

Development, Institutionalization, And Ensuring the Legal Protection of Youth Through Legal Clinics

Dilfuza Kamolovna Jumaniyozova

Associate professor of Tashkent State University of Law (PhD)

Abstract: The article examines the development and institutionalization of legal clinics as an effective mechanism for ensuring legal protection of young people belonging to socially vulnerable and at-risk groups. It analyzes the concept of “youth at social risk,” identifies its main categories, and highlights key socio-economic and legal factors contributing to vulnerability and exclusion. Particular attention is paid to the need for a systematic approach to identifying at-risk youth through coordinated interaction among state authorities, educational institutions, local self-government bodies, and civil society organizations.

Keywords: legal clinics; youth at social risk; legal protection of youth; socially vulnerable groups; access to legal aid; institutionalization of legal assistance; non-discrimination; personal data protection; civil society.

In every democratic society, supporting the younger generation, protecting their interests, and ensuring their proper place in society constitute one of the priority directions of state policy. In particular, identifying young people belonging to socially vulnerable or “at-risk” groups and providing them with legal protection is regarded as one of the state’s humanitarian and legal obligations. Within the framework of the reforms currently being implemented in the Republic of Uzbekistan, special attention is being paid to this issue. In this process, cooperation among state bodies, non-governmental organizations, educational institutions, and civil society actors is of critical importance[1].

The term “social risk group” is widely used in sociology, legal studies, and political science. It refers to a category of young people who face certain socio-economic or legal risks, who have deviated from normal social adaptation, or who are highly likely to do so. Such young people may include the following groups:

- youth living in low-income households and unfavorable social environments;
- children left without parental care, including orphans and those under guardianship;
- individuals who are out of school, have dropped out of education, or experience serious difficulties in the learning process;
- youth influenced by criminal groups;
- persons with disabilities or psycho-emotional problems;
- children of internal and external labor migrants;
- young people who have committed offenses or are serving a sentence.

As a rule, these categories of youth require enhanced legal protection. In order to provide them with timely and effective assistance, it is first necessary to develop criteria for their identification

and analytical assessment. Such criteria should be elaborated through cooperation among educational institutions, local authorities, mahalla citizens' assemblies, and law enforcement agencies[2].

Identifying young people belonging to social risk groups is not solely the responsibility of law enforcement personnel; rather, it represents a significant social activity reflecting the state's approach to human capital. In this regard, the following key methods may be applied:

- monitoring and diagnostic activities within educational institutions;
- studying family conditions through the mahalla system;
- reports submitted by prevention inspectors of internal affairs bodies;
- collecting information through social services and psychological support centers;
- analyzing integrated data through electronic databases

At the same time, particular importance must be attached to ensuring the protection of personal data, preserving human dignity, and preventing any form of discrimination. Personal data encompass information related to an individual's private life, social status, religious beliefs, political views, health condition, and other sensitive aspects; therefore, their confidentiality must be guaranteed both legally and ethically. The unlawful collection, dissemination, or use of such data may violate individual rights, undermine human dignity, and generate broader social problems [3].

Preserving human dignity implies ensuring equal rights, personal inviolability, and an adequate position of every citizen within society. These principles hold priority significance in the activities of state bodies, non-governmental organizations, and civil society institutions, thereby creating the necessary foundation for citizens to live freely and safely.

Moreover, preventing discrimination—that is, adopting strict measures against the infringement of individuals on the grounds of race, ethnicity, language, gender, age, social origin, or other characteristics—is among the key requirements of a modern democratic society. In this direction, it is necessary to improve legal mechanisms and to carry out large-scale awareness-raising activities in cooperation with mass media, educational institutions, and the broader public.

The legal protection of young people belonging to social risk groups should be ensured at the legislative, institutional, and practical levels. The main directions in this field are outlined below [4].

Young people in social risk groups represent a segment of citizens who are vulnerable to economic, social, legal, and moral risks and face difficulties in such spheres as education, employment, and social protection. This category includes young persons with disabilities, children of labor migrants, those deprived of parental support, individuals who have committed crimes or have become victims, as well as children of internal migrants or low-income families. Their legal protection must be organized in a systematic, sustainable, and law-based manner.

The formation of a legal framework defining the legal status of young people in social risk groups and ensuring their protection constitutes a primary task. Indeed, the first prerequisite for addressing any issue through legal mechanisms is the establishment of its clear and official definition and status. Who exactly falls within the category of “young people belonging to a social risk group”? What rights and privileges do they possess, and what guarantees are to be provided by the state? Without legally grounded answers to these questions, existing protection mechanisms may remain ineffective [5].

At present, the concept of a “social risk group” is interpreted through various terms and approaches. In a number of normative legal acts, young people with disabilities, those deprived of parental support, and individuals who have committed offenses are mentioned separately; however, they are not consolidated under a unified category [6].

Therefore, it is necessary to define the legal concept of “young people belonging to a social risk group,” as well as their main subcategories (social-living, economic, psychological, and legal vulnerability), in a special law or subordinate regulatory act. This would enable state and public organizations to provide targeted protection and services. In addition, by introducing relevant amendments and additions to the Law “On State Youth Policy,” it would be appropriate to recognize socially at-risk youth as a distinct category.

For this group of young people, special state guarantees should be established in the fields of education, employment, healthcare, legal assistance, and psychological support. For example:

access to free legal consultation and advisory services;

state-funded retraining and vocational education programs;

psychological and social rehabilitation services financed from the state budget;

preferential access to housing or exemption from rental payments in accordance with procedures established by law.

In cases of discrimination, coercion, exploitation, or violations committed against young people in social risk groups, the state must adopt prompt and strict measures. The Criminal Code, the Code of Administrative Liability, and other sectoral legislation should include special provisions addressing offenses committed against such categories of youth [7].

It is also necessary to create an electronic database containing information on socially at-risk youth, their needs, and existing problems. Such a system would allow public authorities to implement targeted measures within their mandates and ensure real legal protection of youth rights. Through a monitoring framework, the degree of implementation of legal norms in practice can be assessed, and the effectiveness of policy measures can be evaluated.

Thus, the legal protection of socially at-risk youth should not rely solely on general legislation; rather, it should be ensured through the establishment of a specialized legal framework that provides a distinct legal status, targeted guarantees, and dedicated protection mechanisms. This, in turn, is an important factor not only in safeguarding youth rights but also in ensuring their full and dignified participation in public life [8].

In ensuring youth legal protection, coordinated action by state authorities and public institutions is of fundamental importance. This is because young people—especially those belonging to social risk groups—often face complex social conditions and have limited access to legal safeguards. Addressing their problems cannot be achieved through the intervention of a single institution; instead, it requires a systematic approach, inter-institutional cooperation, and shared responsibility. Institutional measures in this sphere should therefore be aimed at strengthening coordination and enhancing organizational and legal mechanisms at all levels [9].

Key institutional measures may include:

Strengthening specialized institutions: reinforcing the institutional capacity of entities responsible for protecting the rights of children and young people (e.g., children’s ombudsman offices, social service centers, and legal clinics);

Developing cooperation mechanisms: ensuring information exchange and coordinating preventive initiatives among educational institutions, healthcare services, internal affairs bodies, and civil society organizations;

Ensuring civil society participation: supporting initiatives implemented through NGOs, mahalla communities, and educational institutions that provide legal and social protection to young people;

Enhancing the accountability of local authorities: establishing responsible structures in each region to identify socially at-risk youth, engage with them systematically, and provide relevant assistance.

Ensuring the legal protection of socially at-risk youth should not be limited to the adoption of laws alone; it also requires effective implementation and the development of a system capable of delivering concrete support to each young person in need. Such efforts should be carried out in accordance with the principles of justice, human dignity, and cooperation[10].

In delivering legal assistance to socially at-risk youth, the application of international best practices is particularly important. In countries such as the United States, Germany, the Netherlands, Kazakhstan, and South Korea, institutions such as Legal Aid Clinics, Community Legal Services, and Youth Justice Clinics have been successfully established. Through these mechanisms, individuals receive legal consultations, assistance in preparing documents, representation in court proceedings, and services aimed at improving legal awareness and literacy. Adapting such practices to the conditions of Uzbekistan and further developing legal clinics in line with national realities represents a relevant and promising approach.

In conclusion, identifying young people belonging to social risk groups and ensuring their legal protection is not only a social priority but also a crucial factor in maintaining legal and social stability. Systematic engagement with this category of youth, protection of their legal interests, enhancement of their legal culture, and ensuring effective access to legal assistance contribute to strengthening the principles of social justice in society.

REFERENCES:

1. Ponomarev A. V. Profilaktika narkomanii v VUZe (opyt UGTU-UIPI) // Vysshee obrazovanie v Rossii.-2005.- № 12.- S. 117–120. 5. Sberbank izuchil sovremennuyu molodej. URL: <http://www.banki.ru/news> (data obraçeniya 21.10.2018).
2. Chuprov V.I., Zubok Yu.A., Uilyams K. Molodej v obshestve riska. - 2-ye izd. M. : Nauka, 2003.
3. Erikson E. Identichnost: yunost i krizis. — M. : Flinta : MPSI : Progress, 2006. — S. 38.
4. Alapaev, D. M. Podrostki XXI veka / D. M. Alapaev. – Moskva : VAKO, 2014. – 702 s.
5. Alperovich, V. D. Trudnye deti semey «riska» / V. D. Alperovich // Jurnal prakticheskogo psixologa. – 2000. – № 8–9. – S. 106–109.
6. Ammanskin, M. A. Vospitatelnaya rabota s podrostkami / M. A. Ammanskin. – Moskva : Nauka, 2014. – 85 s.
7. Andreeva, G. M. Sotsialnaya psixologiya / G. M. Andreeva. – Moskva : Prosvещeniya, 2014. – 365 s.
8. Antonova, L. N. Deti semey «gruppy riska» kak sotsialnopedagogicheskiy fenomen / L. N. Antonova // Pedagogika. – 2010. – № 9. – S. 28– 33.
9. Basalaeva, N. V. Soprovozhdenie podrostkov «gruppy riska» v usloviyax selskoy mestnosti [Elektronnyy resurs] / N. V. Basalaeva, T. V. Zaxarova // Problemy sovremennogo pedagogicheskogo obrazovaniya. – 2019.№641. -S. 302–304.
10. Annaev, U. K. Klinik yuridik ta’lim asoslari / U. K. Annaev. – T.: Huquq, 2020. – 120 b.