

Issues and Challenges of Load Balancing Techniques in Cloud Computing

Harsh

Department of Computer Science, S.D. (PG) College, Panipat

Abstract: With the growth in computing technologies, cloud computing has added a new paradigm to user services that allows accessing Information Technology services on the basis of pay-per-use at any time and any location. Owing to flexibility in cloud services, numerous organizations are shifting their business to the cloud and service providers are establishing more data centers to provide services to users. However, it is essential to provide cost-effective execution of tasks and proper utilization of resources. Several techniques have been reported in the literature to improve performance and resource use based on load balancing, task scheduling, resource management, quality of service, and workload management. Load balancing in the cloud allows data centers to avoid overloading/under loading in virtual machines, which itself a challenge in the field is of cloud computing. Therefore, it becomes a necessity for developers and researchers to design and implement a suitable load balancer for parallel and distributed cloud environments. The respective study represents a view of problems and threats faced by the current load balancing techniques and make the researchers find more efficient algorithms.

Keywords: Cloud Computing, Load Balancing Algorithm, LEC Server, LIF, Static and Dynamic Algorithm.

INTRODUCTION

With the tremendous expansion of Computer Technology, Cloud computing emerged as a fascinating technology that amuses one to use its services at any time or place on a rented fashion. Several business organizations also known as cloud providers (Google, Amazon, IBM etc.) help us in providing cloud services to its customers. The ultimate focus of cloud relies on achieving utmost system performance and productivity by sharing of resources appropriately. Also, it offers sharing of IT resources globally to schedule the respective services at various data hubs thus, providing speedy services to customers. Similar distributed services such as grid computing and peer-to-peer computing are also available that facilitates resource sharing and data transfer services. Altogether, it enables in providing business possibilities to both cloud service providers in building modern data centers and service consumers in setting their field economically on cloud.

The Cloud Computing model is invented with four deployment models - public, private, community and hybrid cloud. In private model, infrastructure is exclusively available to a single organization consisting of multiple users and that multiple users may be third parties etc. Community model is available for a specific community of customers belonging to that organization. Public model is available for general public. It stands on the work space of the cloud supplier. Hybrid deployment model is a setup of two or more different cloud deployment

models. These are driven together by standardized or trademarked technologies that enable the data and application portability.

Based on delivery models, we have three common delivery models formalized as Infrastructure-as-a-Service, Platform-as-a-Service and Software-as-a-Service. The IAAS delivery model provides Infrastructure-centric IT resource as a service that can be accessed and managed via cloud interface (e.g. AWS, Rackspace, Cisco Meta-cloud etc.). The PAAS model provides a readymade platform as a service that contains already deployed and configured IT resources to use (e.g. Windows Azure, Google App Eng etc.). The SAAS model represents a set of programs and data typically known as software as a service to its users. (e.g. Google Apps, Cisco WebEx, salesforce.com etc.)

USES OF LOAD BALANCING

Load balancing concept mainly deals with the idea of distributing the load evenly on available IT resources. Even in the instance of breakdown of any service, its main goal is to provide continuous service by issuing and non-issuing the application with appropriate resource usage. Load balancing also focuses at minimizing the latency with respect to the tasks and improving resource utilization thus, enhancing the system performance cost-effectively. It also provides elasticity and adaptability for the applications whose dimensions may vary time ahead and demands extra IT resources. Other targets are reduction of energy utilization and carbon release, averting finally the congestion by providing the resources and fulfilling QOS requirements. Thus it necessitates a suitable load planning mechanism accounting several measures.

RELEVANT STUDIES

Load balancing is a technique which is responsible for distributing the load over one or additional workstations, servers, networks or other IT resources to a number of users. This mechanism in cloud is completely different from conventional architecture of actual load balancing. Across the world, so many researchers are working and developing different types of optimum resource methods in cloud area. In order to perfectly balance IT resources and enhance performance, the respective technique uses run time distribution. In addition to the load balancing problem, we have several other issues like VM migration, execution time, performance of VMs, energy saving, carbon emissions, QOS and resource management etc.

Mishra, S.K., have studied the heuristic-based algorithms and for that they applied various types of loads like network, CPU, memory etc. to get a better performance in the cloud environment. Balaji and Sai Kiran presented different resource allocation problems and they have given optimal resource allocation for huge job requests. Radha et.al discussed various resources scheduling in cloud and optimized the price for provisioning of resources and average success rate also improved with MQLO algorithm. Aruna Rani et.al presented a thorough study regarding different task scheduling techniques and identified measures appropriate for cloud environment. Their literature was originally based on methods, applications and parameters. A few authors provided security measures to different metrics that they are applying on the load balancing environment.

The below mentioned are the objectives of this paper:

- Reviewing the actual CLB algorithms.
- Delivering a modern class of CLB algorithms.
- Analyzing the pros and cons of the CLB algorithms.

CHALLENGES IN CLOUD LOAD BALANCING

Cloud Computing discipline is emerging as the most targeted research area. In that particular field, load balancing is appearing as one of the major threat demanding high-end concern from the researchers. Following are some load balancing problems that are mentioned below:

Geographical Distributed Nodes: The data centers are distributed according to the geographical features of an area/place for computation purposes. In this particular type, geo-spatial scattered nodes are valued as a sole system for carrying out the user requested operations efficiently.

Single Point of Failure: The decisions with respect to the load balancing are handled by the master node for several dynamic load balancing algorithms with the non-distributed nature. If the master node crashes, the whole computing domain gets disturbed.

Virtual Machine Migration: Virtualization is a method of creating or combining a number of VM's on a single physical system. The VMs deployed will be having individualistic behavior with various configurations. If in a case that physical system gets overburdened, a few VM's involves the need to be relocated to a distant location with help of Cloudlet migration techniques.

Algorithm Complexity: Algorithm designing should be always simple in its nature and easy to be implemented. The more the complexity of the algorithm, the lesser the performance and efficiency in the cloud environment.

Load Balancer Scalability: Cloud services provides accessibility to its users to use any service at any time or place by scaling up or scaling down the resources quickly based on demand. A good load balancing algorithm should be able to adapt to the quick changes in demand that may come with respect to network topology, power etc. to facilitate the respective system work effectively.

LOAD BALANCING MODEL

The Cloud technology works on the principle of providing an accessibility to a group of resources i.e. physical servers, Virtual servers, memory and networks to its users as and when required. A model and work flow of the load balancing are laid out below in fig 1.




Fig. 1 Load Balancing Model

The cloud services receive a large variety of consumer requests that drives us to maintain a dynamic environment to process the jobs. When load balancer receives the user requests based on that, it executes load balancing algorithms. According to the request, load balancer selects the required Virtual Machines. The load balancer can decide on how to distribute the consumer request to various VM's. The DCC (Data Center Controller) is manager for job management. Jobs are then forwarded to load balancer, which executes load balancing algorithm to allot an appropriate VM to the job. Virtual Machine manager is responsible for Virtual Machines. VM is a piece of software that is available in computers and on the top of that we can run operating system and applications. Customer requests are accepted by the Virtual machines. Customers are from different places and they will submit their requests randomly. All the requests have to be

forwarded to VMs for execution. Because of this, job allocation is a serious concern in Cloud computing. When few VMs are overburdened or few are free or have a less job to execute, QoS of the service will be decreased. As a result of this, users may be sometimes unsatisfied and may change their services to other Cloud providers. So in all ways, maintain a good load balancing algorithm for a better service performance.

METRICS OF LOAD BALANCING

In order to achieve finer resource utilization and enhanced system performance, it becomes necessary that the load balancer should distribute the workload equally to all the existing resources. Various researchers proposed different load balancing approaches and measures for achieving high-end user satisfaction. Researchers need to make sure that all the factors are managed effectively to increase the whole system performance.

- **Performance:** System effectiveness are verified after successfully implementing the respective technique.
- **Makespan:** This measures the total amount of time taken to allot resources to its users.
- **Throughput:** This measures the rate at which the submitted requests are processed per unit time. The more the throughput rate, the greater the system performance.
- **Scalability:** This measures the ability of the node to carry out consistent load balancing even in the case when the needed number of nodes shoots up.
- **Fault Tolerance:** This measures the ability of the load balancing method to function unvaryingly even in the case of any failure of any node or link.
- **Response Time:** This measure the total duration of time taken to respond to a submitted request for a service.
- **Migration Time:** This measure the total period of time taken to shift a request from an overburdened machine to an under-burdened machine. The lesser the migration time, the greater the system performance.
- **Resource Use:** This measures that all the available resources are appropriately utilized in cloud environment. The greater the IT resource usage, the lesser the overall cost, energy expenditure and carbon emission.
- **Degree of Imbalance:** This classifies the differences between Virtual machines.

STUDY OF EXISTING LOAD BALANCING TECHNIQUES

Load balancing methods are divided into two types. They are

- a) Static Cloud load balancing
- b) Dynamic Cloud load balancing.

These types are clearly explained below.

- 1. Static Load Balancing:** These load balancing strategies don't rely on present status of the system; they only rely on system properties like operation time, storage, memory space, transforming ability of modules priory. These strategies do not permit distribution of system resources at processing time. These strategies are simple to be implemented as well as executed but are suitable only for small-scale systems. As these techniques do not rely on present status of the system so, they are found to be impractical for estimating the system performance. Also, they do not enable finding of associated servers at processing time. A few static CLB methods are FIFO, Round Robin, Min-Max and Max-Min.

A static CLB method collects all job-related data in advance thus, cutting down the waiting time. It holds a record of all upcoming tasks and decides their processing time. The job having least execution time will be processed first and the job having utmost execution time will be executed

later. In general, short-term tasks are carried out initially. The structure may sometimes overcome starvation issues as few tasks fail in aligning in a sequence demanding for more processing power at the same time.

2. Dynamic Load Balancing Techniques: As static load balancing strategies don't rely on present status of the system that is why, they are proven to be not useful for distributed cloud computing environment where the state changes proactively. As a result of this, we urge to have dynamic load balancing strategies good enough for cloud domain. In the below segment, we review several load balancing methods that are subjected to load balancer principle. In accordance with the study, we have outlined the techniques as follows:

- Natural Phenomena (NP) Based Load Balancing
- Hybrid Load Balancing
- Agent Based Load Balancing
- General Load Balancing
- Task Based Load Balancing

2.1 Natural Phenomena Based Load Balancing: We reviewed a few load balancing techniques that are motivated by natural phenomena, such as GA, COA, Ant-Colony and Honey-Bee etc.

Table 1: Natural Phenomena based load balancing techniques

Author	Idea	Concept	Pros	Cons
Awatif Ragmani et. al. (2019)	hybrid algorithm based on the Fuzzy logic and ACO	Reducing response time	Improved response time and processing time	Complexity
Ebtesam Aloboud, Heba Kurdi (2019)	Cuckoo scheduling algorithm	Increasing CPU utilization	Reduced waiting time	Need to check more metrics.
Babu et. al. (2016)	Bee colony based load balancing	By using honeybee method to decrease resource usage	Response time is low.	More complexity

Awatif Ragmani et. al. (2019) proposed a hybrid algorithm based on fuzzy logic and ACO. In this paper, they applied Taguchi experimental design for ACO parameters and fuzzy module to evaluate values for better response time.

Ebtesam Aloboud and Heba Kurdi (2019) proposed a cuckoo optimization scheduling algorithm. In this, they are using the cuckoo bird. The cuckoos are kind of birds that do not make nests for themselves. These birds search for convenient nests to lay eggs to rise. This algorithm provides better results for high priority jobs between different nodes.

Babu and Samuel (2016) came up with a algorithm considering reaction time and number of migrations as load metrics. In this paper, they are treating the tasks as honeybees and under-loaded cloudlet as food source. When a cloudlet gets overloaded, then automatically load balancing can be used to migrate from one cloudlet to another cloudlet to get better resource utilization.

2.2 Hybrid Load balancing Techniques (HLB): HLB methods are used to reduce the disadvantages of static and dynamic methods. This method decreases the response time and increases the resource usage. We analyzed the hybrid methods in Table 2.

D. Chaudhary and B. Kumar (2019) are using a load scheduling model, Hybrid Genetic Gravitational Search Algorithm for reducing the total cost of computation. For this, they have used hybrid genetic crossover approach. The finding of force parameter is based on gravitational constant using practical best and global best values.

Table 2: Hybrid Load Balancing Techniques

Author	Idea	Concept	Pros	Cons
D. Chaudhary and B. Kumar (2019)	Cost optimized Hybrid Genetic-Gravitational Search Algorithm	To reducing the total cost of computation	reduces the totalcost of computation	More complex
Chen (2017)	Cloud load balancing technique	Method to resolve server response failure and load monitor	Highly scalable	Required more response time
Naha et.al. (2016)	Combination of broker and load technique	To decrease response time	response time decreased	More execution time

Chen et al. (2017) proposed architecture, in that contemplate the cloud load and server processing for server issues are minimized for handling more requests from the customers. In this model, they used a load balancing model for physical as well as virtual servers to update data regarding server loading and computation power.

Naha et.al. (2016) developed a hybrid algorithm by using round-robin and throttled technique with service proximity broker algorithm. In this article, for implementing this model, they are using three different service broker methods and one load balancing method.

2.3 Agent Based Load Balancing: In this part, we have studied different agent based load balancing methods. An agent is a part of software that self-regulates and constantly tells what is good for the design objectives. Different methods are summarized in the Table 3.

C'elia G. Ralha et. al. (2018) presented a multi-agent system to positively allot resources in cloud environment. They developed resource prediction model that is based on multiple linear regression.

Table 3 : Agent based Load Balancing Techniques

Author	Idea	Concept	Pros	Cons
C'elia G. Ralha et. al. (2018)	MAS-Cloud, a multi-agent system	Multiple linear regression model	Reduces errorrate	more timespans.
Tasquier (2015)	Multiagent-based load balancing	Using different agents for different resource provisioning	multi-cloud resources	QOS is not Considered
Keshvadi (2016)	Using Multi-agent model	Load balancing across virtual machines	Reduce dynamic migration	Not having sufficient timers to stop.

Tasquier (2015) proposed an agent based load balancer for multi cloud environment. In this article, they are using multi-cloud concept. In that, load balancing is applied on a mobile agent. This particular model makes use of agent to identify the status of cloud and it can notify about overloaded and under loaded cloudlets.

Keshvadi (2016) proposed a multi-agent-based load balancing architecture. This architecture runs on both sender and receiver methods to minimize waiting time and get better SLA. This model can be achieved by using these different agents like VMM Agent, Data center Monitor Agent and Negotiator Ant Agent.

2.4 General Load Balancing Techniques: In this, we discuss different general methods based on load balancing such as Virtual Machine migration and load balancing strategies. we analyzed different models in below Table 4.

Table 4 : General Load Balancing Techniques

Author	Idea	Concept	Pros	Cons
Cem Mergenci and Ibrahim Korpeoglu (2019)	multi-resource heterogeneous cloud	Allocation algorithms for proper virtual machines	decreased VM Migration Time	Enhancement of VM services between same applications.
M.Vanitha, P. Marikkannu (2016)	dynamic well-organized load balancing	Using genetic algorithms	Reduced the energy consumption	VM Migration more time
Bala et.al. (2016)	Load balancing approach using machine learning	Selecting over utilized and under utilized VM using machine learning	High resource use	Not tested on a real cloud

Cem Mergenci and Ibrahim Korpeoglu (2019) proposed multi allocation algorithms for cloudlets. In this paper they proposed two resource utilization metrics TR fit and UC fit. These are used for measuring the goodness of the resource allocation. By using this they are checking all virtual machines are properly using physical server resources.

M. Vanitha and P. Marikkannu (2016) proposed a genetic based cloud load balancing model. With a view to avert network traffic and minimize the resources utilization, it has mandated the need to equivalence the load on multiple hosts. Genetic algorithms are employed to share the load on multiple cloudlets that are running on a network.

Bala et. al. (2016) by using machine learning approach they are identifying the load on a cloud proactively during execution. They stated a load prediction model that is used to check over utilized and underutilized systems to apply their algorithm using multiple resource metrics.

2.5 Task Based Load Balancing: In this part, we have studied and outlined the literature in the area of task based load balancing. We analyzed various models in below Table 5. Sobhanayak Srichandan et. al. (2018) proposed a task based algorithm by combining the genetic algorithms and the bacterial foraging algorithm. This paper contains work in two parts. Firstly, the scheduling algorithm decreases the Makespan and in the second part getting better metric values for convergence and stability parameters.

Table 5 : Task Based Load Balancing Techniques

Author	Idea	Concept	Pros	Cons
Sobhanayak Srichandan et.al (2018)	TSA employing a hybrid approach	the genetic algorithms (GAs) and the bacterial foraging (BF) algorithms	To minimize the Makespan	Needs to work more on parameters for GA.
Jiachen Yang (2017)	using game theory as a mathematical tool	task scheduling algorithm	System Throughput	Less Security
Wei (2016)	Designing a mobile cloud.	Able to apply of idle computing and storage	Reducing energy consumption	No considering dynamic resource

Jiachen et. al. (2017) proposed an algorithm formed on game theory as a mathematical tool for the reliable nodes. This method contains three steps. Firstly, they are doing reliability analysis by using task scheduling. Secondly by using gaming theory, they are creating gaming model for cloud task scheduling. Thirdly, they developed multi-stage sequential game model that is used for the participants.

Wei (2016) implemented a scheduling model in mobile cloud computing using MAX-MIN ant system. In this model, the author used a local mobile cloud. In that, they added application scheduling structures. Then, they applied MAX-MIN ant system for scheduling in mobile cloud.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In Cloud computing, load balancing of jobs on virtual machines is a key issue that has mandated utmost notice from the researchers. This paper illustrates a report of problems faced by load balancing. In accordance with this study, massive research has been done on several load balancing approaches taking into account the different measures. On the basis of comprehension, we differentiated the mentioned load balancing technologies to various categories like Natural Phenomenal, Hybrid, Agent, Task and general based load balancing. For individual section, we exhibited the idea, pros, cons and issues with respect to each and every technology. This work will be useful for researches to illustrate the research problems employed in the load balancing area and present a recap of existing load balancing techniques.

REFERENCES

1. Rajkumar Buyya, James Broberg, and Andrzej M. Goscinski. 2010. Cloud Computing: Principles and Paradigms. Vol. 87. John Wiley Sons.
2. Nima Jafari Navimipour and Farnaz Sharifi Milani. 2015. A comprehensive study of the resource discovery techniques in Peer-to-Peer networks. *Peer-to-Peer Networking and Applications* 8, 3 (2015), 474–492.
3. Evelyn Brown. 2011. Final Version of NIST Cloud Computing Definition Published. Retrieved November 10, 2017 from [https:// www.bluepiit.com/blog/different-types-of-cloud-computing-service-](https://www.bluepiit.com/blog/different-types-of-cloud-computing-service-)
4. Einollah Jafarnejad Ghomi, Amir Masoud Rahmani, and Nooruldeen Nasih Qader. 2017. Load-balancing algorithms in cloud computing: A survey. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications* 88(2017), 50– 71. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2017.04.007>
5. Pawan kumar and Rakesh kuamr. 2019. Issues and challenges of load balancing techniques in cloud computing: A survey. *ACMComput.surv.* 51,6, Article 120.
6. Mayanka Katyal and Atul Mishra. 2014. A comparative study of load balancing algorithms in cloud computing environment. *International Journal of Distributed and Cloud Computing* 1, 2 (2014), 5–14.
7. Dan Marinescu, Ashkan Paya, John Morrison, and Stephen Olariu. 2017. An approach for scaling cloud resource management. *Cluster Computing* 20, 1 (2017), 909–924.
8. Yu Xin, Zhi Qiang Xie, and Jing Yang. 2017. A load balance oriented cost efficient scheduling method for parallel tasks. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications* 81 (2017), 37–46.
9. Mesbahi, M., Rahmani, A.M., 2016. Load balancing in cloud computing: a state of the art survey. *Int. J. Mod. Educ. Comput. Sci.* 64
10. Mishra, S.K., et al. Load balancing in cloud computing: A big picture. *Journal of King Saud University – Computer and Information Sciences* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2018.01.003>.
11. Balaji.k,Sai kiran.p, 2017. Efficient resource allocation algorithm with optimal throughput in

- cloud computing. *Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical and Control Systems*. Volume 9, 2017, Pages 1902-1910.
12. Radha, K., Thirumala Rao, B., Babu, S.M., Thirupathi Rao, K., Krishna Reddy, V., Saikiran, P.2014. Allocation of resources and scheduling in cloud computing with cloud migration. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*. Volume 9, Issue 19, 2014, Pages 5827-5837.
 13. AR. Arunarani a , D. Manjula a , Vijayan Sugumaran. 2018. Task scheduling techniques in cloud computing: A literature survey. *Future Generation Computer Systems*91 (2019) 407–415.
 14. Swami, K.S., Sai Kiran, P.2018. Secure data duplication with dynamic ownership management in cloud storage. *Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical and Control Systems*,Volume 10, Issue 12 Special Issue, 2018, Pages 753-761.
 15. T., Rao, K.T., Reddy, V.K., Sai Kiran, P., Thirumala Rao, B. 2015. Mitigation of insider attacks through multi cloud, *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering* Volume 5, Issue 1, 1 February 2015, Pages 136-141.
 16. Nusrat Pasha, Amit Agarwal, and Ravi Rastogi. 2014. Round robin approach for VM load balancing algorithm in cloud computing environment. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering* 4, 5 (2014), 34–39.
 17. Awatif Ragmania, Amina Elomria, Noredine Abghoura, Khalid Moussaida, Mohammed Rida. 2019. An improved Hybrid Fuzzy-Ant Colony Algorithm Applied to Load Balancing in Cloud Computing Environment. *The 10th International Conference on Ambient Systems, Networks and Technologies (ANT)*. 10.1016/j.procs.2019.04.070.
 18. Ebtesam Aloboud et al.2019. Cuckoo-inspired Job Scheduling Algorithm for Cloud Computing *International Workshop on Peer-to-Peer Architectures, Networks, and Systems*.
 19. Ramesh Babu and Philip Samuel. 2016. Enhanced bee colony algorithm for efficient load balancing and scheduling in cloud. *Innovation in Bio-Inspired Computing and Application* 4 (2016), 135–142. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-28031-8_6.
 20. Chaudhary D, Kumar B, Cloudy GSA for load scheduling in cloud computing, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2018.07.046>.
 21. Shang liang Chen, Yun yao Chen, and Suang hong Kuo. 2017. CLB: A novel load balancing architecture and algorithm for cloud services. *Computers and Electrical Engineering* 58 (2017), 154–160. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2016.01.029>.
 22. Ranesh Kumar Naha and Mohamed Othman. 2016. Cost-aware service brokering and performance sentient load balancing algorithms in the cloud. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications* 75 (2016), 47–57. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2016.08.018>.
 23. C.G. Ralha, A.H.D. Mendes, L.A. Laranjeira et al., Multiagent system for dynamic resource provisioning in cloud computing platforms, *Future Generation Computer Systems*(2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2018.09.050>.
 24. L. Tasquier. 2015. Agent based load-balancer for multi-cloud environments. *Columbia International Publication Journal of Cloud Computing Research* 1, 1 (2015), 35–49.
 25. Sina Keshvadi and Behnam Faghieh. 2016. A multi-agent based load balancing system in IaaS cloud environment. *International Robotics & Automation Journal* 1, 1 (2016).
 26. C. Mergenci, I. Korpeoglu.2019. Generic resource allocation metrics and methods for heterogeneous cloud infrastructures, *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*. doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2019.102413.
 27. M. Vanitha, P. Marikkannu, Effective resource utilization in cloud environment through a

- dynamic well-organized load balancing algorithm for virtual machines, *Computers and Electrical Engineering* (2016).
28. Anju Bala and Inderveer Chana. 2016. Prediction-based proactive load balancing approach through VM migration. *Engineering with Computers* 32, 4 (2016), 1–12. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00366-016-0434-5>.
 29. S. Srichandan et al. 2018. Task scheduling for cloud computing using multi-objective hybrid bacteria foraging algorithm. *Future Computing and Informatics Journal*. doi.org/10.1016/j.fcij.2018.03.004.
 30. J. Yang, B. Jiang, Z. Lv, K.-K.R. Choo, A task scheduling algorithm considering game theory designed for energy management in cloud computing, *Future Generation Computer Systems* (2017), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2017.03.024>
 31. Wei, X., Fan, J., Wang, T., Wang, Q., 2015. Efficient application scheduling in mobile cloud computing based on MAX–MIN ant system. *Soft Comput.*, 1–15.
 32. Balaji K et.al, “An energy efficient load balancing on cloud computing using adaptive cat swarm optimization”, *Materials Today: Proceedings (Science Direct)*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.11.106>, January 2021.
 33. Dr.A.Senthil Kumar, Dr.G.Suresh, Dr.S.Lekashri, Mr.L.Ganesh Babu, Dr. R.Manikandan. (2021). Smart Agriculture System With E – Carbage Using Iot. *International Journal of Modern Agriculture*, 10(01), 928 - 931. Retrieved from <http://www.modern-journals.com/index.php/ijma/article/view/690>.
 34. Dr. R. Manikandan, Dr Senthilkumar A. Dr Lekashri S. Abhay Chaturvedi. “Data Traffic Trust Model for Clustered Wireless Sensor Network.” *Information Technology in Industry* 9.1 (2021): 1225–1229. Print.