Sociolinguistic Profile Research Paper

Safina Olimjonova

Webster University in Tashkent, Master of MA TESOL

Abstract: The paper highlights the importance of tailoring instruction to the specific needs and goals of the learners. It emphasizes that teachers should consider students' cultural backgrounds, linguistic experiences, and learning styles when designing lessons. The paper also acknowledges the limitations of the classroom setting, such as limited access to technology and standardized testing pressures. It argues that teachers need to find creative solutions to address these limitations and support the students' diverse needs. The paper underscores the importance of sociolinguistic awareness in effective ESL teaching. By understanding the social and cultural factors that influence language learning, teachers can create more inclusive and effective learning environments for all students.

Keywords: ESL learners, culture, ethnicity, gender, technology, sociolinguistic profile, pronunciation, accent, dialect, classroom, religion, identity.

Introduction. The research paper included 8 parts such as introduction, the group of learners, the learning context, the context where English will be used, pedagogical implications, assessment implications, conclusion, and references. This report examines the sociolinguistic profile of a diverse group of 17-year-old learners in a public high school ESL classroom located in Tashkent, Uzbekistan. The classroom caters to students from two distinct subgroups based on socioeconomic background: Uzbek-speaking heritage learners and Uyghur-speaking minority learners. By exploring the students' cultural backgrounds, learning goals, and the limitations of the classroom environment, this report aims to identify effective pedagogical and assessment strategies that can support their English language acquisition.

Sociolinguistic Profile of a Group of Learners. This profile focuses on a public high school ESL classroom in Tashkent, Uzbekistan, specifically catering to a diverse group of 17-year-old learners. Two distinct subgroups emerge based on socioeconomic background:

Group 1: Uzbek-speaking learners from different regions

These students come from middle-class families and benefit from educational advantages like private English tutoring and access to international resources. They are primarily Uzbek heritage learners but may have limited exposure to formal written English due to their reliance on spoken Uzbek. Both their ethnicity race is likely Uzbek, but their region is totally different. These students are from middle-class homes and benefit from educational opportunities such as private English instruction and access to foreign resources. They are largely Uzbek ancestry learners who may have had little chance to learn formal written English due to their focus on spoken Uzbek. Desire for better job opportunities or university access requiring English proficiency. They are conversant with Uzbek culture and may have had limited exposure to Western cultures, as seen by certain English language resources.

Group 2: Uygur-speaking learners from Central Asia

This category includes students from working-class homes who have limited access to educational alternatives outside public school. They are most likely Uyghur minority learners,

with Uyghur as their ancestral language and Uzbek as their second language. Also, their ethnicity race is Uygur. They may depend more on visual and multimodal learning because of their limited experience with formal schooling in both Uzbek and English. Although their culture and social class differ from each other, they have already built good relationships with others. For the teacher, it must not play a role in determining which culture or ethnicity students are. Mesthrie et al (2009) mentioned that "Social class is basic to this structure; other categories like gender and ethnicity are also significant factors which cut across class stratification" (p. 92). Their priorities include adjusting to a new metropolitan environment, navigating two non-native languages, integrating into Uzbek culture, and increasing their future chances. Recognizing and appreciating their cultural heritage is essential.

From group 1: Sevara

The first student is Sevara, who is 17 years old, is female. Her identity is Uzbek heritage learner her ethnicity/race is Uzbek. When it comes to her cultural background, she is from middle-class, and she was born in teachers' family. She lives rural area in Uzbekistan far from Tashkent. She never faces difficulties with Tashkent dialect during the conversation as she has been lived in Tashkent six years. It helps to improve the conversation between people who are from Tashkent. Mesthrie et al (2009) notes that "Dialect mixture implies the coexistence in one locality of two or more dialects, which enables a speaker to draw on one dialect at one time, and on the other dialect(s) on other occasions" (p. 75). In her hometown she pronounces sounds differently such as /t/ and /d/. for example, in her village people say 'kelyapdi' however, in the capital of Uzbekistan, people say 'kevotti'. Some people, by contrast, may pronounce these words as the same their dialect. If she relays on dialects rather than literacy, she cannot translate Uzbek words into English. She has limited exposure to Western cultures and formal written English due to focus on spoken Uzbek as she only conversates with Uzbeks.

From group 2: Yusuf

The next student is Yusuf, who is 17 years old, is male. His identity is Uygur minority learner his ethnicity/race is Uygur. When it comes to his socioeconomic background, he is from working-class. Mesthrie et al (2009) describes that "when people imitate or acquire other dialects they focus on the socially relevant features: certain new words and individual sounds" (p. 107). Before he shied to communicate with others but then, he started to imitate other dialects which are unfamiliar for him. He realized that some words can be different with his dialect which leads to misunderstanding during the interaction. It helped to improve his pronunciation in other dialects. He has limited access to educational alternatives outside public school. He can speak in Uyghur as ancestral language, in Uzbek as second language. He may depend more on visual and multimodal learning.

Sociolinguistic Profile of the Learning Context. The classroom setting is a standard public high school with mixed abilities. Technology access and exposure to English outside of school are limited. The teacher, a monolingual Uzbek speaker with minimal ESL training, relies heavily on grammar instruction and rote memorization (Wajnryb, 1990). It's important to acknowledge that gender and/or sexuality may not be openly discussed topics in this context. During the lesson, students may face some challenges due to cultural differences in the ESL classroom. For example, Uzbek students' focus on spoken Uzbek might make written English grammar and structure difficult. Also, learning materials focused on Western cultures might be unfamiliar or irrelevant to their experiences. Uygur students, by contrast, may struggle to keep up with instruction in Uzbek while also learning English. Limited experience with formal schooling can be a clear reason here because their prior educational background might not have prepared them for the demands of the ESL class. In addition, cultural expectations around gender roles can influence participation and confidence. Mesthrie (2009) describes that "across each stylistic context studied, their female informants tended to use more 'prestige' or high-status language features, and their male informants more vernacular language features" (p. 218). While girls might be more comfortable with speaking English in class, even if they make mistakes, boys might be more hesitant to speak up for fear of being wrong. Calder (2020) notes that "men are

more likely to validate another's fat talk, while women are more likely to deny another's fat talk" (p. 433). Also, girls might excel at memorization and detail-oriented tasks like grammar rules whereas boys might gravitate towards visual aids and practical applications of English. Even girls' pronunciation, for instance, is totally different from boys. Schilling (2011) notes that "show women and girls using higher levels of the standard pronunciation of the -ing suffix (as in swimming) and men and boys using higher levels of non-standard -in, as in swimmin" (p. 223).

Sociolinguistic Profile of the Context where English will be Used. Students' purposes are not the same, of course. For example, many Uzbek students aim to study at universities abroad or Uzbek universities that require English proficiency. Some of them aim for jobs with international companies or those requiring English communication for better salaries and opportunities. Uygur learners, by contrast, more focus on future perspectives such as building career, improving communication skills to participate in seminars, and travelling. Some of them are going to get IELTS certificate but some of them need not it. My learners know English could be helpful for future travel opportunities within Europe. That is why, they have already made a decision for future.

Pedagogical Implications. Group 1. Kinesthetic Activities: The students may face challenges such as limited exposure to formal settings and academic discourse in their native languages. It is better to conduct interviews in both Uzbek/Uyghur and the target language. This allows them to practice switching between languages and build comfort in formal settings using their native tongue. Additionally, create activities, simulations, and role-playing scenarios related to their career aspirations or university entrance requirements. This could involve mock interviews, business presentations, or debates on social issues.

Audio Resources: It is fact that many students were not taught with high quality technology at school, and they have lack of access to high-quality audio materials in their native languages. To tackle these problems, look for bilingual podcasts (Uyghur/Uzbek-English) or encourage learners to create their own podcasts on topics of interest such as science, technology, current events to improve listening comprehension and vocabulary acquisition. If Uzbek/Uyghur audiobooks are scarce, find classic stories translated into both languages. Learners can listen in both languages to improve comprehension and build vocabulary bridges.

Differentiated Reading Materials: When it comes to materials, students might come across difficulty with unfamiliar vocabulary and complex sentence structures in the target language. To resolve these problems, provide a variety of texts with varying difficulty levels to cater to their existing reading proficiency. Also, annotate complex passages with visuals and definitions in both Uzbek/Uyghur and the target language. This reinforces vocabulary building and strengthens understanding across languages. Create activities that require learners to summarize passages in both Uyghur/Uzbek and the target language. This helps solidify understanding and identify areas needing improvement.

Group 2. Visually Appealing Materials: Like Uzbeks, Uygur students will face challenges like limited exposure to academic vocabulary and complex grammatical structures presented visually. To resolve these problems, create infographics and concept maps that incorporate both Uzbek/Uyghur and English. This allows learners to build connections between the languages and visualize vocabulary in context. Also, developing picture dictionaries with translations in both Uzbek/Uyghur and English can be a practical way here. Finally, encourage learners to create their own picture dictionaries for personalized vocabulary building.

Realia: To overcome difficulty connecting new vocabulary to real-world experiences, especially for culturally specific terms, use objects relevant to Uyghur/Uzbek cultures alongside their English counterparts. This creates a stronger association between the target vocabulary and their own cultural background. Besides, use realia to spark discussions about cultural differences and similarities related to the object's function or significance. This encourages learners to think critically about language use in different contexts.

Technology Integration: To avoid difficulty navigating unfamiliar vocabulary and limited access to high-quality learning resources in their native languages, use online translation tools or language learning apps with teacher guidance, of course, to support vocabulary development and self-directed learning because gadgets are students' favor tool in the classroom. By adapting these activities and incorporating their native languages and cultural references, the teacher can provide a more culturally relevant learning experience that addresses the unique challenges faced by Uyghur and Uzbek learners. This approach will help them connect new vocabulary and grammar to their existing knowledge base, leading to a deeper understanding of the target language.

On the other hand, some topics and handouts may not be relevant for them because of their culture and religion. That is why, I always pay attention the materials given by me. Fought (2011) states that "ethnicity can have a more striking relationship to language than other social factors such as gender, age, or social class" (p. 238). For example, I try to not to show pictures including vandalism, terrorism as they are Muslims. If I use like these kinds of materials during the lesson, it can affect their identity and behavior. Instead, it is better to use visual representations such as videos, podcast, ted talks, cartoons which are relevant their age and culture. I usually use ted talks and allow them to play game linked to the topic to enhance their language skills. I believe that using physical activities such as snowball, broken phone, 5 finger, repeat my act can be a practical way to achieve my goal. The more they be active physically, the more their brain works better. Also, new approaches including technology might be more useful and interesting to learn English rather than outdated techniques.

Assessment Implications. I chose the internal type of assessment which evaluated teacher's support in the class. In this part, I focus on communication and application. To be precise, I move beyond just grammar drills and rote memorization as well as use role-plays, simulations, and projects to assess their ability to use English in real-world contexts aligned with their goals. Besides that, it is important to account for their level namely weaknesses and strengthens. If they have difficulties with pronunciation which is important aspect in learning English, I repeat the word after speech. Lippi-Green (2004) describes that "access to education itself is controlled and disciplined, in part on the basis of language variety and accent; the educational system may not be the beginning, but it is the heart of the standardization process" (p. 294). To improve language skills, they set a discipline which I tough them for themselves. Also, I usually give them different questions and tasks relevant for their level. Also, I use a variety of assessment methods like presentations, portfolios, quizzes with visuals, and self-reflections to provide a well-rounded picture of student progress. Before assessment, I always use scaffolding and give them direction to predict the options to respond the questions. After assessment, I will give them written or oral feedback individually to motivate them. Labov (1963) mentions that when seen in the context of Bloomfield's whole discussion of phonetic change, this perspective appears to be heavily influenced by arguments for the absolute regularity of sound change. Unlike others, some students who shy to express own opinion face some challenges with peers. At that time, I give them allowance to work as a peer rather than group because some students may shy if they work in a group. However, pair work is easier to overcome shyness during the interaction. Interestingly, I sometimes have to allow girls work with only girls due to their religion. Some Uygur and Uzbek girls do not want to work with boys, and I try to understand them to fix the disbalance in the class.

Conclusion. To conclude, this exploration of the sociolinguistic profile of the ESL classroom highlights the importance of tailoring instruction to the specific needs and goals of the learners. The sociolinguistic factors and their impact on the educational environment need teachers to prioritize how they view learners and develop better learning environment that has no bias against the learner's identity or values. Cameron (2005) states that "the existence of culturally diverse populations is not new, but it has become more salient as a political issue" (p. 491). That is why, teachers should account for cultural diversity. By incorporating culturally relevant materials, promoting active communication, and utilizing diverse assessment methods, the teacher can create a more inclusive and effective learning environment for all students.

Furthermore, recognizing the limitations of the classroom setting, such as limited access to technology and standardized testing pressures, requires creative solutions to support the students' diverse needs and aspirations. By fostering a student-centered approach that celebrates their cultural heritage and future goals, the teacher can motivate them to achieve success in learning English. Also, teaching philosophy focused on learners' cultural background, race, identity, behavior, and cultural differences. The given materials help to improve their language level and reach their goals in the future.

REFERENCES

1. Calder, J. (2021). Language, gender and sexuality in 2019: Interrogating normativities in the field. *Gender and Language, 14*(4), 429–454.

2. Cameron, D. (2005). Language, gender, and sexuality: Current issues and new directions. *Applied Linguistics, 26*(4), 482–502.

3. Fought, C. (2011). Language and ethnicity. In R. Mesthrie (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of sociolinguistics* (pp. 238–257). Cambridge University Press.

4. Labov, W. (1963). The social motivation of a sound change. *WORD, 19*(3), 273-309.

5. Lippi-Green, R. (2004). Language ideology and language prejudice. In E. Finegan & J. R. Rickford (Eds.), *Language in the USA: Themes for the twenty-first century* (pp. 289–304). Cambridge University Press.

6. Mather, P. (2012). The Social Stratification of /r/ in New York City: Labov's Department Store Study Revisited. *Journal of English Linguistics, 40*(4), 338–356. DOI: 10.1177/0075424211431265.

7. Mesthrie, R., Swann, J., Deumert, A., & Leap. W. L. (2009). *Introducing sociolinguistics* (pp. 42–59). Edinburgh University Press.

8. Mesthrie, R., Swann, J., Deumert, A., & Leap. W. L. (2009). *Introducing sociolinguistics* (pp. 74–94). Edinburgh University Press.

9. Schilling, N. (2011). Language, gender, and sexuality. In R. Mesthrie (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of sociolinguistics* (pp. 218–237). Cambridge University Press.

10. Wajnryb, R. (1990). The leaky bucket: Filling gaps in foreign language instruction.