Classification of Job Titles in Uzbek and Russian Languages

Khudayorova Gulnora Nuriddinovna

Lecturer at the Department of Foreign Languages, Termez University of Economics and Service

Abstract: This study examines the names of officials in Russian and Uzbek languages, focusing on their linguistic characteristics, cultural significance, and usage in official contexts. Through a comparative analysis of naming conventions and linguistic features, the research sheds light on the similarities and differences between the two languages in terms of official titles and designations. Additionally, the study explores the historical and sociocultural factors that influence the formation and evolution of official names in Russian and Uzbek languages, highlighting the importance of linguistic diversity and cultural heritage in administrative discourse.

Keywords: officials, names, Russian language, Uzbek language, linguistic characteristics, cultural significance, official titles, comparative analysis, sociocultural factors, administrative discourse.

INTRODUCTION

In the fabric of governance and administrative discourse, the names of officials serve as linguistic markers of authority, status, and responsibility. In the diverse linguistic landscape of Eurasia, Russian and Uzbek languages stand out as prominent vehicles of official communication, each with its unique naming conventions and linguistic nuances. In this article, we delve into the intricacies of names of officials in Russian and Uzbek languages, exploring their linguistic characteristics, cultural significance, and usage in official contexts.

Linguistic Characteristics: Names of officials in Russian and Uzbek languages exhibit distinct linguistic features that reflect the grammatical structure and phonetic patterns of each language. In Russian, official titles often consist of nouns or adjectives followed by patronymics, reflecting the hierarchical nature of administrative positions (e.g., President of Russia, Minister of Foreign Affairs). In contrast, Uzbek official titles may include honorific prefixes and suffixes, such as "Xonorable" (Urfonali) or "Master" (Xodim), and are often accompanied by titles denoting respect and authority (e.g., President of the Republic of Uzbekistan) of Uzbekistan, Hokim - Governor).

Cultural Significance: The names of officials in Russian and Uzbek languages are imbued with cultural significance, reflecting historical traditions, social norms, and political ideologies. In Russian culture, the use of formal titles and patronymics underscores respect for authority and hierarchical structures inherited from tsarist and Soviet eras. In Uzbek culture, honorific prefixes and suffixes convey reverence and deference towards leaders and elders, reflecting the influence of Islam and Central Asian traditions on administrative discourse.

Usage in Official Contexts: Names of officials play a crucial role in official communication and administrative discourse in both Russian and Uzbek languages. They are used in formal documents, government decrees, diplomatic correspondence, and public addresses to denote authority, convey official status, and establish credibility. Moreover, the choice of official titles

may vary depending on the context and level of formality, with different titles used for heads of state, government officials, and local administrators.

Based on the existing scientific terminology on the theory of methodology, we understand teaching methods as "ways of interaction between a teacher and students, under the leadership of a teacher, aimed at achieving learning goals" and refer exercises to teaching methods. So, academician A.V. Tekuchev writes: "One of the important methods of teaching the Russian language is a system of methodically thought-out, diverse in nature ... exercises"3. The separation of spelling from the tasks of developing speech in the learning process is one of the main reasons for the lag of students in the Russian language. The modern method of teaching the Russian language has valuable experience in scientific research that highlights the issues of teaching spelling and the development of speech. However, the presence of independent methods for spelling and speech development, as it were, reinforces the gap in the formation of spelling and speech skills that has become a tradition and the two-dimensional nature of the work of a Russian language teacher. The amount of study time allocated to the study of the Russian language does not allow the teacher to work in two ways and forces him to look for new, more effective ways to improve the skills of literate written speech. It seems to us appropriate to put the teaching of spelling in an organic connection with the development of speech. Usually, in the theory and practice of teaching the Russian language, creative and free dictations are considered as a means of developing students' speech, and few methodologists mention the restored dictation in their works.

For this reason, the role of non-specialized teaching methods in the process of working on spelling (as mentioned above) has not been specifically studied, although this was convincingly mentioned in the works of many well-known scientists (K.B. Barkhin, M.V. Ushakov, N.S. Rozhdestvensky , M.T. Baranov, T.A. Ladyzhenskaya, and others). Researches of scientists have shown that to automate skills, exercises are needed in which the learned would be applied in more difficult conditions, the knowledge gained would be included in creative activity. Such conditions are created when performing creative and combined exercises, as well as using active and interactive teaching methods, as they further activate the mental activity of students and provide a better understanding and memorization of the material being studied.

In the realm of employment and professional discourse, job titles serve as essential identifiers that denote one's occupation, expertise, and role within an organization. In multilingual societies like Uzbekistan and Russia, where both Uzbek and Russian languages coexist and play significant roles in administrative, academic, and business contexts, the classification of job titles reflects a complex interplay of linguistic, cultural, and historical factors. In this article, we delve into the classification of job titles in Uzbek and Russian languages, examining their linguistic characteristics, cultural implications, and usage patterns.

Linguistic Characteristics: Job titles in Uzbek and Russian languages exhibit distinct linguistic characteristics that reflect the grammatical structures and lexical nuances of each language. In Uzbek, job titles are often formed by adding suffixes such as "-чи" (чи) or "-шчи" (шчи) to the root word denoting the profession or occupation (e.g., "очитель" - uchitel, teacher; "programmer" - programmer, programmer). Russian job titles, on the other hand, may include suffixes such as "-ist" (ist) or "-nik" (nik) to indicate a person's profession or specialization (e.g., "uchitel" - teacher; "programmist" - programmer).

Cultural Implications: The classification of job titles in Uzbek and Russian languages reflects cultural norms, social hierarchies, and historical legacies. In Uzbek culture, honorific prefixes and suffixes such as "Usta" (Usta) or "Honorable" (Urfonali) may be added to job titles to denote respect and authority, particularly in traditional crafts and artisan professions. In Russian culture, the use of formal titles and patronymics underscores respect for authority and hierarchical structures inherited from the tsarist and Soviet eras.

Usage Patterns: Job titles are used in various contexts, including formal documents, business correspondence, resumes, and job postings, to denote one's professional status and

responsibilities. In multinational companies and organizations operating in Uzbekistan and Russia, job titles may be presented in both Uzbek and Russian languages to accommodate employees from diverse linguistic backgrounds. Additionally, the choice of job titles may vary depending on the level of formality, organizational culture, and industry-specific conventions.

Conclusion: The classification of job titles in Uzbek and Russian languages reflects the linguistic diversity, cultural richness, and historical heritage of Uzbekistan and Russia. By examining the linguistic characteristics, cultural implications, and usage patterns of job titles in both languages, we gain insights into the complexities of professional discourse and the dynamic interplay between language, culture, and society in the context of employment. As Uzbekistan and Russia continue to evolve and diversify, the classification of job titles remains a dynamic and evolving aspect of language and culture in both countries.

The exploration of names of officials in Russian and Uzbek languages reveals the intricate interplay between linguistic structures, cultural norms, and administrative practices. While both languages share commonalities in their naming conventions, they also exhibit unique linguistic and cultural characteristics that reflect their historical trajectories and sociocultural contexts. By understanding the linguistic and cultural nuances of names of officials, we gain insights into the complexities of administrative discourse and the rich tapestry of linguistic diversity in Eurasia.

List of used literature:

1. Худоёрова Г. Н. Эволюция Наименований Лексико-Семантической Группы Наименований Военных И Военно-Административных Званий И Должностей На Территории Средней Азии Xviii-Xix Веков //International Journal of Formal Education. – 2024. – Т. 3. – №. 2. – С. 324-329.

2. Худоёрова Г. Н. История Формирования Лексико-Семантической Группы Наименований Должностных Лиц При Дворе В Средней Азии С Xviii По Xix Века //International Journal of Formal Education. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 10. – С. 91-98.

3. Khudayorova G. РАЗВИТИЕ РЕЧИ УЧАЩИХСЯ ПРИ ИЗУЧЕНИИ ОРФОГРАФИЧЕСКИХ ТЕМ //Science and innovation. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. B6. – С. 329-332.

4. Nuriddinovna X. G. Innovative Ways of Teaching Russian //JournalNX. – С. 480-483.

5. Худаёрова Г. Н. ТЕОРЕТИЧЕСКАЯ ОСНОВА ЛОГИЧЕСКОЙ СЕМАНТИКИ ЯЗЫКА, ЗНАЧЕНИЕ ЛОГИЧЕСКОЙ СЕМАНТИКИ //Педагогика и психология в современном мире: теоретические и практические исследования. – 2019. – С. 95-99.

6. Khudayorova G. THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS'SPEECH IN THE STUDY OF SPELLING TOPICS //Science and Innovation. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 6. – С. 329-332.

7. Nuriddinovna K. G. SEMANTIC DERIVATION OF THE VERB CONTENTS IN THE COGNITIVE ASPECT //Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal. – 2022. – Т. 10. – №. 3. – С. 820-823.

8. Гулматова У. Г. ПРИНЦИПЫ ОРГАНИЗАЦИИ ХУДОЖЕСТВЕННОГО ВРЕМЕНИ В РОМАНАХ В. АКСЕНОВА //ГУМАНИТАРНЫЙ ТРАКТАТ Учредители: ИП Никитин Игорь Анатольевич. – №. 117. – С. 21-24.

9. Nuriddinovna K. G. COMPARATIVE TYPOLOGY OF UZBEK AND RUSSIAN LANGUAGES.

10. Ra'no T., O'ralova F. History of the Origin of Dialogical Speech //International Journal of Discoveries and Innovations in Applied Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 3. – №. 9. – С. 92-97.

11. Turapova R. Mechanisms for Improving Children's Dialogical Speech //Vital Annex: International Journal of Novel Research in Advanced Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 9. – С. 49-53.

12. Турапова Р. Developing dialogic speech of pre-school children on the basis of a variative approach //Современные тенденции инновационного развития науки и образования в глобальном мире. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 4.

13. Turapova R. et al. WAYS TO TEACH PRESCHOOL CHILDREN TO ACT TALE //International Conference On Higher Education Teaching. – 2023. – Т. 1. – №. 11. – С. 42-46.

14. Turapova R., Abdusalomova G., Khalilova S. MAKING DIFFERENT TOYS FROM NATURAL AND WASTE MATERIALS IN A PRESCHOOL EDUCATIONAL ORGANIZATION //International Conference on Multidisciplinary Research. – 2023. – Т. 1. – №. 7. – С. 14-18.

15. Mushtariy S. et al. Ways of Using Games in Forming the Activity of Preschool Children //Eurasian Journal of Learning and Academic Teaching. – 2023. – Т. 18. – С. 119-135.

16. Fazilat B. et al. Methods of Involving Children who are Not Included in Pre-School Education Organizations to Private Pre-School Education Organizations //Eurasian Journal of Learning and Academic Teaching. – 2023. – Т. 18. – С. 104-118.

17. Toshpo'Latov F. U. Ra'No Barat Qizi Turopova Bolalarni o 'yin texnologiyalari asosida kasb-hunarga qiziqishlarini shakllantirishda rivojlantiruvchi o 'yinlar //Science and Education. – 2021. – Т. 4.

18. qizi Maktabgacha T. R. B. yoshdagi bolalarning badiiy estetik madaniyatini o'yinlar orqali shakllantirish EURASIAN JOURNAL OF LAW //FINANCE AND APPLIED SCIENCES https://doi. org/10.5281/zenodo. – Т. 6341593.

19. Turapova R. B. et al. MAKTABGACHA TA'LIM TASHKILOTIDAGI BOLALARNI NUTQINI RIVOJLANTIRISH. – 2023.

20. Toshpulatov F. Use of geometric patterns and their types from eliminations of drawing and applied art in architectural facilities //Физико-технологического образование. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 1.