

AMERICAN Journal of Public Diplomacy and International Studies

Volume 01, Issue 10, 2023 ISSN (E): 2993-2157

Specific Diagnostic Symptoms in Gdm in Pregnant Women

Gulrukh Karimova Komilovna

The Department of Obstetrics and gynecology in Bukhara state medical Institute, Bukhara, Uzbekistan

Abstract: Data from a comparative analysis of specific diagnostic symptoms in GDM in pregnant women, for a detailed description of changes in the body of a pregnant woman with GDM, we studied the concentration of homocysteine in the blood for comparison in two study groups - 36 healthy and 68 pregnant women with GDM. According to the analysis of blood in the case histories of patients in groups, anemia was observed in an average of 61.45% of patients.

Based on the above data, using the Microsoft Excel 2010 program, a series of variations was built to find M±m indicators of the degree of dependence of these features, their correlation levels were studied by the Spearman method.

Homocysteine is a biomarker that controls the action of folic acid in the body in pregnant women, the reference values of which are in the range of $5.6-16.42~\mu\text{mol/l}$, while in healthy women this diagnostic indicator averages $12.98~\pm~0.31$. The mean homocysteine value in pregnant women with GDM was $42.87\pm2.26~\mu\text{mol/l}$ (P \leq 0.001).

Another specific marker in pregnant women with GDM is the study of cholecalciferol, vitamin 25(OH) D.

Investigating the concentration of vitamin 25 (OH) D in the blood of pregnant women with GDM, it was found that its concentration decreased to an average of 24.7 ± 0.43 ng/ml, while in healthy women it was 32.3 ± 1.4 ng/ml and it was found that the level of significance of the difference between the indicators in the group of pregnant women with GDM and in the control group was p<0.001, i.e. almost 2 times less. Reference values for the presence of cholecalciferol varied in the range of 30-100 ng/ml. This means that 7 healthy pregnant women out of 36 without GDM had low levels of 25(OH) D vitamin and these were grade 1 obese pregnant women.

Keywords: homocysteine, 25(OH) D, leptin, C-peptide, pregnant with diabetes, blood glucose.

Gestational diabetes is a type of diabetes that can develop during pregnancy in women who don't already have diabetes [1,7,18].

Numerous studies are being conducted around the world to optimize the prevention of gestational diabetes, as well as the search for accurate biomarkers, early screening and prevention of gestational diabetes in the field of obstetrics and gynecology[2,12,16].

Early diagnosis of the development of gestational diabetes and the appointment of effective treatment and prevention is an urgent problem for specialists in many areas. The results of the proposed study suggest that gestational diabetes is a direct risk factor for the development of

perinatal complications, such as a large fetus, an increase in operative and premature births, the development of gestational hypertension and preeclampsia, and hypoglycemia in the infant. Among the obstetric complications of gestational diabetes, the state of hyperglycemia is of particular importance [3,11,17].

Today, gestational diabetes is a metabolic disorder characterized by impaired insulin resistance that initially occurs during pregnancy. The incidence of gestational diabetes increases in parallel with weight gain and mainly malnutrition. The problems of screening and prevention of gestational diabetes today create many unpleasant consequences, so the improvement of laboratory algorithms based on the analysis of biochemical and enzyme immunoassay markers will help resolve a number of issues in this area[5,10,15].

Epidemiological data show that the incidence of gestational diabetes among pregnant women is increasing, and the prevention and screening of this disease is one of the most urgent problems that many areas face today. In our country, today there are no standards for early screening for GDM that could fully justify itself, and current issues such as early detection and prognosis have not been fully resolved. Currently, the mechanisms of the origin of GDM are not well understood, and therefore, scientific research is underway to identify early screening biomarkers associated with GDM [4,9,13-40]. However, the interaction of identified markers with overt and latent clinical signs of GDM has not been clearly demonstrated. For this reason, the search for predictors of GDM and complications is relevant [6,8,14].

It is also necessary to develop models for effective detection of women with gestational diabetes, timely screening and prevention methods, which are of particular importance in the management of gestational diabetes and help improve health outcomes. GDM is not a cure, but prevention is a priority in terms of both health and economics. In a non-diabetic woman at risk of GDM, even a small decrease in glucose levels can be an important factor for pregnancy and the health of future generations.

The aim of the work is introduction of screening methods based on clinical laboratory and symptoms in the early diagnosis of gestational diabetes.

Materials and methods: The study included biochemical (homocysteine, glucose, creatinine, glycated hemoglobin), hormonal (leptin, C-peptide, 25 (ON) D, methods of correlation and statistical research.

Results and discussion: Glycated hemoglobin or glycohemoglobin (HbA1s) is a blood biochemical marker that reflects the amount of glucose in the blood over a long period (three to four months), in contrast to blood glucose measurement, which only gives an idea of blood glucose levels for moment of testing.

In general, in our pregnant women with GDM, it averaged 7.7% before delivery, which corresponds to the normative data, but it should be noted that in healthy women this figure dropped significantly to 3.2%.

The high level of HbA1 compared with healthy pregnant women can be explained by the high level.

The amount of glycated hemoglobin administered for the diagnosis of GDM varied on average from 9.36±0.36 to 3.89±0.11 ng/ml in pregnant women with GDM and in the control group. Given these changes, we decided to analyze the indicator in D-dimer, in case of detection of hypercoagulability, add low molecular weight anticoagulants to correct coagulation disorders in the hemostasis system.

Another specific marker in pregnant women with GDM is the study of cholecalciferol, vitamin 25(OH) D.

Investigating the concentration of vitamin 25 (OH) D in the blood of pregnant women with GDM, it was found that its concentration decreased to an average of 24.7±0.43 ng/ml, while in

healthy women it was 32.3±1.4 ng/ml and it was found that the level of significance of the difference between the indicators in the group of pregnant women with GDM and in the control group was p<0.001, i.e. almost 2 times less. Reference values for the presence of cholecalciferol varied in the range of 30–100 ng/ml. This means that 7 healthy pregnant women out of 36 without GDM had low levels of 25(OH) D vitamin and these were grade 1 obese pregnant women.

Thus, lower levels of vitamin 25(OH)D are associated with reduced insulin sensitivity and may contribute to a number of complications such as fetal growth retardation, undetermined fetal status, and antenatal fetal death in pregnant women with GDM. It is the initial deficiency of 25(OH)D vitamin in pregnant women with GDM that can aggravate the state of the body, contribute to the disruption of blood microcirculation, with a subsequent violation against the background of already formed hypercoagulability.

In the seventh section of the dissertation, "Assessment of the condition of the fetus using ultrasound in GDM", ultrasound Doppler was performed to assess the condition of the amnion fluid index (AVI), fetometry and placenta using ultrasound examination. In the control group, when studying IAV, polyhydramnios was not observed, 49.3% of 33 pregnant women with a history of GDM complications were observed, and 27.9% of 19 pregnant women with developed GDM.

Homocysteine is a biomarker that controls the action of folic acid in the body in pregnant women, the reference values of which are in the range of $5.6-16.42 \, \mu mol/l$, while in healthy women this diagnostic indicator averages 12.98 ± 0.31 . The mean homocysteine value in pregnant women with GDM was $42.87\pm2.26 \, \mu mol/l$ (P \leq 0.001).

In 68 pregnant women with pre-existing obesity with GDM, there was a mean change of 16.74 ± 0.28 ng/ml, however, high leptin levels were observed even before pregnancy, indicating that they had high leptin levels before the antenatal period, which indicated the presence of metabolic syndrome in this contingent.

In 5 of the group of healthy pregnant women, obesity of the 1st degree was observed, and the leptin index also varied within 7.9 ± 0.32 ng/ml, i.e. there was a slight increase in obesity

The D-dimer marker, which determines the coagulation status of the body, averaged 1796±18.76 ng/ml in pregnant women with gestational diabetes and 814.6±33.3 ng/ml in the comparison group.

To identify placental and fetal circulatory disorders associated with the development of complications, we also studied specific analyzes in dynamics, such as homocysteine, leptin, C-peptide, D-dimer and 25 (OH)D. When septic complications occurred, the following indicators were included in the studies as C-reactive protein and procalcitonin.

To determine the time of onset of GDM, it is important to know the level of glycosylated hemoglobin in pregnant women while performing a glucose tolerance test.

Many biochemical tests and fasting blood glucose are performed at the primary care level and are considered routine laboratory tests.

Laboratory tests such as complete blood count, hemostasiogram, and assessment of biochemical abnormalities can characterize the true state of obstetric complications that are also present in GDM.

To prevent complications of GDM, i.e. to detect fetal growth retardation syndrome (SORP) as a result of large fetuses, macrosomia, diabetic fetal fetopathy (DFP), fetometry was performed in pregnant control groups using Mindray-50 ultrasound equipment to prevent complications of GDM, i.e. to detect fetal growth retardation syndrome as a result of large fetuses, macrosomia, diabetic fetal fetopathy (DFP), fetometry was performed in pregnant control groups using Mindray-50 ultrasound equipment.

The results of our studies also revealed cases of malnutrition, the main cause of which was a reversible process in the arteries and veins of the satellite circulatory system of the placenta. The presence of varying degrees of preeclampsia in almost all pregnant women with fetal growth retardation syndrome has proven that there is an association between GDM and preeclampsia.

In the group of healthy pregnant women, cases of asymmetry and antenatal fetal death were not observed. In pregnant women with GDM, cases of antenatal fetal death were observed in 34.3% and 2.94% of patients with a history of GDM, respectively.

As a result, in terms of age, most pregnant women with GDM and women with a history of GDM were between 20 and 29 years of age. The incidence rate was also higher in older pregnant women than in the control group.

When asked by the history taking method, whether close relatives of pregnant women with GDM had inherited diabetes mellitus, where cases of DM were diagnosed (58.2% of pregnant women with a history of GDM, 57.4% of patients with GDM).

When studying the state of somatic diseases of pregnant women in the study groups in pregnant women with a history of GDM and GDM, chronic pyelonephritis occurred in 32.8% and 30.9%, thyroid disease in 32.8%, 35.3%, obesity - 75% and 64.2% in two groups, respectively.

According to the analysis of blood in the case histories of patients in groups, anemia was observed in an average of 61.45% of patients.

Based on the above data, using the Microsoft Excel 2010 program, a series of variations was built to find $M \pm m$ indicators of the degree of dependence of these signs, their correlation levels were studied by the Spearman method (Table 1).

Table 1 Levels of clinical and anamnestic dependence of pregnant women with GDM

| Indicators | M±m | Correlation dependence | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| mulcators | IVI=III | R | Degree | |
| Age ≥ 29 | 30±0.62 | 0.4 | Correct Average | |
| Obesity BMI ≥ 30 | 31.71±0.8 | 0.84 | Proper strong | |
| Overweight BMI ≥ 25 | 27±0.54 | 0.6 | Correct Average | |
| Diffuse goiter | 0.35 ± 0.06 | 0.5 | Correct Average | |
| DM hereditary predisposition | 0.6 ± 0.06 | 0.96 | Proper strong | |
| Chronic pyelonephritis | 0.5 ± 0.061 | 0.3 | Proper weak | |
| History of antenatal death | 0.56 ± 0.06 | 0.7 | Proper strong | |
| Polyhydramnios | 0.7 ± 0.06 | 0.6 | Correct Average | |
| Preeclampsia | 0.5 ± 0.06 | 0.78 | Proper strong | |
| Large fruit ≥ 4 kg | 0.95 ± 0.025 | 0.87 | Proper strong | |

Note: * $P \ge 0.05$ - a significant difference compared to the control group.

Thus, the degree of correlation dependence of these factors served as an auxiliary scale for assessing pregnancy in women with GDM.

In our studies, we compared such markers as - (homocysteine, 25 (OH) D, leptin, C-peptide, HbA1c, D-dimer, PGTT, FPTT, C-reactive protein) and determined the degree of correlation between pregnant women with GDM and healthy pregnant women of the control group. As a result, it was found that the correlation between homocysteine (0.76), leptin (0.78), C-peptide (0.81) and OGTT (0.9) in the study had a degree of correct strong correlation.

Table 2 The degree of correlation dependence of laboratory tests.

| No. | Analyzes | M±m | R | r | Correlation level |
|-----|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|-------|------------------------|
| 1. | Homocysteine | 42.4±2.3 | p<0.001* | 0.76 | Proper strong |
| 2. | 25 (OH) D | 24.6±0.4 | p<0.001* | 0.65 | Correct Average |
| 3. | Leptin | 16.6±0.3 | p<0.001* | 0.78 | Proper strong |
| 4. | C-peptide | 4.9±0.2 | p<0.001* | 0.81 | Proper strong |
| 5. | HbA1 with | 12.6±0.5 | p<0.001* | 0.52 | Correct Average |
| 6. | D- dimer | 1796 ±18.8 | p<0.001* | 0.52 | Proper weak |
| 7. | OGTT (on an empty stomach) | 10 ±0.3 | p<0.001* | 0,9 _ | Proper strong |
| 8. | APTT | 30.6 ±3.6 | p<0.005* | 0.56 | Correct Average |
| 9. | C-reactive protein | $5.9 \pm 0.3 \ 1$ | p>0.05 * | 0.28 | Proper weak |

Correlation correct average levels of communication were determined between such laboratory indicators as -25 (OH) D (0.65), HbA1c (0.52), FPTV (0.56). The coagulogram index D-dimer has the correct level of weak correlation with such a sepsis marker CRP (Table 2).

Thus, on the basis of clinical and anamnestic data, laboratory indicators, it was proved that the results of the analysis in the early diagnosis and screening of GDM play an important role in predicting the course of pregnancy and the development of possible complications.

Conclusions:

- 1. In our studies, the risk factors for the development of gestational diabetes were: obesity of the 1st degree (43%), 2nd degree (21.5%) and overweight (12%), women with hereditary diabetes mellitus (57.4%), the presence of a large fetus history (80.9%), preeclampsia (66.2%), polyhydramnios (35.3%), with a condition after COVID-19, complicated by transient diabetes (14.7%,).
- 2. The negative impact of gestational diabetes on both the mother's body and the fetus, with the subsequent development of DF and insulin resistance of the fetus. They were reflected in the following indicators: an increase in the level of glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) by 2–2.3 times, insulin resistance of hormonal indicators to C-peptide by 92.4%, homocysteine (a marker of folate status) by 12% and an increase in the predictor of metabolic leptins on average 65% (p<0.001) of the LDH level, LII by 10% relative to the reference values.
- 3. To prevent complications in the mother and fetus, based on clinical and laboratory data and predictors of the development of gestational diabetes mellitus (C-peptide, leptin, an increase in BMI up to 450 mg up to 20 weeks, 1.5 times more than normal pregnancy) indicates a complicated course of GDM and Insulin dose adjustment should be immediately introduced together with an endocrinologist; in order to prevent maternal and perinatal complications, an algorithm based on a computer program has been optimized.

Acknowledgments.

The authors are grateful for the support and helpful comments provided by the department of Obstetrics and gynekology in Bukhara State Medical Institute, as well as other experts consulted

as part of the process. We would also like to thank Bukhara city maternity complex for her assistance in creating the figure for our literature search.

References.

- 1. Karimova, G. K., Navruzova, N. O., & Nurilloyeva Sh, N. (2020). An individual approach to the management of gestational diabetes. *European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine*, 7(2), 6284-6291.
- 2. Ikhtiyarova, G. A., Karimova, G. K., & Navruzova, N. O. (2019). KhairullaevCh. K. Ultrasound diagnostics of diabetic fetopathy in pregnant women with metabolic syndrome on the background of diabetes mellitus. *Medicine and sports2019*, (3-4), 56-58.
- 3. Каримова, Г. К., Ихтиярова, Г. А., & Наврузова, Н. О. (2020). Скрининг диагностика гестационного диабета. *Новый день в медицине*, (1), 220-222.
- 4. Ихтиярова, Г. А., Каримова, Г. К., Наврузова, Н. О., & Хайруллаев, Ч. К. (2019). Ультразвуковая диагностика диабетической фетопатии у беременных с метоболическим синдромом на фоне сахарного диабета. *Тиббиет ва спорт*, (3-4), 56-58.
- 5. Karimova, G. K., Ikhtiyarova, G. A., & Muminova, N. K. (2021). Early biochemical markers and screening diagnosis of Gestional diabetes mellitus and its prevention during pandemic period. *Journal of Natural Remedies*, 22(1 (1)), 17-26.
- 6. Sh, I. A., Ikhtiyarova, G. A., Musaeva, D. M., & Karimova, G. K. (2020). Assessment of the status of pregnant women with diabetes mellitus infected with COVID-19. *New day in medicine*, 2, 30.
- 7. Каримова, Г. К. (2022). Гестацион қандли диабетни эрта ташхислашнинг биокимёвий скрининги. *Barqarorlik va yetakchi tadqiqotlar onlayn ilmiy jurnali*, 2(8), 199-212.
- 8. Каримова, Г. К., Наврузова, Н. О., & Нуриллоева, Ш. Н. (2020). Индивидуальный подход к ведению гестационного диабета. *Европейский журнал молекулярной и клинической медицины*, 7(2), 6284-6291.
- 9. Каримова, Г. К., Ихтиярова, Г. А., & Муминова, Н. К. (2021). Ранние биохимические маркеры и скрининг-диагностика гессионального сахарного диабета и его профилактика в период пандемии. Журнал природных средств правовой защиты, 22(1), 1.
- 10. Каримова, Г. К., & Каримова, Г. К. (2023). Лаборатор-Инструментальные Показатели Беременных С Гестационным Диабетом. *AMALIY VA TIBBIYOT FANLARI ILMIY JURNALI*, 2(10), 1-8.
- 11. Каримова, Г. К. (2022). Гестацион Қандли Диабетда Ҳомиладорлик Ва Туғруқни Олиб Бориш. *AMALIY VA TIBBIYOT FANLARI ILMIY JURNALI*, *1*(6), 180-192.
- 12. Komilovna, G. K. (2023). Clinical and Anamnestic, Laboratory and Instrumental Indicators of Pregnant Women with Gestational Diabetes. *Central Asian Journal of Medical and Natural Science*, 4(5), 390-398.
- 13. Каримова, Г. К., & Ихтиярова, Г. А. (2021). ПОПУЛЯЦИИ И РАННИЕ ПРЕДИКТОРЫ ГЕСТАЦИОННОГО САХАРНОГО ДИАБЕТА В ПЕРИОД ПАНДЕМИИ. Журнал теоретической и клинической медицины, (6-1), 77-80.
- 14. Bakhadurovna, H. D., & Akmalovna, I. G. (2022). THE ROLE OF MULTIGENIC THROMBOPHILIA IN WOMEN WITH UNFAVORABLE OUTCOMES AFTER EXTRACORPOREAL FERTILIZATION. *ResearchJet Journal of Analysis and Inventions*, *3*(1), 44-50.

- 15. Bakhodirovna, K. D. (2022). Thrombophlebia and Pregnancy, Predicting Perinatal Complications and Optimizing Administration Tactics. *International Journal of Culture and Modernity*, 13, 130-137.
- 16. Hafizova, D. B. (2023). EVALUATION OF THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE G/A POLYMORPHISM OF THEF7 GENE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN UNFAVORABLE IVF OUTCOME IN WOMEN WITH THROMBOPHILIA. British Medical Journal, 3(2).
- 17. Хафизова, Д. Б., & Ихтиярова, Г. А. (2022). Оценка Роли Генетического Полиморфизма Факторов Системы Гемостаза Гена F3 В Развитии Тромбофилии У Женщин Узбекской Популяции. *AMALIY VA TIBBIYOT FANLARI ILMIY JURNALI*, 1(5), 20-28.
- 18. Aslonova, M. J. The Nature of Disorders in the Mother-Placenta-Fetus System and Their Role in the Development of Fetal Growth Restriction Syndrome. *GAS*, 293, 00-5.
- 19. Аслонова, М., Ихтиярова, Г., & Дустова, Н. (2020). РАЗВИТИЕ ПЛОДА И ОСЛОЖНЕНИЯ ВО ВРЕМЯ БЕРЕМЕННОСТИ У ЖЕНЩИН С ХРОНИЧЕСКИМИ ЗАБОЛЕВАНИЯМИ ПОЧЕК. Журнал вестник врача, 1(3), 113-116.
- 20. Aslonova, M. J. The Nature of Disorders in the Mother-Placenta-Fetus System and Their Role in the Development of Fetal Growth Restriction Syndrome. *GAS*, 293, 00-5.
- 21. Аслонова, М. Ж. (2023). Хомилани Ўсиши Чегараланиш Синдроми Муаммосининг Замонавий Жиҳатлари Ва Уни Тузатиш Усуллари. *AMALIY VA TIBBIYOT FANLARI ILMIY JURNALI*, 2(11), 1-9.
- 22. Аслонова, М. Ж., Исматова, М. И., & Пулатова, Р. А. (2018). Современные аспекты индукции родов для подготовки шейки матки к родам на различных сроках беременности. *Medical review*, 5, 59.
- 23. Ismoilova, M. Z. (2023). Modern Obstetric Aspects of Prognosis and Management of Post-Term Pregnancy. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HEALTH SYSTEMS AND MEDICAL SCIENCES, 2(4), 93-96.
- 24. Ismoilova, M. Z. (2023). Preeclampsia in Multiparous Women and their Actions of the Body.
- 25. Ismoilova, M. Z. (2023). PREGNANCIES COMPLICATED BY PRETERM DELIVERY AND HYPERTENSIVE DISORDERS OF PREGNANCY. BARQARORLIK VA YETAKCHI TADQIQOTLAR ONLAYN ILMIY JURNALI, 3(4), 265-270.
- 26. Исмоилова, М. 3., & Туксанова, Д. И. (2021). MICROBIOLOGICAL RECOGNITION OF ANTIBODIES TO ANTIGENS OF MICROORGANISMS IN WOMEN WITH INFLAMMATORY DISEASES OF THE GENITAL. УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ, 2(5)
- 27. Исмоилова, М. 3. (2023). Развитие Прогностических Маркер У Женщин Проявляющий Преждевременных Родов С Инфекциями Мочеполовой Системы. AMALIY VA TIBBIYOT FANLARI ILMIY JURNALI, 2(5), 362-367.
- 28. Ихтиярова, Г. А., Наврузова, Н. О., & Муминова, Н. Х. (2022). Бачадон бўйни рак олди касалликлари дифференциал диагностикасини такомиллаштириш усули. *Евразийский журнал медицинских и естественных наук*, 2(8), 4-17.
- 29. Ahmedova, A. T., Agababyan, L. R., & Abdullaeva, L. M. (2020). Peculiarities of the perimenopause period in women with endometriosis. *International scientific review*, (LXX), 100-105.

- 30. Агабабян, Л. Р., Ахмедова, А. Т., Абдуллаева, Л. М., Насирова, З. А., & Махмудова, С. Э. (2019). Негормональная коррекция климактерических расстройств у женщин с эндометриозом. Вопросы науки и образования, (26 (75)), 77-84.
- 31. Abdullaeva, L. M., Babadzhanova, G. S., Nazarova, D. B., Muratova, N. D., & Ashurova, U. A. (2012). Role of hormonal disturbances in sterility development for patients with benign formations of ovaries. *Likars' ka Sprava*, (3-4), 104-109.
- 32. Akhmedova, A. T., Agababyan, L. R., & Abdullaeva, L. M. (2020). The effectiveness of atypical minor antipsychotics in the treatment of menopausal syndrome in women with perimenopause endometriosis. *Journal of Critical Reviews*, 7(13), 1033-1036.
- 33. Каттаходжаева, М., Сафаров, А., Сулейманова, Н., & Абдуллаева, Л. (2020). К вопросу о тактике ведения беременности и родов при тазовых предлежаниях плода. *in Library*, 20(1), 18-21.
- 34. Sharipova, N. M. (2023). Impact of Vitamin D Deficiency on Pregnancy. *Central Asian Journal of Medical and Natural Science*, 4(5), 705-712.
- 35. Шарипова, Н. М. (2023). Влияние Дефицита Витамина D На Течение Беременности. *AMALIY VA TIBBIYOT FANLARI ILMIY JURNALI*, 2(10), 59-63.
- 36. Шарипова, Н. М. (2023). ВЛИЯНИЕ ДЕФИЦИТА ВИТАМИНА D НА ТЕЧЕНИЕ БЕРЕМЕННОСТИ ПРИ ПРЕЖДЕВРЕМЕННЫХ РОДАХ. *AMALIY VA TIBBIYOT FANLARI ILMIY JURNALI*, 2(11), 191-196.
- 37. Хайдарова, Н. Б. (2023). Прогноз Недостаточности Плацентарной Системы У Беременных, Получавших Лечение От Covid-19 И Sars-Cov-2. Central Asian Journal of Medical and Natural Science, 4(5), 693-700.
- 38. Salimova, T. B. (2022). Features of the Course of Pregnancy in Pregnant Women with Fetal Growth Restriction Syndrom and the Role of Doppler Velocimetry. *Central Asian Journal of Medical and Natural Science*, *3*(6), 557-563.
- 39. SALIMOVA, T., & DO'STOVA, N. Q. (2023). HOMILA O'SISHINING CHEGARALANISHI SINDROMI BILAN HOMILADOR AYOLLARDA HOMILADORLIKNING KECHISHI XUSUSIYATLARI. Молодые ученые, 1(15), 4-6.
- 40. Salimova, T. (2023). CAUSES AND DIAGNOSIS OF INTRAUTERINE GROWTH RESTRICTION SYNDROME. Science and innovation in the education system, 2(11), 48-50.