HISTORICAL VIEW ON COMMUNICATIVE COMPETENCE IN THE TEACHING AND ASSESSMENT OF LANGUAGE FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES

Boltaev Chutkul
Teacher, Termiz State University
E-mail: boltaevchutkul1982@gmail.com

Abstract. Communication plays a vital role in our daily lives, and language proficiency is crucial for effective communication. In the field of language education, the concept of communicative competence has been a focal point in the teaching and assessment of language for specific purposes. This article examines the historical view on communicative competence and its significance in language education.

Key words: meaning, linguistic information, position, research, functions, system

Introduction

Communicative competence is one of the most necessary notions in languages for precise functions (LSP) educating and learning. Many key texts in LSP focal point on language users' capabilities to speak effectively, or clearly 'get matters done' in specific contexts of communication.

LSP is related to "the communicative wishes of audio system of a 2nd language in going through a unique workplace, academic, or expert context", and these wants encompass "not solely linguistic information however additionally heritage information applicable to the communicative context in which rookies want to operate".

English for Specific Purposes (ESP) focuses on "the needs positioned by using tutorial or place of work contexts on communicative behaviors" and "the language, skills, and genres suitable to the precise things to do the freshmen want to raise out in English". The significance of contexts and desires for conversation is clear, developing a herbal connection between LSP and the thought of communicative competence.

The time period communicative competence captures the concept that the capability to use language in interplay requires no longer simply manipulate of linguistic shape however additionally attention of guidelines of use in unique contexts.

Communicative competence is a slippery term: exceptional actors in 2d language (L2) research, education, and evaluation interpret the time period in a range of approaches and use it for a vary of purposes, possibly in particular in the discipline of languages for precise functions (LSP).

This is unfortunate due to the fact it is a key idea in LSP, as in utilized linguistics greater generally. Communicative competence can be viewed to be the goal of 2d language acquisition, a important purpose of 2d or overseas language instructing and learning, or the object language testers are trying to find to measure by means of overall performance tests.

In addition, modern interpretations of communicative competence can also be rather questionable variations of Hymes' concept, modified and frequently simplified to replicate modern-day procedures in each formal and practical linguistics, and to reply to realistic worries in language educating and testing.

Communicative competence is one of the most vital notions in languages for precise functions (LSP)

educating and learning.

LSP is associated to "the communicative wishes of audio system of a second language in dealing with a precise workplace, academic, or expert context", and these desires encompass "not solely linguistic understanding however additionally heritage information applicable to the communicative context in which newbies want to operate".

English for Specific Purposes (ESP) focuses on "the needs positioned via tutorial or place of work contexts on communicative behaviours" and "the language, skills, and genres fabulous to the unique things to do the freshmen want to lift out in English" . The significance of contexts and dreams for verbal exchange is clear, growing a herbal connection between LSP and the thought of communicative competence.

Yet as the concept has advanced over time, unique subfields of utilized linguistics like 2d language (L2) research, teaching, and checking out have pursued divergent interpretations, growing contradictions for LSP, which has historically drawn on these subfields.

This paper re-examines communicative competence from these three views to highlight tensions between idea and exercise in LSP and endorse a revised mannequin which constitutes a extra devoted illustration of Hymes' authentic thinking and is additionally nearer to contemporary issues in LSP assessment.

This thought was once first proposed via Hymes in an essay the place the sociolinguist argued for a linguistic idea which may want to focal point on "the capacities of persons, the business enterprise of verbal capacity for socially described purposes, and the sensitivity of policies to situations".

Hymes used to be reacting to Chomsky's well-known difference between the competence of "an perfect speaker-listener, in a totally homogeneous speech community, who is aware of its language perfectly," on one hand, and "errors (random or characteristic) in making use of his information of the language in genuine performance," on the other.

Hymes regarded this big difference as a modern-day interpretation of a culture main again to Saussure and even Humboldt, and puzzled the prioritisation of linguistic competence, that is, "tacit expertise of language structure" over performance, or "imperfect manifestation of underlying system" .

Hymes noticed the restrictive view taken by using Chomskyan linguistic idea as "almost a assertion of irrelevance" of sociolinguistics , and one which "omits nearly the entirety of sociocultural significance". Hymes sought to rehabilitate a sociolinguistic pastime in policies of use, when you consider that these, he argued, "are now not a late grafting" in infant language acquisition processes, however are rather received at the identical time as structural knowledge.

He pointed out that even Chomsky admitted "the opportunity of stylistic 'rules of performance'" (p. 280): on the grounds that regulations mean competence and accordingly contradict the competence/performance dichotomy, Hymes took up the undertaking of modelling what he termed communicative competence. This richer theory of competence consists of 4 kinds of expertise collectively with an "ability for use" which is associated to each of the 4 dimensions.

The idea of competence in L2 lookup comes from appreciation that learner language is greater than the sum of its parts, no longer realized by way of piecing collectively phrases in accordance to rules, however as a substitute consisting in a subconscious, summary device which informs real-time language processing. It is one-of-a-kind from performance, which incorporates errors due to processing constraints such as memory.

Corder (1967) used to be the first to word the systematic nature of L2 learner errors, and to view this as "evidence that the learner makes use of a particular device of studying at each factor in his development". Corder's L2 "system, or 'built-in' syllabus" led Selinker to coin the time period interlanguage as "a separate linguistic system" ensuing from "the learner's tried manufacturing of a goal language norm."

L2 researchers took up the venture of describing this system, in phrases of divergence from native-speaker norms, and with recognize to improvement in linguistic accuracy, complexity and fluency over time. Originally below the banner of Chomskyan generative SLA, this cognitivist method to interlanguage lookup

has been possibly most forcefully defended by using Kevin Gregg with emphasis on "three key words: explanation, no longer description or prediction; acquisition, now not use; competence, no longer behaviour".

Conclusion

The historical view on communicative competence in the teaching and assessment of language for specific purposes has significantly influenced language education practices. The adoption of the communicative approach and the recognition of communicative competence as a multifaceted skill have transformed language instruction, focusing on real-life communication and meaningful language use.

As language education continues to evolve, it is essential to prioritize the development and assessment of communicative competence to equip learners with the skills necessary for effective communication in diverse contexts.

References:

1. Basturkmen, H., & C. Elder. (2004). The practice of LSP. In A. Davies & C. Elder (Eds.), The handbook of applied linguistics. Blackwell Publishing.

2. Bhatia, V. K. (2014). Analysing genre: Language use in professional settings. Routledge.

3. Bhatia, V. J. (2008). Towards critical genre analysis. In V. J. Bhatia, J. Flowerdew, & R. H. Jones (Eds.), Advances in discourse studies. Abingdon: Routledge.

4. Breen, M. P., & Candlin, C. N. (1980). The essentials of a communicative curriculum in language teaching. Applied Linguistics, 1 (2), 89-112.

5. Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. Applied Linguistics, 1, 1. doi:10.1093/applin/l.1.1.