, K. G., et al. (2020). Adolescents' access to reproductive health information and services. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 66*(6 Suppl), S4–S10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2020.02.001

42. Sarkar, S., & Mishra, A. (2020). Evaluating the Beti Bachao Beti Padhao scheme: Implementation and impact. *Indian Journal of Public Administration, 66*(3), 405–418. Retrieved from [journal site]

43. Singh, A., Alagarajan, M., & James, K. S. (2022). Family planning in India: Emerging challenges and policy responses. *Journal of Health Management, 24*(1), 17–32. https://doi.org/10.1177/09720634221075087

44. Sinha, A. (2023). The Last Mile: Turning Public Policy Upside Down (1st ed.). Routledge India. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003431589

45. Srinivasan, K. (2006). Population policies and family planning: Evolution of the reproductive and child health approach. *Demography India, 35*(1), 1–20.

46. Sripad, P., et al. (2018). How do accountability problems lead to maternal health inequities? A review of qualitative literature from the Indian public sector. *Public Health Reviews, 39*, 9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40985-018-0081-z BioMed Central

47. Sundari Ravindran, T. K., Sivakami, M., Bhushan, A., Rashid, S. F., & Khan, K. S. (Eds.). (2024). *Handbook on sex, gender and health: Perspectives from South Asia* (1st ed.). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-2098-9

48. UNFPA. (2018). *Reproductive rights and reproductive health: Achievements and challenges*. https://www.unfpa.org/

49. UNFPA. (2021). *State of World Population 2021*. https://www.unfpa.org/swop-2021

50. United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA). (2019). *State of India's adolescents 2019*. Retrieved from https://www.unfpa.org/publications/state-indias-adolescents-2019

51. United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA). (2021). *State of World Population 2021*. Retrieved from https://www.unfpa.org/swop-2021

52. United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA). (2022). *State of World Population 2022*. Retrieved from https://www.unfpa.org/swop

53. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2022). *World population prospects 2022*. https://population.un.org/wpp/

54. United Nations. (1994). *Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD)*. United Nations Population Fund. Retrieved from https://www.unfpa.org/icpd

55. United Nations. (2022). *World Population Prospects 2022*. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Retrieved from https://population.un.org/wpp

56. Vellakkal, S., Gupta, A., Khan, Z., Stuckler, D., Reeves, A., & Singh, K. (2016). Has India's National Rural Health Mission reduced inequities in maternal health? *International Journal for Equity in Health, 15*, 264. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-016-0489-3

57. Visaria, L. (2021). India's family planning programme: Policy and challenges. *The Indian Journal of Medical Research, 154*(4), 611–615. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_1425_21

58. Williams, R. J. (2014). Storming the citadels of poverty: Family planning under the Emergency in India, 1975–1977. *The Journal of Asian Studies, 73*(2), 471–492. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021911813002350

59. World Bank. (2022). *World Development Report 2022: Finance for an equitable recovery*. World Bank. Retrieved from https://www.worldbank.org/

60. Xu, L., Ai, C., & Hamilton, J. (2024). Gender preference in China: A study with indirect utility function. *Journal of Asian Economics, 94*, Article 101780. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asieco.2024.101780

61. Yadav, U. & Prakash, B. (2024). A Socio-Legal Analysis of the Health and Well-Being of the Ageing Population. In K. Vig, C. Kaunert, & B. Singh (Eds.), *Intersections of Law and Computational Intelligence in Health Governance* (pp. 142-160). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-5976-1.ch007