

## **The Role of Women in the Quit India Movement: A Detailed Study**

**Sumit Kumar Jana**

Research scholar, Department of History, Meerut College, Meerut

**Dr. Bhupendra Singh**

Department of History, Meerut College, Meerut

**Abstract:** The Quit India Movement of 1942, initiated under the leadership of Mahatma Gandhi, was a pivotal chapter in India's struggle for independence. While the role of prominent male figures has been widely chronicled, the immense and courageous contribution of women has often been marginalized in mainstream historical narratives. This study presents a comprehensive examination of the active and diverse roles played by Indian women during the movement. It highlights their involvement in leadership positions, underground communication networks, civil disobedience activities, and grassroots mobilization. By analyzing primary sources, personal memoirs, letters, and historical documents, the research underscores how women defied both British colonial authority and entrenched patriarchal structures. The study not only restores women's rightful place in the freedom struggle but also reflects on how their participation laid the foundation for future gender-based political and social transformations in independent India.

**Keywords:** Quit India Movement, Indian women, freedom struggle, grassroots activism, colonial resistance.

**Introduction:** The Quit India Movement, also known as the August Kranti, was a mass civil disobedience movement launched by Mahatma Gandhi on August 8, 1942, in response to the British colonial rule during World War II. The slogan "Do or Die" galvanized the Indian masses to rise against the British Empire. While the male political leadership is widely recognized in historical narratives, women played an equally critical role in mobilizing resistance, leading underground movements, and enduring repression (Forbes, 1996).

Indian women emerged from the domestic sphere to actively participate in nationalist politics, particularly during this movement. Their involvement not only contributed significantly to the struggle for independence but also paved the way for the transformation of gender roles in post-independence India.

The movement gained widespread support across the country. Mass protests, strikes, and acts of civil disobedience erupted throughout India. Though the British swiftly arrested most of the top Congress leaders, including Gandhi, Nehru, and Patel, the movement continued through underground networks. Students, workers, farmers, and especially women played a vital role in sustaining the momentum. Notable figures like Aruna Asaf Ali, Usha Mehta, and Matangini Hazra emerged as symbols of resistance.

Despite brutal suppression, imprisonments, and police firing, the movement revealed the deep-rooted national sentiment against colonial rule. Although it did not immediately lead to

independence, it shook the foundations of British authority and made it clear that their rule in India was no longer sustainable. The Quit India Movement remains one of the most significant milestones in India's freedom struggle, symbolizing unity, sacrifice, and the collective will of the Indian people to attain independence.

**Significance of the Study:** This study holds great significance as it sheds light on the often-overlooked contributions of women in the Quit India Movement of 1942, a landmark event in India's freedom struggle. While historical narratives have traditionally emphasized the roles of male leaders, this research aims to recognize and analyze the vital participation of women—both in leadership and grassroots activism.

By examining the actions of iconic figures like Aruna Asaf Ali, Usha Mehta, and countless unnamed women who braved arrests, torture, and even martyrdom, the study not only restores their rightful place in history but also highlights how their involvement challenged colonial oppression and patriarchal norms. It underscores the transformative impact of the movement on the status of Indian women, as they emerged from domestic confines to become political agents of change.

**Objectives:** This research article delves deep into the multifaceted involvement of women in the movement, analyzing their participation in leadership roles, grassroots activism, and acts of resistance.

### **Historical Background: Position of Women in Pre-Quit India Politics**

Before the Quit India Movement of 1942, Indian women underwent a remarkable transition from marginalization to active political participation. Their growing involvement stemmed from progressive social reforms, the spread of Western education, and the expanding nationalist movement (Forbes, 1996; Desai & Thakkar, 2001).

In the early 19th century, social reformers like Raja Ram Mohan Roy and Ishwar Chandra Vidyasagar campaigned against regressive practices such as sati and child marriage, while reformers like Savitribai Phule promoted female education and challenged caste oppression (Omvedt, 2008). The introduction of Western education and exposure to liberal ideas further empowered elite and middle-class women, leading figures like Pandita Ramabai and Rokeya Sakhawat Hossain to advocate for women's rights (Chatterjee, 1989; Forbes, 1996).

The emergence of women's organizations such as the Women's Indian Association (1917) and the All India Women's Conference (1927) helped consolidate female political voices. These groups worked on issues like suffrage, education, and legal reform, and aligned with the national movement (Basu & Ray, 2003; Thapar-Björkert, 2006).

Women became more visible in the political arena during Gandhian movements like the Non-Cooperation Movement (1920–22), where leaders like Sarojini Naidu and Kasturba Gandhi mobilized large numbers of women. Their participation intensified during the Civil Disobedience Movement and Salt Satyagraha (1930–34), with thousands of women joining protests and facing imprisonment (Kumar, 1993; Forbes, 1996).

Some women, such as Pritilata Waddadar and Kalpana Dutta, also engaged in revolutionary activities, challenging both colonial rule and traditional gender roles (Chakravarti, 1989). Meanwhile, lobbying efforts by women's groups led to limited political rights through the Government of India Act of 1935, allowing women to vote and contest elections by 1937 (Forbes, 1996). By the early 1940s, Indian women had carved a significant political identity, laying the groundwork for their mass involvement in the Quit India Movement.

### **Nature of Women's Participation in the Quit India Movement**

**Leadership Roles:** Women like Aruna Asaf Ali emerged as prominent leaders. She hoisted the Indian flag at Gowalia Tank Maidan in Bombay on August 9, 1942, defying British orders and

becoming a symbol of resistance. Aruna Asaf Ali went underground, edited the clandestine Congress bulletin, and coordinated resistance efforts (Kumar, 1993).

Usha Mehta, a young student, played a vital role by organizing the secret Congress Radio which broadcasted messages of the national leaders. The Congress Radio became a powerful tool for disseminating uncensored information (Mehta, 1995).

**Grassroots Activism:** Women were active in every province of India, particularly in Bengal, Maharashtra, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, and the Madras Presidency. They led protest marches, picketed government offices, boycotted foreign goods, and distributed pamphlets. Often, they served as the bridge between underground revolutionaries and the general populace.

In Bihar, women like Janki Devi Bajaj and Ramadevi Choudhury mobilized rural masses. In Bengal, Matangini Hazra, a 73-year-old woman, led a crowd of protesters and was shot dead by police while holding the national flag and chanting "Vande Mataram" (Chatterjee, 1999).

**Underground Activities and Sabotage:** Apart from leading nonviolent protests, some women were also involved in underground sabotage and revolutionary activities. They participated in the burning of police stations, cutting communication lines, and helping fugitive revolutionaries. Their involvement often went unrecorded due to the clandestine nature of these activities and the patriarchal biases in documentation.

### **Repression and Sacrifices**

The British government responded to the movement with brutal repression. Thousands of women were arrested, lathi-charged, imprisoned, and in some cases, killed. The prison conditions were harsh, and women often faced physical and psychological torture.

Women like Sucheta Kripalani, Perin Captain, and Vijaya Lakshmi Pandit were imprisoned for their leadership roles. Ordinary women, too, bore the brunt of repression. Many were jailed without trial, and their families ostracized or harassed by colonial authorities (Forbes, 1996).

### **Regional Contributions**

**Maharashtra:** Maharashtra saw active participation from women like Aruna Asaf Ali, Kamla Sohonie, and numerous unnamed female activists who worked as couriers, shelter providers, and underground agents. Women's participation in Pune and Mumbai was especially significant in sustaining the movement after the initial arrests.

**Bengal:** Bengal's revolutionary legacy saw continuity through women like Matangini Hazra, Pritilata Waddadar, and others. Although Pritilata died earlier in 1932, her legacy inspired a generation of young women who took part in the Quit India Movement.

**Uttar Pradesh and Bihar:** In Bihar, Ramadevi Choudhury and Kanta Kumari mobilized rural women. In Uttar Pradesh, women like Rani Gaidinliu, a tribal freedom fighter, carried on resistance activities even in remote tribal areas, merging anti-colonial and anti-feudal struggles (Roy, 2005).

**South India:** In Tamil Nadu, Durgabai Deshmukh and Lakshmi Rajagopalan mobilized support through the women's sections of the Congress. In Karnataka, Umabai Kundapur organized women's wings and ensured continued resistance activities.

### **Intersection of Gender and Nationalism**

Women's participation in the Quit India Movement must be understood not only as a nationalist act but also as a gendered intervention in public politics. Their involvement questioned the patriarchal norms that confined women to the private sphere. As Chatterjee (1989) notes, nationalism created a 'new woman' who was both modern and steeped in tradition.

The act of stepping out into political protest became a radical expression of selfhood and citizenship. Many women balanced household responsibilities while participating in the movement, demonstrating an extraordinary commitment to the cause of freedom.

### **Post-Quit India: Legacy of Women's Participation**

The Quit India Movement served as a watershed moment that transformed the status of women in Indian politics. After independence, many of these women continued to contribute to nation-building. For instance:

- Sucheta Kripalani became the first woman Chief Minister of Uttar Pradesh.
- Aruna Asaf Ali remained active in socialist politics.
- Durgabai Deshmukh played a key role in shaping the Indian Constitution and founding the Central Social Welfare Board.

Their participation in 1942 became the foundation for the future political engagement of Indian women in a democratic framework.

### **Challenges in Historiography**

Despite their contributions, women's roles in the Quit India Movement have often been marginalized in mainstream historical narratives. The focus on male political leaders has overshadowed grassroots contributions by women. Recent feminist historiography has attempted to recover these stories, using oral histories, memoirs, and local archives to highlight their significance (Thapar-Björkert, 2006).

**Conclusion:** The role of women in the Quit India Movement was pivotal, dynamic, and deeply transformative. Their involvement ranged from leadership and mass mobilization to underground resistance and martyrdom. Women across caste, class, and regional lines rose against colonial rule, asserting their political agency and redefining the contours of Indian nationalism. The Quit India Movement not only marked a decisive phase in the freedom struggle but also became a crucible for the emergence of women as active political agents in India. Recognizing their contributions is essential for a holistic understanding of India's journey to independence.

### **References**

1. Basu, A., & Ray, B. (2003). *Women's Struggle: A History of the All India Women's Conference, 1927–2002*. Manohar Publishers.
2. Chakravarti, U. (1989). *Rewriting History: The Life and Times of Pandita Ramabai*. Zubaan.
3. Chatterjee, P. (1989). "Colonialism, Nationalism, and Colonialized Women: The Contest in India." *American Ethnologist*, 16(4), 622–633.
4. Chatterjee, R. (1999). *Women in India's Freedom Struggle*. Vikas Publishing.
5. Desai, N., & Thakkar, U. (2001). *Women in Indian Society*. National Book Trust.
6. Forbes, G. (1996). *Women in Modern India*. Cambridge University Press.
7. Kumar, R. (1993). *The History of Doing: An Illustrated Account of Movements for Women's Rights and Feminism in India, 1800–1990*. Kali for Women.
8. Mehta, U. (1995). *Freedom Fighter: My Life and Times*. HarperCollins India.
9. Omvedt, G. (2008). *Seeking Begumpura: The Social Vision of Anticaste Intellectuals*. Navayana.
10. Roy, A. (2005). *Gender and Colonialism: Women in India's Freedom Movement*. Oxford University Press.
11. Thapar-Björkert, S. (2006). *Women in the Indian National Movement: Unseen Faces and Unheard Voices, 1930–42*. Sage Publications.

12. Barsha Bhattacharyya & Dr. Arun Maity(2025) Employee Performance in Relation to Motivation and Job Satisfaction: A Survey on MSME, International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development ,vol-9 issue-1, Pages, 987-992.
13. Mr Susovan Pradhan, Sk Rasid Mahammad, Mr Amit Adhikari Manikanta Paria, Arun Maity(2023) Job Satisfaction Among Secondary School Teachers In Paschim Medinipur District In The Present Context, Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results| Volume-14 issue-3.
14. Dandapat.A.k. & Maity.A(2022) Relationship between Socio Economic status and Academic Performance of the B.Ed. students in Paschim Medinipur, 2 Day International Seminar On Swami Vivekananda College of Education.
15. Maity, A., & Sanuar, S. (2020). Women's access to higher education in West Bengal in open distance learning system. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, 7(3).
16. Maity, A.et al (2023). Correlation between study habit, test anxiety and academic achievement of the male and female B.Ed. college students. *Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities*, 6(9s), 1872–1880. <https://doi.org/10.53555/jrtdd.v6i9s.2660>
17. Maity, A. (2020). Collaborative active learning: An effective study at training colleges. In *Transition from traditional teaching methodology to online teaching* (ISBN: 978-81-946375-3-0). Redshine Publication.
18. Bal, M., & Maity, A. (2019). Impact of economy & sociability on educational development of tribal women. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 6(2).
19. Maity, A. (2025). Teacher effectiveness in relation to ICT acquaintance among secondary teachers of Medinipur District of West Bengal: A study on demographic variables. *American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research*, 6(5), 1108–1118. <https://globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajshr/article/view/3641>
20. Maity, A. (2020). Investigating the benefits of project-based learning in science education. In *New trends of teaching, learning and technology* (Vol. 1). Redshine Publication.
21. Maity, A., et al.(2023). Job satisfaction among secondary school teachers in Paschim Medinipur district in the present context. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results*, 14(3).
22. Maity, A., Sanuar, S., & Ghosh, D. (2024). An assessment of the socio-economic status of the minority girls students at secondary level in Paschim Medinipur district of West Bengal. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(5), 9123–9127. <https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.4522>
23. Maity.A & Maity.N (2025) Disparity in Political Participation in the Local Bodies: A Case Study of Ranchi, Intersections of Faith and Culture: *AMERICAN Journal of Religious and Cultural Studies* Volume 3, Issue 6, 2025 ISSN (E): 2993-2599, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738399>.
24. Maity, A. . (2023). NATIONAL CURRICULUM FRAMEWORK FOR TEACHER EDUCATION: A NEW HORIZON IN TEACHER EDUCATION AS ENVISIONED IN NEP 2020. *JOURNAL OF EDUCATION, ETHICS AND VALUE*, 2(9), 45–50. Retrieved from <https://jeev.innovascience.uz/index.php/jeev/article/view/223>. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738450>
25. Bagchi, M., & Bairagya, S. (2021). Concept and context of teacher education. In *Teacher education: Contemporary issues, practices & prospects* (Vol. 1).
26. Bairagya, S., Mete, J., & Ghosh, S. K. (n.d.). A study on the relative effectiveness between concept attainment model and traditional method of teaching in economics. *Journal of Education, R.B.U.*, 9(1), 109–114.

27. Dasgupta, A., & Bairagya, S. (n.d.). Social values among school children through the teaching of value-based contents in Bengali. *Journal of Education and Development*, 7(14).
28. Ghosh, S., & Bairagya, S. (2010). Attitude of secondary school teachers towards teaching profession in relation to some demographic variables. *Edusearch: Journal of Educational Research*, 1(1), 55–59.
29. Roy, S., & Bairagya, S. (2019). Conceptualisation of pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) of science from Shulman's notion to Refined Consensus Model (RCM): A journey. *Education India Journal: A Quarterly Refereed Journal of Dialogues on Education*, 8(2), 55–59.